

## REFERENCES

- Ahsan, A., Karlsen, D.A., and Patience, R.L. (1997). Petroleum biodegradation in the tertiary reservoirs of the North Sea. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 14(1), 55-64.
- Andrea, G., Loredana, S., Francesco, P., Raffaele, C., and Giuseppe, B. (2004). Sequencing batch reactor performance treating PAH contaminated lagoon sediments. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 119(1-3), 159-166.
- Aquino, S.F. and Stuckey, D.C. (2003). Production of soluble microbial products (SMP) in anaerobic chemostats under nutrient deficiency. J. Environ. Eng., 29, 1007-1014.
- Atlas, R.M. and Bartha, R. (1972). Biodegradation of petroleum in sea water at low temperature. Can. J. Microbial, 18, 1851-1855.
- Atlas, R.M., Sexstone, A., Gustin, P., Miller, O., Linkins, P., and Everenttt, K. (1980). Biodegradation of Crude Oil by Tundra soil Microorganisms. London: Pitman Publishing Ltd.
- Atlas, R.M. (1981). Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbon: An environmental perspective. Microbial. Rev., 45, 180-209.
- Atlas, R.M. (1986). Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbons: An environmental perspective. Microbiol. Rev., 45, 180-209.
- Attavavuthichai, T. (2006). Surfactant-enhanced biodegradation of crude oil sludge in sequencing batch reactor. M.S. Thesis, The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University.
- Bossert, I. and bartha, R. (1984). The fate of petroleum in soil ecosystems. Petroleum Microbiology. New York: Macmillan Publishing Co., 434-476.
- Bucker, E.N., jones, R.B., and Pfaender, F.K. (1976). Characterization of microbial isolates from an estuarine ecosystem: relationship of hydrocarbon utilization to ambient hydrocarbon concentrations. Appl. Environ. Microbiol, 32, 232-237.
- Celis, E., Elefsiniotis, P., and Singhal, N. (2008). Biodegradation of agricultural herbicides in sequencing batch reactors under aerobic or anaerobic conditions. Water Research. (In Press).

- Chung, N. and Alexander, M. (1997). Effect of concentration of sequestration and bioavailability of two polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Environmental Science and Technology, 33, 3605-3608.
- Colwell, R.R. and Waler, J.D. (1977). Ecological aspects of microbial degradation of petroleum in the marine environment. Crit. Rev. Microbial., 5, 423-445.
- Cooker, J.T. Jr. (1995). Bioremediation Engineering: Design and Application. Mc Graw-Hill Inc.
- Comchumpoo, K. (2007). Surfactant-enhanced biodegradation of oil sludge in sequencing batch reactor: effects of surfactant concentration, oil loading rate, and number of cycle per day. M.S. Thesis, The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University.
- Eaton, A.D., Clesceri, L.S., Rice, E.W., and Greenberg, A.E. (2005). Standard Methods for The Examination of Water and Wastewater, 21<sup>st</sup> edition, APHA, AWWA, and WEF. Washington D.C.
- Fusey, P. and Oudot, J. (1984). Relative influence of physical removal and biodegradation in the depuration of petroleum contaminated seashore sediments. Mar. pollu. Bull., 15, 136-141.
- Gibson, D. (1984). Microbial degradation of organic compounds. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Gogolev, A. and Wilke, B.M. (1996). The role of oxygen diffusion in passive bioremediation of petroleum contaminated soils. Journal of Hazardous materials, 51, 93-113.
- Hinchee, R.E., Downey, D.C., Dupont, R.R., Aggarwal, P.K., and Miller, R.N. (1991). Enhancing biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons through soil venting. Journal of Hazardous materials, 27, 315-325.
- Huang, H., Bowler, B.F.J., Oldenburg, T.B.P., and Larter, S.R. (2004). The effect of biodegradation on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in reservoir oils from the liaohe basin, NE China. Organic Geochemistry, 35, 1619-1634.
- Janiyani, K.L., Wate, S.R., and Joshi, S.R. (1993). Solubilization of hydrocarbons from oil sludge by synthetic surfactants. Journal of Chemical Biotechnology, 56, 305-308.

