OILY WASTEWATER TREATMENT BY CONTINUOUS FROTH FLOTATION



Sunisa Watcharasing

A Dissertation Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,
and Case Western Reserve University

2008

511997

Thesis Title:

Oily Wastewater Treatment by Continuous Froth Flotation

By:

Sunisa Watcharasing

Program:

Petrochemical Technology

Thesis Advisors:

Assoc.Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej

Prof. John F. Scamehorn

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Nantaya Janumit College Director

(Assoc. Prof. Nantaya Yanumet)

FRIMILLO B. Kityman.

Thesis Committee:

Sumaith Chrisdey John Sidmehom (Assoc. Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej)

(Prof. John F. Scamehorn)

(Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon)

(Asst. Prof. Boonyarach Kitiyanan)

(Assoc. Prof. Anchaleeporn Waritswat Lothongkum)

Anchaleepon W. Lothangken

บทคัดย่อ

สุนิสา วัชรสิงห์ : กระบวนการบำบัดน้ำเสียที่มีน้ำมันโดยระบบทำให้ลอย แบบต่อเนื่อง (Oily Wastewater Treatment by Continuous Froth Flotation) อ. ที่ปรึกษา: รศ. คร. สุเมช ชวเคช และ ศ. คร. จอห์น เอฟ สเคม์มีฮอร์น 135 หน้า

ในงานวิจัยนี้ได้พัฒนากระบวนการทำให้ลอยแบบต่อเนื่องเพื่อกำจัดน้ำมันที่ปนเปื้อนอยู่ ในน้ำเสียอุตสาหกรรม สารลดแรงตึงผิวชนิดยืด (C₁₄₋₁₅(PO)₅SO₄Na) ถูกนำมาทำให้เกิด ไมโครอิมัลชั้นกับน้ำมันคีเซล ในกระบวนการทำให้ลอยแบบต่อเนื่อง โคยได้พิจารณาศึกษาถึง ปัจจัยต่างๆ ได้แก่ ความเข้มข้นของสารถคแรงตึงผิว ความเข้มข้นของโซเดียมคถอไรด์ สัดส่วน โดยปริมาตรของน้ำมันต่อน้ำในน้ำเสีย ความสูงของฟอง อัตราการเป่าอากาศ และเวลาเก็บกัก โดยหาความสัมพันธ์แรงตึงผิวและลักษณะสมบัติของฟองกับประสิทธิภาพการแยกน้ำมันพบว่า ระบบ C₁₄₋₁₅(PO)5SO4Na อย่างเคียวไม่สามารถได้ค่าแรงตึงผิวที่ต่ำที่สุดและการเกิดฟองที่ดี พร้อมกันได้ ดังนั้นจึงมีการเติมสารลดแรงตึงผิวโซเดียมโคเคคซิลซัลเฟต (SDS) เพื่อช่วยเพิ่ม ความเสถียรของฟอง โดยกระบวนการทำให้ลอยแบบต่อเนื่องมีประสิทธิภาพการแยกน้ำมัน คีเซกสูงสุดร้อยละ 96 ที่ความเข้มข้นของ $C_{14-15}(PO)_5SO_4Na$ ร้อยละ 0.1 โดยมวก, ความเข้มข้น ของ SDS ร้อยละ 0.5 โดยมวล และความเข้มข้นของเกลือร้อยละ 4 โดยมวล เพื่อเพิ่มความเสถียร ของฟองของระบบสารลดแรงตึ้งผิว C₁₄₋₁₅(PO)₅SO₄Na ตัวเคียวโดยไม่ต้องมีการเติมสารลดแรง ตึงผิวโซเคียมโคเคคซิลซัลเฟต ได้นำคอลลอยคอลล์แก๊สแอฟรอน (CGA) ซึ่งมีความเสถียร ค่อนข้างสูงมาทคลองกับกระบวนการทำให้ลอยแบบกะ โดยทำการศึกษาปัจจัยของความเค็ม ความเข้มข้นสารลดแรงตึงผิว ความเร็วในการปั่นและระยะเวลาในการกวน เพื่อหาสภาวะที่ เหมาะสมที่มีผลต่อการสร้าง CGA ซึ่งได้นำไปทดลองต่อในส่วนของกระบวนการทำให้ลอย จากผลการทคลองพบว่า การใช้คอลลอยคอลล์แก๊สแอฟรอนสามารถส่งเสริมประสิทธิภาพการ แยกของกระบวนการทำให้ลอยได้ ประสิทธิภาพการกำจัดน้ำมันสูงที่สุดเท่ากับร้อยละ 97 ที่อัตรา การเป่าอากาศ 0.30 ลิตรต่อนาที โดยสารละลายที่ป้อนเข้าเป็นแบบสกาวะที่ยังไม่เกิดสมคุลของ ระบบ และสภาวะของคอลลอยคอลล์แก๊สแอฟรอนที่ความเข้มข้น C₁₄₋₁₅(PO)₅SO₄Na ร้อยละ 0.1 โดยมวล, ความเข้มข้นของเกลือร้อยละ 3 โดยมวล, ความเร็วในการกวน 5,000 รอบต่อนาที และระยะเวลาในการกวน 5 นาที

