

CHAPTER IV

EMBEDDING THEOREMS

In this chapter we shall study embedding theorems involving ratio seminear-rings and seminear-fields.

Theorem 4.1. Every ratio seminear-ring can be embedded into a 0-seminear-field.

Proof. Let D be a ratio seminear-ring. Let a be a symbol not representing any element of D . Extend $+$ and \cdot from D to $D \cup \{a\}$ by $ax = xa = a$ for all $x \in D \cup \{a\}$ and $a + x = x + a = x$ for all $x \in D \cup \{a\}$. It is easy to check that $(D \cup \{a\}, +, \cdot)$ is a seminear-field. Define $f : D \rightarrow D \cup \{a\}$ by $f(x) = x$ for all $x \in D$. Then f is clearly a monomorphism. Hence D can be embedded into a 0-seminear-field.

#

Theorem 4.2. Every ratio seminear-ring can be embedded into an ∞ -seminear-field.

Proof. Let D be a ratio seminear-ring. Let a be a symbol not representing any element of D . Extend $+$ and \cdot from D to $D \cup \{a\}$ by $ax = xa = a$ for all $x \in D \cup \{a\}$ and $a + x = x + a = a$ for all $x \in D \cup \{a\}$. It is easy to check that $(D \cup \{a\}, +, \cdot)$ is a seminear-field. Define $g : D \rightarrow D \cup \{a\}$ by $g(x) = x$ for all $x \in D$. Then g is clearly a monomorphism. Hence D can be embedded into a ∞ -seminear-field.

#

Theorem 4.3. Let D be a ratio seminear-ring such that $(D, +)$ is a left zero semigroup. Then D can be embedded into an additive left zero seminear-field with a category I special element.

Proof. Let a be a symbol not representing any element of D .
 Extend $+$ and \cdot from D to $D \cup \{a\}$ by $ax = xa = a$ for all $x \in D \cup \{a\}$
 and $a + x = a$ and $x + a = x$ for all $x \in D \cup \{a\}$. Claim that
 $x + y = x$ for all $x, y \in D \cup \{a\}$. Let $x, y \in D \cup \{a\}$. If $x, y \in D$
 then $x + y = x$ since $(D, +)$ is a left zero semigroup. Suppose that
 $x = a$ or $y = a$. By extending $+$, we get that $x + y = x$. Hence
 $x + y = x$ for all $x, y \in D \cup \{a\}$. Therefore $(D \cup \{a\}, +, \cdot)$ is a
 seminear-field. Define $f : D \rightarrow D \cup \{a\}$ by $f(x) = x$ for all $x \in D$.
 Then f is clearly a monomorphism. Hence we obtain this Theorem. #

Theorem 4.4. Let D be a ratio seminear-ring such that $(D, +)$ is
 a right zero semigroup. Then D can be embedded into an additive
 right zero seminear-field with a category I special element.

Proof. This proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3. #

From Theorem 2.12 and Theorem 3.24 we get the following
 two theorems.

Theorem 4.5. Every ratio seminear-ring can be embedded into a
 seminear-field with a category II special element.

Theorem 4.6. Every ratio seminear-ring can be embedded into a
 seminear-field with a category VI special element.

Theorem 4.7. Let K be a seminear-field with a category I special
 element. Then K can be embedded into a seminear-field with a
 category II special element if and only if $|K| = 2$.

Proof. Let a be the category I special element of K and e the
 identity of $(K \setminus \{a\}, \cdot)$. Assume that K can be embedded into
 a seminear-field K' with a category II special element. Let a'
 be the category II special element of K' and let e' be the

identity of $(K \setminus \{a\}, \cdot)$. Then, up to isomorphism, we can consider that $K \subseteq K'$. Since K' has two multiplicative idempotents, $\{e, a\} = \{e', a'\}$. If $e = e'$ then $a = a'$. Thus $a' = a = a \cdot e = a' \cdot e' = e'$, a contradiction. Hence $e = a'$ and $a = e'$. Suppose that $|K| > 2$. Let $x \in K \setminus \{e, a\}$. Then $x = e'x = ax = a$, a contradiction. Therefore $|K| = 2$.