- Koopmans, M.P., Larter, S.R., Zhang, C., Mei, B., Wu, T., and Chen, Y. (2002). Biodegradation and mixing of crude oils in Eocene Es3 reservoirs of the Liaohe basin, Northeastern China. American Association of petroleum geologists Bulletin, 86, 183-1843.
- Magoon, L.B. and Claypool, G.E. (1981). Two oil types on north Slope of Alaska; implications for exploration. Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull., 65, 644-652.
- Marris, A.L. and Michael, A.G. (1993). Biotreatment of industrial and hazardous waste. New York: McGraw-Hill Inc.
- Neissen, W.R. (1978). Combustion and Incineration Process. Applications in Environmental Engineering. New York: Marcell Dekker.
- Nora, R.O. and Juan, C.L. (2001). Phenol biodegradation using a repeated batch culture of Canadian tropicalis in multistage bubble column. Revista latinoamericana de microbiologia, 43, 19-25.
- Perry, J.J. (1984). Microbial metabolism of cyclic alkanes. Petroleum microbiology. New York: Mac-millan, 61-98.
- Ruttanapol, A. (2004). Enhanced biodegradation of oil sludge from the petroleum industry using surfactant. M.S. Thesis, The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University.
- Pritchard, P.H., Mueller, J.G., Rogers, J.C., Kremer, F.V., and Glaser, J.A. (1992). Oil spill in Alaska. Biodegradation, 3, 315-335.
- Samanta, S.K., Bhushan, B., and Jain, R.K. (2001). Efficiency of naphthalene and salicylate degradation by a recombinant pseudomonas putida mutant strain defective in glucose metabolism. Applied microbiology and Biotechnology, 55, 627-631.
- Suehara, K., Kawamoto, Y., Fujii, E., Kohda, J., Nakano, Y., and Yano, T. (2005). Biological treatment of wastewater discharged from biodiesel fuel plant with alkali-catalyzed transesterification. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 100(4), 437-442.
- Sugiura, K., Ishihara, M., Shimauchi, T., and Harayama, S. (1997). Physicochemical properties and biodegradability of crude oil. Environment Science and Technology, 31, 45-51.

- Suna Erses, A., Onay, T.T., and Yenigun, O. (2008). Comparison of aerobic and anaerobic degradation of municipal solid waste in bioreactor landfills. Bioresource Technology, 99(13), 5418-5426.
- Thomas, J.M., Yordy, J.R., Amador, J.A., and Alexander, M. (1986). Rate of dissolution and biodegradation of water-insoluble organic compounds. Application of Environmental Microbiology, 52, 290-296.
- Ward, D.M. and Brock, T.D. (1978). Hydrocarbon biodegradation in hyper saline environments. Application in Environmental Microbiology, 27, 353-359.
- Wong, J.W.C., Lai, K.M., Wan, C.K., Ma, K.K., and Fang, M. (2002). Isolation and optimization of PAH degradative bacteria from contaminated soil for PAHs bioremediation. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution, 139, 1-13.

## APPENDICES

### Appendix A Experimental Data of Effect of Nutrient Ratio.

#### 1. COD Method

**Table A-1** COD values and percent removal of biodiesel wastewater added nutrients at COD loading rate 0.10 and 0.60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d (Average of COD influent was 524 mg/L)

Day	COD Effluent at COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	% removal of COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	COD Effluent at COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	% removal of COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d
1	133.7	74.49	314.76	48.87
2	124.46	76.25	276.73	55.05
3	122.07	76.71	222.94	63.79
4	96.36	81.61	207.42	66.31
5	97.41	81.41	200.91	67.37
6	93.41	82.18	179.70	70.81
7	89.67	82.89	209.16	66.03
8	75.94	85.51	203.90	66.88
9	76.21	85.46	205.84	66.57
10	63.33	87.92	196.39	68.10
11	56.14	88.72	199.65	67.57
12	51.14	90.24	193.24	68.61
13	52.77	89.93	-	-
14	54.78	89.55	-	-
15	49.75	90.51	-	-

