A SURVEY OF WRITING TECHNIQUES, AUTHORSHIP, TENSES, VOICES AND LENGTH OF OPENING SENTENCES OF MEDICAL RESEARCH ARTICLES

Phan Banpho

Abstract

A large number of genre analyses have investigated schematic units or "moves" of many parts of medical research articles. The present analysis deals with the inner element of the first move, the introduction section. It explored the techniques for writing the opening sentence, and investigated authorship, tenses, voices, and sentence length. The subjects were 167 opening sentences from two world journals in medical science, the "Annals of Internal Medicine" and "The Lancet", which represent American English and British English respectively. A concordancing computer program was used to form sketches of the writing techniques and tenses. The voices were initially identified by the concordancer before they were manually assessed. The length of each opening sentence was determined using the Microsoft Word.

It was found that there were three most used techniques for writing the opening sentence: "giving facts" (53.89%), "indicating importance" (14.37%), and "using a combination of fact and importance" (24.55%). The majority of the first sentences in both journals were composed by citation of previous works (60.48%). It was found that most opening sentences were written in the present simple tense (70.06%), followed by the present perfect tense (17.37%), and other tenses (12.37%). It was also found that most of these opening sentences (77.84%) were in the active voice. Finally, these initial sentences consisted of about 21.89 words, with a maximum of 70 and minimum of 5 words. The findings of this study suggest that most opening sentences, which comprise an average of about 22 words, give facts in the present simple active by citation of previous findings.

Introduction

The opening or first sentence of the introduction section of a research article is crucial, partly because it should capture the readers' attention (McElroy, 1997:40) as well as introduce or give a lead into the research topic under investigation. Article writers, especially new entrants into the academic discourse community, often find it difficult and time-consuming to write this first sentence. Several writing techniques have been recommended. McElroy (1997:40-41) suggests the

following writing techniques, or rhetorical functions, to eatch the reader's attention: giving facts, statistics, a definition, and a general statement. Swales (1984:81) has recommended that the opening sentence should attempt to establish that the field of research is useful, relevant, or important in some way or ways. Also, in his revised model for article introduction, CARS (Create a Research Space), he has established that the first move of the introduction should claim that the area of the study is an important one, implying that the topic under study is also crucial (Swales, 1990). Brink and Wood (1994:239) have proposed that the first sentence in the introduction should set the general tone and direction for the subject matter. Unfortunately, there is no empirical data about rhetorical functions, or techniques, for writing the opening sentence of medical research articles. In addition, no study has been undertaken to investigate whether the opening sentence is written by the authors of the article themselves or is a citation of previous findings.

The use of tenses and voices is another aspect of scientific genre that has been investigated extensively. Wingard (1981) has found that in a corpus of six medical texts, the most frequent form is the simple present active (including is/are), accounting for 28% of cases, following by the simple past active (22%). The simple present passive accounts for 11%, while the simple past passive18%. Barber (1985:8) has analyzed the frequency of different verb tenses and voices, some of which are as the follows: the present simple active (64%), present simple passive (25%), present perfect passive (1.7%), present perfect active (1.4%), past simple active (1.2%), past simple passive (1.2%). Again, these studies do not focus primarily on the opening sentence of medical research articles.

Sentence length is another important issue that worries many authors because it may affect readability, influence the credibility of the results and, worst of all, distract the reader. A very short sentence may be inadequate, whereas an excessively long one may fail to hold the readers' attention. According to Barber (1985), the average sentence length in scientific prose is 27.6 words. However, there is no data regarding the average length of the opening sentence of medical research articles.

Although a number of studies on medical research articles have been carried out, there is no study that focuses on the first inner element of the introduction section, the opening sentence. Therefore, the purposes of this study were: 1) to explore techniques, or rhetorical functions, for generating the opening sentence, 2) to investigate whether this first sentence was written by the authors or was just a citation of previous findings, and 3) to examine the tense, voice and length of the opening sentence.

