# การกำจัดสารประกอบปรอทและอาร์เซนิกออกออกจากไฮโดรคาร์บอนเหลว โดยการดูดซับบนตัวดูดซับนิกเกิลคอปเปอร์



นายพิชาญ ตันดิชัยปกรณ์

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิศวกรรมศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต
สาขาวิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี ภาควิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี
บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย
ปีการศึกษา 2541
ISBN 974-639-760-5
ลิขสิทธิ์ของบัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

# REMOVAL OF MERCURY AND ARSENIC COMPOUNDS FROM LIQUID HYDROCARBON BY ADSORPTION ON Ni-Cu ADSORBENTS

Mr. PICHAN TANTICHAIPAKORN

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Engineering in Chemical Engineering
Department of Chemical Engineering

Graduate School

Chulalongkorn University

Academic Year 1998

ISBN 974-639-760-5

Copyright of the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title	Removal of Mercury and Arsenic Compounds from
	Liquid Hydrocarbon by Adsorption on Ni-Cu Adsorbents
Ву	Mr. Pichan Tantichaipakorn
Department	Chemical Engineering
Thesis Advisor	Jirdsak Tscheikuna, Ph.D.
Accepted b	y the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in Partial
Fulfillment of the	Requirements for the Master's Degree.
\( \sigma_{\text{o}}^{\text{o}}	Professor Supawat Chutivongse, M.D.)
Thesis Committee	
	Wart Tanthagenichalor Chairman (Professor Wiwut Tanthapanichakoon, Ph.D.)  Lidsal Declarie
	Thesis Advisor
	(Jirdsak Tscheikuna, Ph.D.)
	Abouton. Member
	(Assistance Professor Sasithorn Boon-Long, Dr.3° cycle)

(Deacha Chatsiriwech, Ph.D.)

#### พิมพต์นาบับบทคัดย่อวิทยานิพนธภายในกรอบสีเขียวนี้เพียงแผ่นเดียว

พิชาญ ตันติชัยปกรณ์: การกำจัดสารประกอบของปรอทและอาร์เซนิกออกจากไฮโดร่คาร์บอนเหลว โดยการดูดขับบนตัวดูดขับนิกเกิลคอปเปอร์ (REMOVAL OF MERCURY AND ARSENIC COMPOUNDS FROM LIQUID HYDROCARBON BY ADSORPTION ON Ni-Cu ADSORBANTS) อ.ที่ปรึกษา: ดร.เจิดตักดี์ ไชยคุนา, 113 หน้า. ISBN 974-639-760-5

การวิจัยครั้งนี้ เป็นการศึกษาการกำจัดสารประกอบของอาร์เชนิกและปรอทจากไฮโดรคาร์บอนเหลวบน ตัวดูดชับ ทำการทดลองที่ความคันบรรชากาศ และ อุณหภูมิ 30 50 และ 70 องศาเชลเชียส ใช้เมอคิวริกคลอไรด์และ อาร์เชนิกออกไซด์เป็นตัวแทนสารประกอบปรอทและอาร์เชนิกในรูปของโลทะอนินทรีย์ สารประกอบของปรอททั้งสอง ชนิดถูกละลายในโทลูอีนซึ่งเป็นสารตั้งตันเป็นตัวแทนของไฮโดรคาร์บอนเหลวโดยความเช้มชันเริ่มดันของปรอทคือ 1 ส่วนในล้านส่วนและความเช้มขันเริ่มต้นของอาร์เชนิกเท่ากับ 10 ส่วนในล้านส่วนตัวดูดชับที่ใช้คือใช้ อะลูมินา นิกเกิล ออกไซด์บนอะลูมินา คอปเปอร์ออกไซด์บนอะลูมินา และ นิกเกิลคอปเปอร์บนอะลูมินา

ผลที่ได้แสดงว่าประสิทธิภาพการดูดชับของสารประกอบของปรอทและอาร์เซนิกขึ้นกับอุณหภูมิ ประสิทธิภาพในการดูดชับสารประกอบของปรอทและอาร์เซนิกเพิ่มขึ้นตามลำดับดังนี้ ตัวดูดชับคอปเปอร์ > ตัวดูดชับ นิกเกิล > ตัวดูดชับอะลูมินา โดยตัวดูดชับสามารถดูดชับเมอคิวริกคลอไรด์ได้ดีกว่าไดฟีนิลเมอคิวรี โดยพบว่า ฟีนิลอาร์ซีนออกไซด์จะดูดชับบนตัวดูดชับคอปเปอร์ก่อตัวเป็นคอปเปอร์อาร์เซไนด์