**Table A-2** COD values and percent removal of the control at COD loading rate 0.10 and 0.60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d (Average of COD influent was 650 mg/L)

Day	COD Effluent at COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	% removal of COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	COD Effluent at COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	% removal of COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d
1	263.01	59.55	428.25	34.14
2	226.14	65.22	377.78	41.90
3	215.69	66.83	358.03	44.94
4	221.98	65.86	362.77	44.21
5	236.66	63.61	343.78	47.13
6	188.47	71.02	306.43	52.88
7	219.84	66.19	351.32	45.97
8	195.57	69.93	306.80	52.82
9	167.12	74.30	344.13	47.08
10	162.20	75.06	336.47	48.26
11	157.63	75.76	379.16	41.69
12	179.90	72.33	316.82	51.28
13	182.38	71.95	305.59	53.01
14	196.62	69.76	357.30	45.05
15	207.85	68.04	310.97	52.18
16	204.95	68.48	328.28	49.52

## 2. TOC Method

**Table A-3** TOC values and percent removal of biodiesel wastewater added nutrients at COD loading rate 0.10 and 0.60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d (Average of TOC influent was 146 mg/L)

Day	TOC Effluent at COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	% removal of COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	TOC Effluent at COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	% removal of COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d
1	92.83	20.41	143.50	1.67
2	88.15	24.43	145.30	0.43
3	90.96	220.02	136.37	6.55
4	84.41	27.63	137.10	6.05
5	94.48	19.00	139.60	4.34
6	91.20	21.81	123.27	15.53
7	87.39	25.08	124.43	14.73
8	91.14	21.86	131.23	10.07
9	89.04	23.66	132.87	8.95
10	88.61	24.03	120.83	17.20
11	85.75	26.48	132.10	9.48
12	86.92	25.48	123.10	15.64
13	91.46	21.59	-	-
14	79.47	31.87	-	-
15	75.33	35.42	-	-

**Table A-4** TOC values and percent removal of the control at COD loading rate 0.10 and 0.60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d (Average of TOC influent was 161 mg/L)

Day	TOC Effluent at COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	% removal of COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	TOC Effluent at COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	% removal of COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d
1	155.73	3.53	159.57	1.15
2	157.97	2.14	153.27	5.05
3	155.90	3.43	157.03	2.73
4	156.65	2.96	151.20	6.34
5	152.83	5.33	153.97	4.62
6	152.83	5.33	157.10	2.68
7	151.03	6.44	141.23	12.51
8	140.47	12.98	157.07	2.70
9	135.80	15.88	143.57	11.06
10	135.03	16.35	151.63	6.07
11	138.70	14.08	141.10	12.59
12	136.40	15.51	144.03	10.78
13	142.03	12.02	147.80	8.44
14	142.87	11.50	143.33	11.21
15	141.33	12.45	140.73	12.82
16	138.07	14.47	143.60	11.05

### 3. MLSS Method

**Table A-5** MLSS and F/M ratio of the biodiesel wastewater added nutrients at COD loading rate 0.10 and 0.60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d

Day	COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	
	MLSS (mg/L)	F/M ratio	MLSS (mg/L)	F/M ratio
4	1,270	0.06	840	0.49
8	1,655	0.05	950	0.43
12	1,575	0.04	1830	0.23
15	1,785	0.04	-	-

**Table A-6** MLSS and F/M ratio of the control at COD loading rate 0.10 and 0.60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d

Day	COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	
	MLSS (mg/L)	F/M ratio	MLSS (mg/L)	F/M ratio
4	820	0.09	1170	0.25
8	1,130	0.07	910	0.38
12	780	0.10	1100	0.30
16	1,090	0.07	1140	0.28

$$F/M \text{ ratio} = \frac{(COD_{in} - COD_{out}) \times \text{Flow rate}}{\text{Amount of bacteria} \times \text{Volume of aeration tank}}$$