Methods

Subjects

There is an enormous number of professional journals in the medical sciences. Some are released weekly, some biweekly, while others come out once a month. Furthermore, some are written in American English, others in British English. This research studied two medical journals -- the "Annals of Internal Medicine," which is an American professional journal that are published biweekly, and "The Lancet", a British journal published weekly. The main reasons for choosing these two journals are that neither focuses on a particular medical discipline and that they are two

leading world journals. These journals present several kinds of articles such as general articles, research articles (or original articles), and case reports, but only research articles were studied.

The initial population of this study consisted of 292 opening sentences, 72 from the "Annals of Internal Medicine" and 220 from "The Lancet". These articles were published in 2002. However, to avoid having an over-whelming number of opening sentences from "The Lancet", only the first and the third issues of this journal were assessed. The actual subjects of this study became 167 sentences, 95 from "The Lancet", and 72 from the "Annals of Internal Medicine".

Instruments

The research instruments used were coding forms and three computer programs: the Microsoft Words, a concordancing program (or concordancer), and the SPSS (v.11.5).

Procedures

Data collection

For data collection, the first page of 167 articles was photocopied. For reference and ease of recognition, each opening sentence was numbered at the beginning and end of the sentence as in the following example: #1011 Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is one of the most important problems world-wide (1,2) (#1011 Feb 19/1). The opening sentences from the two sources -- "The Lancet" and the "Annals of Internal Medicine" -- were typed and saved as Corpus 1 and Corpus 2 respectively.

Table 1: Month, dates and numbers of articles

Months	Annals	Lancet	
	Date (Number of articles)	Date (Number of articles)	Total
January	1, 15 (6)	5, 19 (8)	14
February	5, 19 (6)	2, 16 (8)	14
March	5, 19 (6)	2, 16 (8)	14
April	2, 16 (6)	6, 20 (8)	14
May	7, 21 (6)	4, 18 (8)	14
June	4, 18 (6)	1, 15 (8)	14
July	2, 16 (6)	6, 20 (8)	14
August	6, 20 (6)	3, 17 (8)	14
September	3, 17 (6)	7, 21 (8)	14
October	1, 15 (6)	5, 19 (8)	14
November	5, 19 (6)	2, 16 (8)	14
December	3, 17 (6)	7, 21 (7)	13
	72	95	167

Note: January 1, 15 (6) refers to January 1, 2002, January 15, 2002 and (6) refers to 6 articles

Writing techniques

Table 2: Wordlist (adjectives)

	Word		Frequer	ісу
1. most	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		10	
2. acute			9	
3. common			7	
4. million			6	
5. major			5	
6. primary			5	
7. chronic		4 1 L	4	
8. important			4	
9. public			4	
10. high			3	
11. long			3	
12. severe			3	
13. short			3	
14. substantial			3	
15. serious			2	
Total			71	

To assess the writing techniques, McElroy's suggestion and Swales' recommendation were initially used to form a tentative rhetorical classification. The initial rhetorical functions or writing techniques derived from McElroy and Swales were: "indicating importance / usefulness / relevance", "giving facts", "expressing comments / observations", "giving definitions", "describing situations". In this study, "facts" includes both general facts, generalizations, and findings cited from previous research findings. Regarding importance, the opening sentence that would be considered as expressing the rhetorical function "indicating importance" must have at least one essential characteristic, containing an adjective, a noun, or an adverb that signals importance, such as important, mortality, and highly.

To have a more precise sketch of the writing techniques, Corpus 1 and Corpus 2 were loaded into the concordancer to display the wordlist and frequency. Then, some potential words with high frequency, particularly those that might lead to identification of the actual writing techniques used in the study samples, were selected. To avoid having a complicated list of concordances (or a list of words from a text or group of texts, displayed straight down from the top to bottom of the page as in Figure 1), potential words were divided into groups, such as adjectives, nouns, verb be, and modals. When individual groups of potential words were identified, some low frequency words belonging to the same group as the high frequency ones were added to the list. Table 2 displays potential adjectives that might be an important element of "indicating importance". The italicized words in Table 2 are words with low frequency added to the initial list.