ABSTRACT

4791005063: Petrochemical Technology

Sunisa Watcharasing: Oily Wastewater Treatment by Continuous

Froth Flotation

Thesis Advisors: Assoc Prof. Sumaeth Chavadej, Prof. John F.

Scamehorn

135 pp.

Keywords:

Microemulsion/ Continuous froth flotation/ Foam formation/ Foam

stability

In this work, continuous froth flotation was developed to remove emulsified oil from industrial wastewater. Branched alcohol propoxylate sulfate sodium salt 15(PO)₅SO₄Na), an extended surfactant, was used to form microemulsions with diesel oil. In the continuous froth flotation operation, the effects of operational parameters, including surfactant concentration, salinity, oil-to-water ratio, foam height, air flow rate and hydraulic retention time (HRT) were investigated to correlate the oil removal efficiency with interfacial tension (IFT) and foam characteristics. For the $C_{14-15}(PO)_5SO_4Na$ system, it was not possible to obtain both ultralow IFT and good foaming. Hence, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was added to enhance the foam stability. A maximum diesel oil removal of 96% was accomplished by this continuous froth flotation at 0.1 wt.% C₁₄. 15(PO)5SO4Na, 0.5 wt.% SDS, and 4 wt.% NaCl. In order to improve the foam stability of the sole C₁₄₋₁₅(PO)₅SO₄Na system without added SDS, colloidal gas aphron (CGA), which exhibits relatively high stability, was employed in a batch froth flotation unit. The effects of salinity, surfactant concentration, stirring speed, and stirring time were investigated for determining the optimum conditions of the CGA formation, which were further used for the froth flotation experiments. From the results, the use of CGA enhanced the separation performance of froth flotation. The highest diesel removal of 97% was achieved at an air flow rate of 0.30 l/min with the feed solution prepared under non-equilibrium and CGA conditions of 0.1 wt.% C₁₄₋₁₅(PO)₅SO₄Na, 3 wt.% NaCl with a stirring speed of 5,000 rpm and a stirring time of 5 min.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been a very memorable and valuable experience as well as a lot of knowledge for me. It would not have been successful without the assistance of a number of individuals and organizations. I would like to thank all of them for making this work a great success.

First, I would like to take this opportunity to express my deep gratitude to Associate Professor Sumaeth Chavadej, my Thai thesis advisor, for providing many necessary things throughout this work. He has offered a lot of good academic opportunities for me, even his persuading me to join the Ph.D. program after I finished the Master's degree. He has been very supportive of me throughout my college career. I would like to thank him for his constant valuable advice and support. He has educated me a lot about the skills for writing papers in the academic style. Without his enthusiastic and insightful teaching, good quality papers cannot be published. This thesis would not have been succeessful without his professional guidance.

I also would like to express my deepest gratefulness to Professor John F. Scamehorn, my overseas thesis advisor, for his professional guidance, insightful discussions and valuable expertise throughout my work. He has educated me about the thinking skills for being a better researcher, as well as the presentation skills. I feel very fortunate to have had this opportunity to work with him.

I would like to give special thanks also to Associate Professor Thirasak Rirksomboon for his kindness in being the chairperson for the thesis committee. I also would like to thank Assistant Professor Boonyarach Kitiyanan for being an advisory committee member and giving the valuable comments and recommendations.

My thanks also go to Assoc. Prof. Anchaleeporn Waritswat Lothongkum for her valuable time in being my advisory committee member outside the PPC and giving the valuable recommendations.