#### 4. Effluent SS Method

**Table A-7** Effluent SS of the biodiesel wastewater added nutrients at COD loading rate 0.10 and 0.60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d

Day	COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d
4	32	112
8	60	132
12	44	113
15	60	-

**Table A-8** Effluent SS of the control at COD loading rate 0.10 and 0.60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d

Day	COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d
4	86	145
8	102	160
12	80	157
16	83	112

## Appendix B Experimental Data of Effect of COD Loading Rate.

### 1. COD Method

**Table B-1** COD values and percent removal of dilute biodiesel wastewater (Average of COD effluent of COD loading rate 0.05 and 0.075 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d were equal to 632.97 mg/L, COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d was equal to 524.08 mg/L, COD loading rate 0.20 and 0.40 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d were equal to 591.09 mg/L, and COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d was equal to 615.65 mg/L)

Day	COD loading rate 0.05 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.075 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.20 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.40 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	
	COD (mg/L)	% removal	COD (mg/L)	% removal	COD (mg/L)	% removal	COD (mg/L)	% removal	COD (mg/L)	% removal	COD (mg/L)	% removal
1	66.96	89.42	77.80	87.71	133.70	74.49	181.53	69.29	181.29	69.33	314.76	48.87
2	50.18	92.07	75.03	88.15	124.46	76.25	131.72	77.72	185.49	68.62	276.73	55.05
3	81.91	87.06	80.69	87.25	122.07	76.71	137.98	76.66	167.44	71.67	222.94	63.79
4	56.35	91.10	77.40	87.77	96.36	81.61	126.21	78.65	185.13	68.68	207.42	66.31
5	68.75	89.14	71.02	88.78	97.41	81.41	140.21	76.28	194.21	67.14	200.91	67.37
6	93.21	85.27	97.95	84.53	93.41	82.18	84.44	85.71	189.90	67.87	179.70	70.81
7	87.62	86.16	63.49	89.97	89.67	82.89	79.87	86.49	135.33	77.11	209.16	66.03
8	75.36	88.09	64.00	89.89	75.94	85.51	71.99	87.82	102.47	82.66	203.90	66.88
9	76.88	87.85	71.70	88.67	76.21	85.46	41.51	92.98	65.60	88.90	205.84	66.57
10	69.72	88.99	80.88	87.22	63.33	87.92	58.68	90.07	67.33	88.61	196.39	68.10
11	77.52	87.75	76.04	87.99	56.14	88.72	58.00	90.19	54.51	90.78	199.65	67.57
12	69.93	88.95	77.38	87.78	51.14	90.24	55.37	90.63	87.57	85.19	193.24	68.61
13	64.06	89.88	59.11	90.66	52.77	89.93	71.07	87.98	72.35	87.76	-	-
14	57.65	90.89	53.57	91.54	54.78	89.55	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	54.74	91.35	57.59	90.90	49.75	90.51	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	51.56	91.85	69.39	89.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	54.01	91.47	57.13	90.97	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

## 2. TOC Method

**Table B-2** TOC values and percent removal of dilute biodiesel wastewater (Average of TOC effluent of COD loading rate 0.05 and 0.075 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d were equal to 144.15 mg/L, COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d was equal to 116.64 mg/L, COD loading rate 0.20 and 0.40 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d were equal to 146.98 mg/L, and COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m<sup>3</sup>d was equal to 145.93 mg/L)