Figure 1: Concordances of adjectives from Corpus 1 and Corpus 2

#1018 Feb2 /2)*#1019 Asthma is the most common chronic disease of childbirt (#1068 May 4 / 1) #1069 Most leg ulcers are venous.1 May 4 / 3) #1071 Measles is the most contagious disease known to man. Ovarian carcinoma is the sixth most common cancer of women worldwide ar manglomas (strawberry naevi) are the most common soft tissue tumours of infar (#1130 Aug 17 / 3) #1139 The most appropriate revascularisation strat .1 (#1148 Sept. 21 / 2) #1149 Most reviews of risk factors for asthma nhibitors and aspirin are two of the most widely used therapeutic agents in a sted low back pain can be one of the most challenging disorders seen in prime (#1180 Nov 16 / 2) #1181 One of the most important milestones in HIV-1 reset 05 Colorectal cancer is the third most common cause of cancer-related deal lmonary disease (COPD) is one of the most important problems world-wide (1,2) ansferase (ALT) concentration is the most commonly used variable for assessmong U.S. women, breast cancer is the most frequent cause of hospitalization : ong U.S. women, breast cancer is the most common type of cancer and is the some common cold is one of the world's most prevalent illness. (#2070 Dec 1 ne common coid is one of the world's most prevalent litness. (\$20.00 Dec 1 cours spontaneously in patients with acute coronary syndromes or during a per on lowers mortality in patients with acute myocardial infarction. (#1017 tooms.1-2 (#1025 Feb16 /2)*#1026 Acute liver failure resulting from sever ment of multiple organ systems, with acute renal failure, haemodynamic install ressive agents for the prevention of acute rejection in renal-allografit recipressive agents for the patients with acute myocardial infarction depends on reperfusion therapy in patients with acute myocardial infarction. 1-3 (#10nd major cardiovascular events after acute myocardial infarction. 1-3 no major cardiovascular events atter acute myocardial meningitis causes many n Africa. (#1114 Jul 20 /3) *#1115 Acute bacterial meningitis causes many 29 Sept. 7 / 1)#1140 Patients with soute myocardial infarction and ventreles, including hypertensive crisis, acute myocardial infarction, and ventreless. Emergent reperfusion for acute myocardial infarctio (AMI) can be 11#2038 Emergent reperfusion for acute myocardial infarctio (AMI) can be se of cardiac catherization after an acute myocardial infarction are mixed. illness. (#2070 Dec 17 / 1) #2071 Acute liver failure is characterized by Peb2 /2) *#1019 Aschma is the most common chronic disease of childbirth; a 0 /1) * #1113 Reart failure is a common and growing problem associated w Ovarian carcinoma is the sixth most common cancer of women worldwide and ac omes (strawberry naevi) are the most common soft tissue tumours of infancy, reatment of choice for patients with common bile-duct stones.1-3 sical inactivity, and obesity -- are common to both stroke and coronary hear hepatitis B Virus (HEV) and HTV-1 is common, because of shared modes of tra Colorectal cancer is the third most common cause of cancer related death in Colorectal cancer is the third most common cause of cancer-related death in /1)#2020 Nocturnal hypoglecamia is common in patients with type 1 diabetes emity peripheral arterial disease is common, both in community studies of un 2!#2057 Venous thromboembolism is common efter orthopedic surgery. (#2057 .S. women, breast cancer is the most common type of cancer and is the second (1-3). (#2069 Dec 3 / 3)#2070 The common cold is one of the world's most (#1020 Feb2 /4)*#1024 About 5 million people are imprisoned worldwide re imprisoned worldwide, including 2 million in the USA and 70 000 in the UK)*#1093 More than 70 of the 36.1 million people. *99% of whom live in su Onchocera volvulus infects about 18 million people, *99% of whom live in su / 2)**#1122 There are up to half a million new cases of visceral leishmani At the end of 2000, an estimated 35 million people had been displaced by a od pressure, which affects nearly 50 million Americans, is a serious public hronic disease, currently affects 15 million people in the United States, c ductase reduce the risk of death and major cardiovascular events after acute function in young children may have major implications for our understandir #1095 Type 2 diabetes mellitus is a major health problem associated with extendary hypertension, which can be a major cause of morbidity and mortality.

The concordances in Figure 1 indicate mixed rhetorical functions, particularly "giving fact", "expressing a combination of facts and importance", and "indicating importance".