The expenses of this research work were mainly supported by The Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. Program, The Advanced Research Scholar Grant from the

Thailand Research Fund (TRF), The Research Unit of Applied Surfactants for Separation and Pollution Control from Ratchadapisek Somphot Fund of Chulalongkorn University, and National Center of Excellence for Petroleum, Petrochemicals and Advanced Materials, Chulalongkorn University.

The surfactant, branched alcohol propoxylate sulfate sodium salt (ALFOTERRA® 145-5S Surfactants), used in this research work was donated by Sasol North America Inc., Texas, USA.

I would also like to express my deep appreciation to Dr. Ummarawadee Yanatatsaneejit for her kind valuable suggesstions and encouragement as well as making this research to be a fun-filled activity.

I would also like to thank my colleagues, including Ms. Alweeya Lapee-e and Mr. Weerawat Kongkowit, for sharing both good and difficult times together. The working atmosphere in the laboratory has always been pleasant and enjoyable.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all faculty and staff of the Petroleum and Petrochemical College and the Institute for Applied Surfactant Research at the University of Oklahoma for their kind assistance to facilitate all of my work, especially Mr. Robert Wright for his valuable suggestion in academic English writing.

Special thanks go to all PPC Ph.D. students, friends, seniors and juniors for their friendly assistance, cheerfulness, creative suggestions, and encouragement. I had the most enjoyable time working with all of them.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my parents, sister, and brother for their endless support, encouragement, and love throughout my Ph.D. study period.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE
	Title	Page	i
	Abstr	ract (in English)	iii
	Abtra	act (in Thai)	iv
	Ackn	owledgements	V
	Table	e of Contents	vii
	List o	of Tables	xi
	List o	of Figures	xii
СН	APTE]	R	
	I	INTRODUCTION	1
		1.1 State of Problem	1
		1.2 Objectives	3
		1.3 Scope of Work	4
	II	BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE SURVEY	6
		2.1 Surfactant	6
		2.2 Microemulsions	9
		2.3 Extended Surfactant	12
		2.4 Colloidal Gas Aphron (CGA)	13
		2.5 Foams	14
		2.5.1 Foamability	15
		2.5.2 Foam Stability	15
		2.6 Froth Flotation	16
		2.7 Studied Oils in this Work	22
		2.7.1 Diesel oil	22
		2.7.2 Motor oil	22
		2.7.3 Cutting oil	23

СНАРТЕ	R	PAGE
Ш	DIESEL OIL REMOVAL BY FROTH FLOTATION	25
	UNDER LOW INTERFACIAL TENSION	
	CONDITIONS BY CONTINUOUS MODE OF	
	OPERATION	
	3.1 Abstract	25
	3.2 Introduction	26
	3.3 Experimental Section	28
	3.3.1 Materials	28
	3.3.2 Methods	29
	3.3.2.1 Microemulsion Formation	29
	3.3.2.2 Froth Flotation Experiments	30
	3.3.2.3 Measurement of Foam Characteristics	31
	3.4 Results and Discussion	32
	3.4.1 Phase Behavior and IFT	32
	3.4.2 Foam Characteristics	38
	3.4.3 Froth Flotation Performance	43
	3.4.3.1 Effect of added SDS	44
	3.4.3.2 Effect of NaCl concentration	45
	3.4.3.3 Effect of oil-to-water ratio	46
	3.4.3.4 Effect of foam height	47
	3.4.3.5 Effect of air flow rate	48
	3.4.3.6 Effect of HRT	49
	3.5 Acknowledgements	50
	3.6 References	51
IV	DIESEL OIL REMOVAL FROM WATER BY	55
	FROTH FLOTATION UNDER LOW	
	INTERFACIAL TENSION AND COLLOIDAL GAS	
	APHRON CONDITIONS	

CHAPTER			PAGE
	4.1	Abstract	55
	4.2	Introduction	56
	4.3	Experimental Section	59
		4.3.1 Materials	59
		4.3.2 Methods	60
		4.3.2.1 Microemulsion Phase Studies	60
		4.3.2.2 Studies of Colloidal Gas Aphrons	60
		4.3.2.3 Froth Flotation Experiments	62
		4.3.2.4 Froth Formation and Froth Stability	64
		Experiments	
		4.3.2.5 Measurement of Size of CGA Froth	65
		and Air Bubbles in Froth Flotation	
		Column	
	4.4	Results and Discussion	66
		4.4.1 Interfacial Tension (IFT) and Microemulsion	66
		Types	
		4.4.2 Performance of Colloidal Gas Aphron (CGA)	67
		4.4.2.1 Effect of NaCl Concentration on the	67
		CGA Performance	
		4.4.2.2 Effect of Surfactant Concentration on	69
		the CGA Performance	
		4.4.2.3 Effect of Stirring Speed Concentration	71
		on the CGA Performance	
		4.4.2.4 Effect of Stirring Time Concentration	73
		on the CGA Performance	
		4.4.3 Process Performance of Froth Flotation	75
	4.5	Conclusions	80
	4.6	Acknowledgements	81
	4.7	References	81