> สถาบันวิทยบริการ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

ภากวิชา	ถายนื้อชื่อบิสิต
สาขาวิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี	
ปีการศึกษา	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม

#### พิมพต์นฉบับบทคัดย่อวิทยานีพนธภายในกรอบสีเขียวนี้เพียงแผ่นเดียว

# #971208621: MAJOR CHEMICAL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

KEY WORD: ARSENIC COMPOUNDS/ MERCURY COMPOUNDS/ ADSORPTION

PICHAN TANTICHAIPAKORN: REMOVAL OF MERCURY AND ARSENIC COMPOUNDS

FROM LIQUID HYDROCARBON BY ADSORPTION ON Ni-Cu ADSORBENTS.

THESIS ADVISOR: JIRDSAK TSCHEIKUNA, Ph.D. 113 pp. ISBN 974-639-760-5.

Removal of arsenic and mercury compounds from liquid hydrocarbon was investigated.

The experiments were conducted at atmospheric pressure and at temperatures of 30°C, 50°C and 70°C. Mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide are used to represent ionic mercury, organic mercury, ionic arsenic and organic arsenic. Arsenic and mercury compounds were dissolved in toluene to obtain solutions containing 10 ppm of arsenic and 1 ppm of mercury. The adsorbents were alumina, NiO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CuO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ni-Cu/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> prepared dry impregnation techniques.

The results showed that removal of arsenic and mercury compounds dependended on temperature. Adsorption efficiency of adsorbents for removal of both mercury and arsenic compounds increases in the following order: copper > mickel > alumina. Mercuric chloride can be removed more efficiency than diphenylmercury. Phenylarsine oxide is adsorbed on copper and formed copper arsenide (Cu<sub>2</sub>As).

ภาควิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ถายมือชื่อนิสิต	
สายเวลิชา	วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ปีการศึกษา	2541	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม	· .

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author would like express his gratitude and appreciation to his adviser, Dr. Jirdsak Tscheikuna for his guidance, valuable help and super vision during this study. He is also grateful to Prof. Dr. Wiwut Tanthapanichakoon, Assistance Prof. Sasithorn Boon-Long, and Dr. Deacha Chatsiriwech for severing as chairman and member of the thesis committee, respectively. In addition, he is also thankful to the staffs in the Instrument Laboratory of Department of Environmental Engineering. He is also thankful to his friends for their help. Finally he would like to express his gratitude to his parents and the member of his family for their morale support.

#### **CONTENTS**

			PAGE
ABSTRACT	(IN TI	HAI)	iv
ABSTRACT	(IN E	NGLISH)	, v
ACKNOWL	EDGEN	ÆNTS	vi
LIST OF TA	BLES	 	ix
LIST OF FIG	GURES	 	xiv
CHAPTER			
I.	INTR	ODUCTION	1
п.	LITE	RATURE REVIEWS	3
	2.1	Mercury	3
		Mercury in Petroleum	3
		Catalyst Deactivation by mercury	4
		Mercury Corrosion	5
		Removal of mercury	5
		Chemical Treatment	5
		Adsorption	7
	2.2	Arsenic	
		Arsenic in petroleum	
		Catalyst Deactivation by arsenic	10
		Removal of arsenic	10
		Thermal Treatment	11
		Chemical Treatment	11
		Adsorption	12
III.	EXPI	ERIMENTS AND ANALYSIS TECNIQUE	
	3.1	Experiments	15
		Adsorbents Prenaration	15

#### CONTENTS (continue)

	Adsorption Experiments16
	3.2 Analysis Techniques17
	Arsenic content17
	Mercury content17
	Adsorbent characterization19
IV.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION36
	Blank test37
	Experimental Error39
	Comparison of physical properties of adsorbent42
	Adsorption by alumina adsorbent46
	Adsorption by copper adsorbent 58
	Adsorption by nickel adsorbent70
	Adsorption by nickel-copper adsorbent 80
v.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS91
	Conclusion
REFERENC	CES
APPENDIX	96
Vľta	113