After this first try, potential nouns were used as key words to generate concordances. Examples of nouns with high frequency and those potential words are shown in Figure 2. The concordances in this figure reveal "giving fact", "indicating importance", and "using a

combination of fact and importance". Examples of nouns that might suggest the writing technique "indicating importance", were: mortality, morbidity, death(s), disease(s), risk, cause(s), severity, life and cost(s).

Figure 2: Concordances of nouns from Corpus 1 and Corpus 2

tersous commany intervention lowers mortality in patients with soute myocarchic the sain to degreese breast cener mortality [1914] Mar 16 / 11 91041 T and he major cause of worthitty and correlated the mortality of patients of the patients of several decades the short corr mortality rates are several decades the short corr mortality rates beautiful the order of the control of th taneous coronary intervention lowers mortality in patients with acute myocard

After some of the writing techniques were extracted from the concordances, individual opening sentences were assessed manually.

Authorship

To identify authorship, every opening sentence was carefully examined to see if it was cited from one or more previous findings or written by the authors. For "The Lancet", each sentence was examined for the raised number or numbers indicating footnoting, such as the following.

Patients who have had a stroke often have long-term difficulties with walking and other daily activities such as getting out of a chair and climbing stairs.

For the "Annals of Internal Medicine", the opening sentences were examined for the number or numbers in parentheses, such as the following.

Colorectal cancer is the third most common cause of cancer-related death in men and women (1).

The frequencies were tabulated in the coding forms and the percentage of the sentences composed using citation and those written by the authors were calculated.

Tenses

In this study, only the tense of the main clause of the opening sentence was examined. Tenses were initially assessed using concordances in Figure 1, together with concordances derived from the following key words: is, are, has, have, was, were, and had because these words together with the verbs in the sentence could indicate tenses. After obtaining a sketch of tenses used in the opening sentences distribution, the tense in every opening sentence was examined manually.

Voice

Like tenses, voices were initially assessed by using concordances generated by such key words as is, are, was, were, has been, have been, and had been. When a sketch of voices was obtained, the voice of each opening sentence was carefully examined.

Length

The number of words in each opening sentence was counted using the Word Count function from the menu "Tools" of the Microsoft Word software program. Finally, the maximum and minimum numbers of words were identified and the average number of words in the opening sentence was calculated.

Statistics

The obtained data were processed to determine the percentages, means, and standard deviations. Both Chi-square and Student t-tests were used to test differences between the two groups of subjects.

Results

This study investigated five aspects of the opening sentence of the introduction of medical research articles. It explored the techniques used for writing the opening sentence of research articles, by whom it was written — the authors or citation of previous works, tenses, voices, and finally it examined the length of the opening sentence. It was found that the three most common writing techniques were "giving facts" (53.89%), "using a combination of facts and importance" (24.55%), and "indicating importance" (14.37%) (Table 3).

Table 3: Techniques used in writing opening sentences

Technique	Annals (N = 72)	Lancet (N = 95)	Annals & Lancet (N = 167)	Chi-square test
1. Fact	42 (58.33%)	48 (50.53%)	90 (53.89%)	ed transmitten (od su van kilde en de maña de in presidente a serve est pare
2. Importance	14 (19.44%)	10 (10.53%)	24 (14.37%)	
3. Fact & Importance	11 (15.28%)	30 (31.58%)	41 (24.55%)	$\chi^2 = 5.04^*$
4. Others	5 (6.94%)	7 (9.72 %)	12 (7.19 %)	
Total	72	95	167	

p = .05

However, "using a combination of fact and importance" was used more often in "The Lancet" (31.58%) than in the "Annals of Internal Medicine" (15.28%). The differences of the first three techniques were statistically significant (p = .05). As regards importance, it was found that many adjectives, such as important, major, main, primary, prevalent, severe, and significant were used. In addition, certain nouns were also used to indicate importance. Examples of these nouns were: death, mortality, morbidity, severity, factors, cause, and hospitalization because these nouns express important things about our health and our lives, such as loss of life, intensity of sickness, and indispensable medical treatment. However, in some contexts they might suggest fact rather than importance. For instance, one of the following sentences expresses fact while the other importance.