CHAPTER		PAGE
V	MOTOR OIL REMOVAL FROM WASTEWATER	85
	BY CONTINUOUS FROTH FLOTATION USING	
	EXTENDED SURFACTANT: EFFECTS OF	
	OPERATIONAL PARAMETERS AND AIR BUBBLE	
	SIZE	
	5.1 Astract	85
	5.2 Introduction	85
	5.3 Experimental section	89
	5.3.1 Materials	89
	5.3.2 Methods	89
	5.3.2.1 Microemulsion Formation Studies	89
	5.3.2.2 Dynamic Surface Tension	90
	Measurement	
	5.3.2.3 Froth Flotation experiments	91
	5.3.2.4 Measurement of Air Bubble Size	93
	Distribution in Froth Flotation Column	
	5.3.2.5 Froth Characteristic Experiments	93
	5.4 Results and Discussion	94
	5.4.1 Phase Behaviors	94
	5.4.2 Interfacial Tension (IFT)	97
	5.4.3 Air Bubble Size Distribution in Froth Flotation	98
	Column	
	5.4.3.1 Effect of Surfactant Concentration	101
	5.4.3.2 Effect of Column Height	108
	5.4.3.3 Bubble Axial Velocity	110
	5.4.4 Froth Flotation Performance	113
	5.4.4.1 Effect of Surfactant Concentration	113
	5.4.4.2 Effect of Hydraulic Retention Time	115
	(HRT)	

CHAPTE	R	PAGE
	5.5 Acknowledgements	116
	5.6 References	117
VI	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	125
	6.1 Conclusions	125
	6.2 Recommendations	126
	REFERENCES	128
	CURRICULUM VITAE	133

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
4.1	Design and operating specifications of froth flotation column	63

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE	
2.1	Schematic structure of surfactant molecule monomer	7	
2.2	Schematic illustrations of surfactant association structures	8	
2.3	Schematic of an ionic surfactant solution showing	8	
	monomeric surfactants in solution and adsorbed at the		
	air/solution interface and micelle aggregates		
2.4	Schematic for oil-in-water (O/W) and water-in-oil (W/O)	10	
	microemulsion structures (the smaller molecules represent		
	cosurfactant)		
2.5	Demonstration of microemulsion phase behavior for a model	11	
-0	system		
2.6	General structure of the ALFOTERRA® surfactant	13	
2.7	The structure of CGA proposed by Sebba	14	
2.8	A generalized foam system showing aspects of a foam	15	
	lamella		
2.9	Schematic of a froth flotation column	. 18	
3.1	Schematic of the froth flotation apparatus with a continuous	31	
	mode of operation		
3.2	IFT as a function of surfactant concentration at the optimum	33	
	NaCl concentration of 5 wt.% for a single surfactant system		
	at an oil-to-water volumetric ratio of 1:1 and 30°C		
3.3	Microemulsion phase diagram (Fish diagram) of single	34	
	surfactant system (C ₁₄₋₁₅ (PO) ₅ SO ₄ Na) as a function of		
	salinity at an oil-to-water volumetric ratio of 1:1 and 30°C		
3.4	Interfacial tension as a function of total surfactant	35	
	concentration at optimum NaCl concentration of mixed		
	surfactant system and 30°C of the mixed surfactants		