#### LIST OF TABLS

<b>TABLE</b>		PAGE
4.1	Operating conditions of all experiments	37
4.2	Amount of arsenic and mercury remaining in adsorption	
	repeatability study	40
4.3	Average concentration and percent deviation of arsenic	
	and mercury in adsorption repeatability study	41
4.4	Average percent removal and percent deviation calculated	
	from percent removal of arsenic and mercury in adsorption	
	repeatability study	42
4.5	Surface area, pore volume and average pore diameter of	
	alumina and fresh adsorbents	43
4.6	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine oxide remaining in study of adsorption by	
	alunina adsorbent at various temperature	46
4.7	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine oxide removed from in study of adsorption	
	by alumina adsorbent at various temperature	47
4.8	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine oxide on the adsorbents in study of	
	adsorption by alumina adsorbent at various temperature	47
4.9	Surface area and pore volume of spent adsorbent in study	
	of arsenic and mercury removal by alumina adsorbent	48
4.10	Percent removal of mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury	
	in study of adsorption on alumina adsorbent at various	
	temperatures	50
4.11	Percent decrease of surface area and pore volume of	
	spent alumina adsorbent in study of adsorption of mercuric	
	chloride and diphenylmercury	51

TABLE		PAGE
4.12	Amount of mercury removed per gram of aluminaadsorbent	52
4.13	Amount of mercury removed per surface area of	
	alumina adsorbent	53
4.14	Percent removal of arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide	
	in study of adsorption on alumina adsorbent at various	
	temperature	54
4.15	Percent decrease of surface area and pore volume of spent	
	alumina adsorbent in study of adsorption of	
	arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide	55
4.16	Amount of arsenic removed per gram of	
	alumina adsorbent	55
4.17	Amount of arsenic removed per surface area of	
	alumina adsorbent	57
4.18	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine oxide remaining in study of adsorption by	
	copper adsorbent at various temperature	58
4.19	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine uxide removed from in study of adsorption	
	by copper adsorbent at various temperature	59
4.20	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine oxide on the adsorbents in study of	
	adsorption by copper adsorbent at various temperature	59
4.21	Surface area and pore volume of spent adsorbent in study	
	of arsenic and mercury removal by copper adsorbent	60

TABLE		PAGE
4.22	Percent removal of mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury	
	in study of adsorption on copper adsorbent at various	
	temperatures	61
4.23	Percent decrease of surface area and pore volume of	
	spent copper adsorbent in study of adsorption of	
	mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury	62
4.24	Amount of mercury removed per gram of copper adsorbent	63
4.25	Amount of mercury removed per surface area of	
	copper adsorbent	64
4.26	Percent removal of arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide	
	in study of adsorption on copper adsorbent	65
4.27	Percent decrease of surface area and pore volume of spent	
	copper adsorbent in study of adsorption of	
	arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide	66
4.28	Amount of arsenic removed per gram of	
	copper adsorbent	66
4.29	Amount of arsenic removed per surface area of	
	copper adsorbent	69
4.30	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine oxide remaining in study of adsorption by	
	nickel adsorbent at various temperature	70
4.31	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine oxide removed from in study of adsorption	
	by nickel adsorbent at various temperature	70
4.32	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine oxide on the adsorbents in study of	
	adsorption by nickel adsorbent at various temperature	71

TABLE		PAGE
4.33	Surface area and pore volume of spent adsorbent in study	
	of arsenic and mercury removal by nickel adsorbent	71
4.34	Percent removal of mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury	
	in study of adsorption on nickel adsorbent at various	
	temperatures	72
4.35	Percent decrease of surface area and pore volume of	
	spent nickel adsorbent in study of adsorption of	
	mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury	73
4.36	Amount of mercury removed per gram of nickel adsorbent	75
4.37	Amount of mercury removed per surface area of	
	nickel adsorbent	75
4.38	Percent removal of arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide	
	in study of adsorption on nickel adsorbent	76
4.39	Percent decrease of surface area and pore volume of spent	
	nickel adsorbent in study of adsorption of arsenic oxide and	
	phenylarsine oxide	77
4.40	Amount of arsenic removed per gram of nickel adsorbent	79
4.41	Amount of arsenic removed per surface area of	
	nickel adsorbent	79
4.42	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine oxide remaining in study of adsorption by	
	nickel-copper adsorbent at various temperature	80
4.43	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury, arsenic oxide	
	and phenylarsine oxide removed from in study of adsorption	
	by nickel-copper adsorbent at various temperature	81