- 1. The mortality rate of this disease is 1/100,000. (Fact)
- 2. This disease causes both morbidity and mortality. (Importance)

Table 4: Authorship of information for opening sentences

	Annals	Lancet	Annals &	Chi-square
Authorship	(N = 72)	(N = 95)	Lancet	test
			(N = 167)	
1. Original (authors)	25 (34.72 %)	41 (43.16%)	66 (39.52 %)	n distribution de la commencia consigning de la glade de la consensación consideración de la consensación de l Consensación de la consensación de
2. Citation (previous works)	47 (65.28%)	54 (56.84 %)	101 (60.48 %)	$\chi^2 = 1.22^*$
Total	72	95	167	

^{*} p = .05

Regarding authorship, it was found that most opening sentences of both the "Annals of Internal Medicine" and "The Lancet" were composed by citation of one or more previous findings (60.48%). The "Annals of Internal Medicine" used more citation than "The Lancet", 65.28% and 56.84% respectively (Table 4. However, the difference was not statistically significant (p = .05).

As regards tense, this study found that more than half (70.06%) of the opening sentences in both medical journals were expressed in the present simple tense. "The Lancet" used more present simple tense (76.84%) than the "Annals of Internal Medicine" (61.11%). The difference was statistically significant (p = .05) (Table 5).

Table 5: Tense in opening sentences

Tenses	Annals (N = 72)	Lancet (N = 95)	Annals & Lancet (N = 167)	Chi-square test
1. Present simple	44 (61.11 %)	73 (76.84%)	11 7 (70.06%)	n di dan
2. Present perfect	17 (23.61%)	12 (12.63 %)	29 (17.37%)	$\chi^2 = 5.04^*$
3. Others	11 (15.28%)	10 (10.53%)	21 (12.57%)	
Total	72	95	167	

^{*} p = .05

As for voice, it was found that more than three-fourths of the opening sentences in both journals were written in active voice (77.84%), whereas only 22.16% were in passive voice. When

choice of voice usage was compared, the data obtained revealed that active voice was used more often than passive voice in both journals, 77.84% and 22.16%. "The Lancet" used more active voice than the "Annals of Internal Medicine", 83.16% and 70.83% respectively. However, the difference was not statistically significant (p = .05).

Table 6: Voice in opening sentences

Voices	Annals $(N = 72)$	Lancet (N = 95)	Annals & Lancet (N = 167)	Chi-square test
1. Active voice	51 (70.83 %)	79 (83.16%)	130 (77.84%)	$\chi^2 = 3.61^*$
2. Passive voice	21 (29.17%)	16 (16.84 %)	37 (22.16%)	
Total	72	95	167	

p = .05

Finally, this study found that the average length of the opening sentences in both medical journals was 21.89 words, with a maximum of 70 and a minimum of 5 words. However, there was no statistically significant difference between the lengths of the opening sentences in both journals (p = .05).

Table 7: Length of opening sentences

Length	Annals (N = 72)	Lancet (N = 95)	Annals & Lancet (N = 167)	t-test
Average	21.79 (SD = 10.37)	21.96 (SD = 9.52)	21.89 (SD = 9.89)	ne di Camaria di Successione di Camaria di C
Maximum	70	50	70	$t = .107^*$
Minimum	6	5	5	
	72	95	167	

p = .05

Discussion

The purposes of this study were to examine the techniques for writing the opening sentence of the introduction section of medical research articles, to investigate the authorship, tenses, voices, and length of this initial sentence. It was found that more than half of the opening

sentences (53.89%) express facts, present a combination of facts and importance (24.55%), and indicate importance (14.37%). This finding confirms the technique of "giving facts" suggested by McElroy (1997), and "indicating importance" recommended by Swales (1987). However, it should be noted that "facts" refers to both a general fact or fact reported from research findings. This finding, therefore, is also supported by the second finding, more than half of the opening sentences (60.48%) being citations of previous findings.