FIGUI	FIGURE		PAGE
3.5	Phase or fish diagram of mixed surfactant system		36
	(C ₁₄₋₁₅ (PO) ₅ SO ₄ Na and SDS) at an oil-to-water volumetric ratio of 1:19 and 30°C		
3.6	IFT, foamability, and foam stability of the mixed surfactant		37
3.0	system as a function of salinity		37
3.7	IFT of the mixed surfactant system as a function of oil-to-		38
3.7	water volumetric ratio		20
3.8	Foamability and foam stability at different		39
2.5	C ₁₄₋₁₅ (PO) ₅ SO ₄ Na concentrations (without added SDS) with		
	and without premixing		
3.9	Effect of the addition of SDS on process parameters for		40
1.0-2 1-	continuous froth flotation		
3.10	Effect of NaCl concentration on process parameters for		41
	continuous froth flotation		
3.11	Effect of oil-to-water ratio on process parameters for		42
	continuous froth flotation		
3.12	Effect of air flow rate on process parameters for continuous		43
	froth flotation		
3.13	Effect of foam height on process parameters for continuous		47
	froth flotation		
3.14	Effect of hydraulic retention ratio (HRT) on process		50
	parameters for continuous froth flotation		
4.1	Structure of CGA proposed by Sebba		59
4.2	Schematic of the froth flotation apparatus applied with CGA		63
4.3	Images of air bubbles: (a) CGA froth (at a		65
	$[C_{14-15}(PO)_5SO_4Na]$ of 0.1 wt.%, a [NaCl] of 3 wt.%, an		
	oil:water ratio of 1:19, a stirring time of 5 min, and a stirring		
	speed of 5,000 rpm) and (b) air bubbles in the froth flotation		
	column (non-equilibrium with CGA)		

(IGURI	<u>t</u>	PAGI
	Equilibrium IFT and microemulsion type with diesel oil as a	67
	function of salinity at various C ₁₄₋₁₅ (PO) ₅ SO ₄ Na	
	concentrations, an oil-to-water ratio of 1:1, and at 30°C	
4.5	Effect of NaCl concentration on the performance of CGA:	69
	(a) CGA properties, and (b) separation efficiency of CGA	
	and IFT (opertated at a [C ₁₄₋₁₅ (PO) ₅ SO ₄ Na] of 0.1 wt.%, an	
	oil:water ratio of 1:19, a stirring speed of 5,000 rpm, and a	
	stirring time of 5 min)	
4.6	Effect of C ₁₄₋₁₅ (PO) ₅ SO ₄ Na concentration on the	71
	performance of CGA: (a) CGA properties, and (b) separation	
	efficiency of CGA and IFT (operated at a [NaCl] of 3 wt.%,	
	an oil:water ratio of 1:19, a stirring speed of 5,000 rpm, and	
	a stirring time of 5 min).	
4.7	Effect of stirring speed on the performance of CGA: (a)	73
	CGA properties, and (b) separation efficiency of CGA	
	(operated at a $[C_{14-15}(PO)_5SO_4Na]$ of 0.1 wt.%, a $[NaCl]$ of 3	
	wt.%, an oil:water ratio of 1:19, and a stirring time of 5	
	min).	
4.8	Effect of stirring time on the performance of CGA: (a) CGA	74
	properties, and (b) separation efficiency of CGA (operated at	
	a [C ₁₄₋₁₅ (PO) ₅ SO ₄ Na] of 0.1 wt.%, a [NaCl] of 3 wt.%, an	
	oil:water ratio of 1:19, and a stirring speed of 5,000 rpm).	
4.9	Froth flotation performance using a non-equilibrium feed	77
	without CGA: (a) separation efficiency, and (b) foam	
	characteristics (operated at a [C ₁₄₋₁₅ (PO) ₅ SO ₄ Na] of 0.1	
	wt.%, a [NaCl] of 3 wt.%, an oil:water ratio of 1:19).	

FIGURE		PAGE
4.10	Froth flotation performance using an equilibrium feed without CGA: (a) separation efficiency, and (b) foam	78
	characteristics (operated at a [C ₁₄₋₁₅ (PO) ₅ SO ₄ Na] of 0.1	
	wt.%, a [NaCl] of 3 wt.%, and an oil:water ratio of 1:19).	
4.11	Froth flotation performance using a non-equilibrium feed	79
	with CGA: (a) separation efficiency, and (b) foam	
	characteristics (operated at a [C ₁₄₋₁₅ (PO) ₅ SO ₄ Na] of 0.1	
	wt.%, a [NaCl] of 3 wt.%, an oil:water ratio of 1:19).	
4.12	Comparison of the froth flotation performance of three feed	80
	conditions at optimum air flow rate of 0.3 l/min, a [C_{14} .	
	15(PO)5SO4Na] of 0.1 wt.%, a [NaCl] of 3 wt.%, nd an	
	oil:water ratio of 1:19.	