TABLE		PAGE
4.44	Amount of mercuric chloride, diphenylmercury,	
	arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide on the adsorbents	
	in study of adsorption by nickel-copper adsorbent	
	at various temperature	81
4.45	Surface area and pore volume of spent adsorbent in study	
	of arsenic and mercury removal by nickel-copper	
	adsorbent	82
4.46	Percent removal of mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury	
	in study of adsorption on nickel-copper adsorbent at	
	various temperatures	83
4.47	Percent decrease of surface area and pore volume of	
	spent nickel-copper adsorbent in study of adsorption of	
	mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury	84
4.48	Amount of mercury removed per gram of nickel-copper	
	adsorbent	86
4.49	Amount of mercury removed per surface area of	
	nickel-copper adsorbent	86
4.50	Percent removal of arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide	
	in study of adsorption on nickel-copper adsorbent	88
4.51	Percent decrease of surface area and pore volume of spent	
	nickel-copper adsorbent in study of adsorption of	
	arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide	88
4.52	Amount of arsenic removed per gram of	
	nickel-copper adsorbent	90
4.53	Amount of arsenic removed per surface area of	
	nickel-copper adsorbent	90

#### LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
2.1	Distribution of mercury in natural gas condensate found	
	in South East Asian	4
2.2	Distribution of arsenic compounds found in condensate	10
4.1	Amount of mercury remaining using Blank test at various	
	temperature	38
4.2	Amount of arsenic remaining using Blank test at various	
	temperature	38
4.3	Comparison of total surface area between alumina and	
	impregnated adsorbent	44
4.4	Comparison of total pore volume between alumina and	
	impregnated adsorbent.	44
4.5	Comparison of pore average diameter between alumina	
	and fresh adsorbent	45
4.6	Comparison of pore size distribution between alumina	
	and impregnated adsorbents	45
4.7	Amount of mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury	
	remaining in study of adsorption on alumina adsorbent	
	at various temperatures	48
4.8	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh and	
	spent alumina adsorbent in study of removal of	
	mercuric chloride	51
4.9	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh and	
	spent alumina adsorbent in study of removal of	
	diphenylmercury	52
4.10	Amount of arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide in	
	study of adsorption on alumina adsorbent at	
	various temperature	54

# LIST OF FIGURES (continue)

FIGURE	PAGE
4.11	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh
	and spent alumina adsorbent in study of removal
	of arsenic oxide56
4.12	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh and
	spent alumina adsorbent in study of removal
	of phenylarsine oxide56
4.13	Amount of mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury in study
	of adsorption on copper adsorbents at various temperature
4.14	Comparison of pore size distribution between
	fresh and spent copper adsorbent in study of
	removal of mercuric chloride
4.15	Comparison of pore size distribution between
	fresh and spent copper adsorbent in study of
	removal of diphenylmercury63
4.16	Amount of arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide in study of
	adsorption on copper adsorbents at various temperatures65
4.17	XRD pattern of spent copper adsorbent on
	phenylarsine oxide study67
4.18	Comparison of pore size distribution between
	fresh and spent copper adsorbent in study of
	removal of arsenic oxide68
4.19	Comparison of pore size distribution between
	fresh and spent copper adsorbent in study of
	removal of arsenic oxide68
4.20	Amount of mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury in study
	of adsorption on nickel adsorbent at various temperature

# LIST OF FIGURES (continue)

FIGURE	P	AGE
4.21	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh	
	and spent nickel adsorbent in study of removal of	
	mercuric chloride	74
4.22	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh	
	and spent nickel adsorbent in study of removal of	
	diphenylmercury	74
4.23	Amount of arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide in study of	
	adsorption on nickel adsorbent at various temperatures	77
4.24	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh and	
	spent nickel adsorbent in study of removal of arsenic oxide	78
4.25	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh and	
	spent nickel adsorbent in study of removal of	
	phenylarsine oxide	78
4.26	Amount of mercuric chloride and diphenylmercury in study	
	of adsorption on nickel-copper adsorbents at various	
	temperature	83
4.27	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh and	
	spent nickel-copper adsorbent in study of removal of	
	mercuric chloride	85
4.28	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh and	
	spent nickel-copper adsorbent in study of removal of	
	diphenylmercury	85
4.29	Amount of arsenic oxide and phenylarsine oxide in study	
	of adsorption on nickel-copper adsorbents at various	
	temperatures	87
4.30	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh	
	and spent nickel-copper adsorbent in study of removal	
	of arsenic oxide	89

# LIST OF FIGURES (continue)

FIGURE		PAGE
4.31	Comparison of pore size distribution between fresh and	
	spent nickel-copper adsorbent in study of removal of	
	phenylarsine oxide.	89