It is interesting that the substance or content of the opening sentence was not generally initiated by the authors. Rather, it was a citation of previous research findings. This finding probably makes sense in that, by nature, research findings are empirical and as such rhetorically convincing. In addition, writing of the opening sentence is not an easy task. Also, it may be more convenient to write from information on hand than from scratch. Therefore, citing previous works predominates in the authors' writing.

This study also found that the majority of the opening sentences were expressed in present simple tense (70.06%), followed by the present perfect tense (17.37%), and other tenses (12.57%), which also include the past simple tense and the present progressive tense. This finding corresponds with the finding that "giving facts" predominates in other writing techniques. This may because facts are usually expressed in present simple tense, particularly natural facts or generalizations, which are by nature timeless. Oster (1981) in her research on the use of tenses in reporting past literature has proposed that the present tense is used to refer to past literature and to refer to quantitative results of past literature that are supportive or non-relevant, whereas the present perfect is used to make general statements about the past literature. Malcolm (1987) in a study on tense usage in the Journal of Pediatrics has hypothesized that generalizations are mainly expressed in the present tense, references to a specific study in the past tense, and references to an area of inquiry in the present perfect tense. Malcolm has concluded that the choice of tense is ultimately a rhetorical one; the authors are free to choose the time location that best suits their purposes. Moreover, Biber et al. (1999:456-458) have stated that present tense verbs are somewhat more common than past tense verbs in academic prose. Most importantly, Biber et al. have also asserted that the present tense can be used to refer to events in the past, to present states, to present habitual behavior, or to future events. In fact, the present tense is used to imply a lack of time restriction. McCarthy(1991:62) has stated that the tenses and aspects do not seem to depend on time as much as to issues such as the sender's purpose, the focus on different elements of the message, and the projection of a shared framework within which the receiver will understand the message.

As regards voice, this study found that the active voice was used more than the passive voice, 77.84% and 22.16% respectively. This finding is consistent with that of Wingard (1981) who found greater use of active verbs than of passive verbs. However, it should be noted that Wingard studied verb forms and functions in a corpus of four medical papers, and not the opening sentences. Moreover, as regards tense and voice, Tarone et al. (1981) have concluded that the writer's communicative purpose governs the choices at the grammatical and lexical levels.

Finally, this study found that the average length of the opening sentences in both the American and English journals was about 21.89 words, while the maximum was 70, the minimum 5 words. The finding is inconsistent with that of Barber (1985), who reported that the average sentence length was 27.6 words. However, Barber noted that his investigation was merely preliminary and, moreover, dealt with astronomy, not medicine. Moreover, although the present study found that the average length of the opening sentence was 21.89 words, there is no rule governing sentence length in other inner elements of the first schematic unit or move as well as other moves of medical research articles. However, an excessively long sentence is likely to be uninteresting as well as boring, while an extremely short one could be inadequate.

Implications for second and foreign language teaching

This study suggests some implications for the second and foreign language teaching.

- 1. Writing the opening sentence is difficult. To alleviate this problem, several practical techniques should be introduced to students, including the three most common techniques found in this study: "giving facts", "using a combination of facts and importance", and "indicating importance". Also, the teacher should introduce citation to facilitate student writing of the opening sentence.
- 2. Criteria for choices of tenses should be taught in a succinct manner, particularly in reporting on past literature because the following three sentences have different meanings.
 - 1. Robinson (1973) found that diabetes was a costly disease.
 - 2. Robinson (1973) finds that diabetes is a costly disease.
 - 3. Robinson (1973) has found that diabetes is a costly disease.

The first example refers to a specific study in the past. The second indicates a generalization that diabetes is a disease that costs a lot of money, regardless of time. The third example is to claim generality about past literature—that diabetes is still an expensive disease from past to present.

- 3. In teaching tenses, the teacher should make it clear that the choice of tense does not depend on time location only, but also on the rhetorical function (illocutionary force), or the communicative function of the sentence as shown in the above examples.
- 4. This study found that the majority of the first sentences in both *The Lancet* and the *Annals of Internal Medicine* were composed by citation of previous works (60.48%). This indicates that citation of previous works is a practical and useful writing technique because both the content and structure of the sentence are already available. In addition, since the opening sentence is very important, many novice authors often experience anxiety and frustration while composing this very first sentence. Therefore, if this writing technique is introduced, it might help these inexperienced authors to have less anxiety and frustration and to feel more at ease when writing this sentence.
- 5. In general, a sentence should not be too short or too long because it might be inadequate or it might distract the reader's attention. This study found that the opening sentence was composed of about 22 words. Therefore, it might be wise to take this length as an average length for an opening sentence.