Day	COD loading rate 0.05 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.075 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.20 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.40 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	
	TOC (mg/L)	% removal	TOC (mg/L)	% removal	TOC (mg/L)	% removal	TOC (mg/L)	% removal	TOC (mg/L)	% removal	TOC (mg/L)	% removal
1	105.77	26.63	97.29	32.51	92.83	20.41	130.87	10.96	116.87	20.49	143.50	1.67
2	94.81	34.23	91.80	36.32	88.15	24.43	125.73	14.46	123.20	16.18	145.30	0.43
3	98.52	31.65	98.87	31.41	90.96	22.02	126.27	14.09	114.53	22.08	136.37	6.55
4	102.34	29.00	97.83	32.13	84.41	27.63	132.00	10.19	105.90	27.95	137.10	6.05
5	103.98	27.87	99.10	31.25	94.48	19.00	96.05	34.65	104.33	29.02	139.60	4.34
6	102.65	28.79	97.16	32.60	91.20	21.81	95.81	34.81	99.36	32.40	123.27	15.53
7	99.85	30.73	96.73	32.90	87.39	25.08	93.84	36.15	107.00	27.20	124.43	14.73
8	103.00	28.55	100.61	30.20	91.14	21.86	95.16	35.26	111.60	24.07	131.23	10.07
9	106.85	25.88	108.70	24.59	89.04	23.66	105.40	28.29	104.67	28.79	132.87	8.95
10	105.95	26.50	104.90	27.23	88.61	24.03	97.14	33.91	103.09	29.86	120.83	17.20
11	102.85	28.65	102.35	29.00	85.75	26.48	100.42	31.68	103.52	29.57	132.10	9.48
12	103.60	28.13	109.10	24.31	86.92	25.48	98.24	33.16	105.31	28.35	123.10	15.64
13	111.70	22.51	98.30	31.81	83.46	28.45	103.86	29.34	105.46	28.25	-	-
14	91.17	36.75	99.53	30.95	79.47	31.87	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	93.37	35.23	101.20	29.80	75.33	35.42	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	95.27	33.91	96.30	33.19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	91.05	36.84	92.20	36.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

### 3. MLSS Method

**Table B-3** MLSS and F/M ratio of the dilute biodiesel wastewater

Day	COD loading rate 0.05 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.075 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.20 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.40 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d		COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d	
	MLSS (mg/L)	F/M ratio	MLSS (mg/L)	F/M ratio	MLSS (mg/L)	F/M ratio	MLSS (mg/L)	F/M ratio	MLSS (mg/L)	F/M ratio	MLSS (mg/L)	F/M ratio
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	1,520	0.03	1,480	0.05	1,270	0.06	1,720	0.09	1,295	0.21	840	0.49
5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	2,220	0.02	1,420	0.05	1,655	0.05	1,610	0.11	1,315	0.25	950	0.43
9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	1,927	0.02	1,867	0.04	1,575	0.04	-	-	-	-	1,830	0.23
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,630	0.10	1,250	0.28	-	-
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	-	-	-	-	1,785	0.04	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	1,815	0.03	1,750	0.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### 4. Effluent SS Method

**Table B-4** Effluent SS of the dilute biodiesel wastewater

Day	Effluent SS at COD loading rate 0.05 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	Effluent SS at COD loading rate 0.075 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	Effluent SS at COD loading rate 0.10 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	Effluent SS at COD loading rate 0.20 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	Effluent SS at COD loading rate 0.40 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)	Effluent SS at COD loading rate 0.60 kg/m <sup>3</sup> d (mg/L)
1	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	55	52	32	51	65	112
5	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	52	58	60	51	52	132
9	-	-	-	-	-	-
10	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	40	67	44	-	-	113
13	-	-	-	45	47	-
14	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	-	-	60	-	-	-
16	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	31	33	-	-	-	-

## CURRICULUM VITAE

**Name:** Ms. Chatchada Ratanachan

**Date of Birth:** May 29, 1984

**Nationality:** Thai

**University Education:**

2001–2005 Bachelor Degree of Science in Physics (second class honor),  
Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand

**Presentations:**

1. Ratanachan, C., Malakul, P., and Chavadej, S. (2009, April 22) Biological treatment of biodiesel wastewater using a sequencing batch reactor (SBR). Paper presented at The 15<sup>th</sup> PPC Symposium on Petroleum, Petrochemicals, and Polymers, Bangkok, Thailand.