Conversely, assume that $|K| = 2$. Then $K = \{a, e\}$. By Theorem 1.31, K must have one of structures given below :

$$(1) \begin{array}{c|c|c} \cdot & e & a \\ \hline e & e & a \\ \hline a & a & a \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c} \cdot & e & a \\ \hline e & e & a \\ \hline a & a & a \end{array} \quad \text{or}$$

$$(2) \begin{array}{c|c|c} \cdot & e & a \\ \hline e & e & a \\ \hline a & a & a \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c} + & e & a \\ \hline e & e & e \\ \hline a & e & a \end{array} \quad \text{or}$$

$$(3) \begin{array}{c|c|c} \cdot & e & a \\ \hline e & e & a \\ \hline a & a & a \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c} + & e & a \\ \hline e & e & e \\ \hline a & a & a \end{array} \quad \text{or}$$

$$(4) \begin{array}{c|c|c} \cdot & e & a \\ \hline e & e & a \\ \hline a & a & a \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c} + & e & a \\ \hline e & e & a \\ \hline a & e & a \end{array} \quad \text{or}$$

$$(5) \begin{array}{c|c|c} \cdot & e & a \\ \hline e & e & a \\ \hline a & a & a \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c} + & e & a \\ \hline e & a & a \\ \hline a & a & a \end{array} \quad \cdot$$

Let $K' = \{a', e'\}$ be a set. Define $+$ and \cdot on K' as follows :

$$(i) \begin{array}{c|c|c} \cdot & e' & a' \\ \hline e' & e' & e' \\ \hline a' & e' & a' \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|c|c} + & e' & a' \\ \hline e' & e' & e' \\ \hline a' & e' & a' \end{array} \quad \text{or}$$



$$\begin{array}{l}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 \cdot & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 e' & e' & e' \\
 \hline
 a' & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 \end{array} \\
 \text{(ii)}
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{and} \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 + & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 e' & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 a' & a' & a' \\
 \hline
 \end{array} \\
 \text{or}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 \cdot & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 e' & e' & e' \\
 \hline
 a' & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 \end{array} \\
 \text{(iii)}
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{and} \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 + & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 e' & e' & e' \\
 \hline
 a' & a' & a' \\
 \hline
 \end{array} \\
 \text{or}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 \cdot & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 e' & e' & e' \\
 \hline
 a' & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 \end{array} \\
 \text{(iv)}
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{and} \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 + & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 e' & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 a' & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 \end{array} \\
 \text{or}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 \cdot & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 e' & e' & e' \\
 \hline
 a' & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 \end{array} \\
 \text{(v)}
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{and} \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|}
 \hline
 + & e' & a' \\
 \hline
 e' & e' & e' \\
 \hline
 a' & e' & e' \\
 \hline
 \end{array} \\
 \text{.}
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

It is easy to verify that K with structure (1),(2),(3), (4) and (5) are isomorphic to K' with structure (i),(ii),(iii), (iv) and (v) respectively.

Theorem 4.8. Let K be a seminear-field with a category I or II special element. Then K cannot be embedded into a seminear-field with a category VI special element.

Proof. By hypothesis, K has two multiplicative idempotents. Since a seminear-field with a category VI special element contains exactly one multiplicative idempotent, we are done.

#

Corollary 4.9. Let K be a 0-seminear-field or an ∞ -seminear-field. Then K can not be embedded into a seminear-field with a category VI special element.

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 4.9.

Theorem 4.10. Let K be a seminear-field with a category VI special element. Then K cannot be embedded into a seminear-field with a category I special element.

Proof. Let a be a category VI special element of K and e the identity of $(K \setminus \{a\}, \cdot)$. Suppose that K can be embedded into a seminear-field K' with a category I special element a' .

Let e' be the identity of $(K' \setminus \{a'\}, \cdot)$. Consider, up to isomorphism, $K \subseteq K'$. Note that $a \neq a'$. Since $e^2 = e$, $e = e'$ or $e = a'$. If $e = e'$ then $a = e'a = ea \neq a$, a contradiction. Hence $e = a'$. Since $a^2 \neq a$, $a' = a^2a' = a^2e = a^2$. Since $a \in K' \setminus \{a'\}$, there is a $y \in K' \setminus \{a'\}$ such that $ay = e'$. Thus $a = ae' = a(ay) = a^2y = a'y = a'$, a contradiction.

#

Theorem 4.11. Let K be a seminear-field with a category VI special element. Then K cannot be embedded into a seminear-field with a category II special element.