Suggestions for future research

This study examined only the first sentence of the introduction section of medical research articles, which is only the first element of the first move. Future research should investigate other inner elements, such as reporting previous findings that pave ways for elucidating gaps in research or giving reasons why a study was undertaken, the statement of the research gap as well as the statement of purpose.

Conclusion

The findings of this study suggest that the opening sentences usually give facts, indicate importance, or express a combination of these two rhetorical functions. The opening sentence comprises an average length of about 22 words in the present simple and active voice. In addition, it is often a citation of previous findings.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Assoc. Prof. Dr. Supat Sukamolsan, former Deputy Director for Research and Asst. Prof. Dr. Kulaporn Hiranburana -- former Deputy Director for Academic Affairs for their help and moral support. Special thanks are extended to Jim Hutton, a language expert from UK who previewed and suggested improvement of the research proposal. Sincere appreciation is also extended to James Half who always offers a helping hand.

The Author

Phan Banpho is an associate professor and the Head of the Division of English for Science and Technology at CULI where he has been teaching for two decades. He is interested in applied linguistics as well as instructional technology. He has been contributing many articles to PASAA and Pasaparitat, journals published by CULI. Prof. He is the author of two books on writing computer programs using computer language. He is also the author of two textbooks-Reading Research Article in Medical Sciences and Writing Research Article in Medical Sciences.

References

- Barber, C.L. Some Measurable Characteristics of Modern Scientific Prose. In John Swales (Ed). Episodes in ESP, Oxford: Pergamon Institute of English. 3-16.
- Biber, Douglas et al. 1999. LongmanGrammar of Spoken and Written English, Essex, England: Longman.
- Brink, Pamela I. and Marilynn J. Wood. 1994. Basic Steps in Planning Nursing Research (4th ed), Boston, Masscusetts: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.
- Hanania, Edith A.S., Akhtar, Karima. 1985. Verb Form and Rehorical Function in Science Writing: A Study of MS Theses in Biology, Chemistry, and Physics. The ESP Journal 4,1: 49-58.
- Malcolm, Lois. 1987. What Rules Govern Tense Usage in Scientific Articles? The ESP Journal 6,1: 31-43.
- McCarthy, Michael. 1991. Discourse Analysis for Language Teachers, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- McElroy, Jane. 1997. Writing Ahead: A Process Approach to Academic Writing, Tokyo: Macmillan Language House.
- Oster, Sandra. 1981. The Use of Tenses in "Reporting Past Literature" in EST. In Selinker, Larry. Elaine Tarone, and Victor Hanzeli, English for Academic and Technical Purposes: Studies in Honor of Louis Trimble, Rowley, Massachusetts: Newburi House Publishers. 77-90.
- Smith, Diana E. Adams. 1984. Medical Discourse Aspects of Author's Comment, The ESP Journal 3,1: 25-36.
- Swales, John. 1984. Research into the structure of Introductions to Journal Articles and Its Application to the Teaching of Academic Writing. In Ray, Williams, John Swales and John Kirkman (eds), Common Ground: Shared Interests in ESP and Communication Studies. Oxford: Pergamon. 77-86.
- Swales, John. 1990. Genre Analysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tarone, E., S.Dwyer, S. Gillette and V. Icke. 1985. On the Use of the Passive in Two Astrophysics Journal Papers, In John Swales (Ed). Episodes in ESP, Oxford: Pergamon Institute of English. 191-205.
- Wingard 1981 . Some Verb Forms and Functions in Six Medical Texts. In Selinker, Larry. Elaine Tarone, and Victor Hanzeli, English for Academic and Technical Purposes: Studies in Honor of Louis Trimble, Rowley, Massachusetts: Newburi House Publishers. 53-64.