Proof. Let a be a category VI special element of K and e the identity of $(K \setminus \{a\}, \cdot)$. Suppose that K can be embedded into a seminear-field K' with a' as a category II special element. Let e' be the identity of $(K' \setminus \{a'\}, \cdot)$. Consider, up to isomorphism, $K \subseteq K'$. Note that $a \neq a'$. Since $e^2 = e$, $e = e'$ or $e = a'$. If $e = e'$ then $ae = ae' = a$ contradicting the fact that $ae \neq a$. If $e = a'$ then $ae = aa' = a$ contradicting the fact that $ae \neq a$.

#

Remark 4.12. Let K be a seminear-field with a category III or IV special element. Then K cannot be embedded into a seminear-field with a category V special element.

Proof. Since K has two multiplicative idempotents but a seminear-field with a category V special element contains exactly one multiplicative idempotent, we obtain Remark 4.3.

#

Using a similar proof as in Remark 4.12, we obtain the following remarks :

Remark 4.13. Let K be a seminear-field with a category III or IV special element. Then K cannot be embedded into a seminear-field with a category VI special element.

Remark 4.14. Let K be a seminear-field with a category V special element. Then K cannot be embedded into a seminear-field with a category III and IV special element.

Remark 4.15. Let K be a seminear-field of order 2 with a category VI special element. Then K cannot be embedded into a seminear-field with a category III and IV special element.

Remark 4.16. Let K be a seminear-field of order 2 containing a category I or II special element. Then K cannot be embedded into a seminear-field with a category V special element.

From page 64 we see that, up to isomorphism, there are 3 seminear-fields with a category V special element. Let $K = \{a, e\}$ with structure given in page 64

Let (G, \cdot) be a group containing an element x_0 of order 2. Let a be a symbol not representing any element of G and let $d \in G$. Let $K' = G \cup \{a\}$. Define $+$ on K' and extend \cdot to K' by

$$ax = dx \text{ and } xa = xd \text{ for all } x \in G, a^2 = d^2 \text{ and either}$$

(i) $x + y = y$ for all $x, y \in K'$ or

(ii) $x + y = x$ for all $x, y \in K'$.

Then $(K', +, \cdot)$ is a seminear-field with a as a category VI special element. Let e' be the identity of (G, \cdot)

Define $f : K \rightarrow K'$ by $f(e) = e'$ and $f(a) = x_0$. It is easy to check that K with structure (3) can be embedded into a seminear-field K' with statement (i) and K with structure (4) can be embedded into a seminear-field K' with statement (ii).

Conjecture : K' with structure (1) can be embedded into a seminear-field with a category VI special element.

Definition 4.17. Let K be a seminear-field and $L \subseteq K$. L is said to be a subseminear-field of K iff L forms a seminear-field with respect to the same operations on K .

Definition 4.18. Let K be a seminear-field. Let L be a subseminear-field of K . L is called the prime seminear-field of K iff L is the smallest subseminear-field of K .

Let K be a seminear-field and let a be a special element of K . If $a^2 = a$ (i.e. a is a category I, II, III or IV special element of K) then, by Theorem 3.9 in [1] page 27, there exist a smallest subseminear-field contained in K .

For examples, see page 47 - 59 in [1].

Now we shall give an examples of a seminear-field K with a as a category VI special element such that the prime seminear-field does not exist.

Example 4.19. Let $K = \{e, b, a\}$ with the structure :

.	e	b	a
e	e	b	e
b	b	e	b
a	e	b	e

+	e	b	a
e	e	b	a
b	e	b	a
a	e	b	a

It is easy to check that K is a seminear-field with a as a category VI special element.

Let $K_1 = \{e, b\}$. Then $(K_1, +, \cdot)$ is a subseminear-field of K . Note that K_1 is a seminear-field with b as a category V special element.

Let $K_2 = \{e, a\}$. Then $(K_2, +, \cdot)$ is a subseminear-field of K . Note that K_2 is a seminear-field with a as a category VI special element. Thus $K_1 \cap K_2 = \{e\}$ which is not seminear-field.

Hence a prime seminear-field does not exist.

Remark : We shall state open questions for future research.

(1) Can a seminear-field with a category V special element be embedded into a seminear-field with a category II special element?

(2) Can a seminear-field with a category V special element be embedded into a seminear-field with a category VI special element?

(3) Under what conditions can a seminear-field with a category I special element be embedded into a near-field.