การใช้ข่ายงานนิวรัลชนิดป้อนไปข้างหน้าแบบหลายขั้น สำหรับการระบุระบบ การประมาณค่าฟังก์ชั่น และการควบคุมขั้นสูง

นางสาวจุฑาทิพย์ เพชรเชิดศักดิ์



วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิศวกรรมศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต สาขาวิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี ภาควิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี บัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย ปีการศึกษา 2542 ISBN 974-333-147-6 ลิขสิทธิ์ของบัณฑิตวิทยาลัย จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

USE OF MULTILAYER FEEDFORWARD NETWORKS FOR SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION, FUNCTION APPROXIMATION, AND ADVANCED CONTROL

Miss Jutatip Petcherdsak

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of Master of Engineering in Chemical Engineering

Department of Chemical Engineering

Graduate school
Chulalongkorn University
Academic Year 1999
ISBN 974-333-147-6

Thesis Title	Use of Multilayer Feedforward Networks for System
	Identification, Function Approximation, and Advanced Control
Ву	Miss Jutatip Petcherdsak
Department	Chemical Engineering
Thesis Advisor	Assist. Prof. Paisan Kittisupakorn
Accepted by	the Graduate School, Chulalongkorn University in Partial
Fulfillment of the Re	quirements for the Master 's Degree
	Rueloda Micwaedaceas Dean of Graduate School
(Asso	ciate Professor Suchada Kiranandana, Ph.D.)
	1
THESIS COMMITT	EE ANGLES OF THE PROPERTY OF T
af.	Chairman
(Asso	ciate Professor Ura Pancharoen, D.Eng.Sc.)
	Parisam Kittisupakorn Thesis Advisor
(Assis	stant Professor Paisan Kittisupakorn, Ph.D.)
จุฬาล	J. Changemithe Member
(Assis	stant Professor Tawatchai Charinpanitkul, D.Eng.)
•••••	H. Duriyabunlang Member
(Dr. I	Hathaichanok Duriyabundeng, Ph.D.)

พิมพ์ตันถบับบทดัดย่อวิทยานิพนธ์ภายในกรอบฝีเพียวนี้เพียงแย่นเดียว

จุฑาทิพย์ เพชรเชิดศักดิ์: การใช้ข่ายงานนิวรัลชนิดป้อนไปข้างหน้าแบบหลายชั้นสำหรับการระบุระบบ การ ประมาณค่าฟังก์ชัน และการควบคุมขั้นสูง (USE OF MULTILAYER FEEDFORWARD NETWORKS FOR SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION, FUNCTION APPROXIMATION, AND ADVANCED CONTROL) อ. ที่ปรึกษา : ผศ. ดร. ไพศาล กิตติศุภกร ; 194 หน้า. ISBN 974-333-147-6

ข่ายงานนิวรัลชนิดป้อนไปข้างหน้าแบบหลายชั้นสำหรับการระบุระบบ การประมาณค่าฟังก์ชั่น และการควบ คุมขั้นสูงได้นำมาศึกษาในงานวิจัยนี้ อัลกอริธีมการกระจายค่าความผิดพลาดย้อนกลับ และอัลกอริธีมเลเวนเบอร์ก-มาร์ควอดที่ได้นำมาใช้เพื่อฝึกข่ายงานนิวรัล

สำหรับการระบุระบบ ข่ายงานนิวรัลได้ถูกฝึกด้วยข้อมูลอินพุท-เอาท์พุทจริงของโรงงาน เพื่อเรียนรู้ใดนามิก ของระบบอะเชทิลีนไฮโดรจิเนชันแบบพ่รอนท์-เอนด์ทางอุตสาหกรรม พบว่า ข่ายงานนิวรัลที่ถูกฝึกแล้ว ให้ผลการทำนาย ที่ดีทั้งในชุดข้อมูลที่ใช้ในการฝึกและการทดสอบ โดยมีค่าความผิดพลาดสัมพัทธ์เฉลี่ยสูงสุด 8 เปอร์เซ็นต์

สำหรับการประมาณค่าพังก์ชัน ข่ายงานนิวรัลได้ถูกฝึกด้วยข้อมูลที่ได้จากการเลียนแบบของเครื่องปฏิกรณ์ ถังกวนแบบต่อเนื่อง (ซีเอสทีอาร์) เพื่อที่จะประมาณค่าพังก์ชั่นในอัลกอริธึมการควบคุมแบบเจนเนอริกโมเดล (จีเอ็มซี) โดยอยู่บนพื้นฐานของอุณหภูมิของสารหล่อเย็น และอุณหภูมิของถังปฏิกรณ์ จะเห็นได้ว่า การใช้ตัวประมาณข่ายงานนิว รัลในจีเอ็มซีสามารถปรับปรุงสมรรถนะการควบคุมของจีเอ็มซี ภายใต้การทดสอบในการติดตามเซ็ทพอยท์และการกำจัด ตัวรบกวนระบบ ในสภาวะปกติและมีความไม่สอดคล้องกันของแบบจำลองของโรงงานและแบบจำลองที่นำมาศึกษา

สำหรับการควบคุมขั้นสูง ข่ายงานนิวรัลได้ถูกฝึกให้เรียนรู้แบบจำลองไปข้างหน้า และแบบจำลองย้อนกลับ ของซีเอสที่อาร์ แบบจำลองแรกถูกใช้เพื่อเลียนแบบแบบจำลองของกระบวนการ ส่วนแบบจำลองอีกแบบได้ถูกใช้เป็นตัว ควบคุมในอัลกอรีซึมการควบคุมแบบมีแบบจำลองภายในไม่เชิงเส้น (เอ็นไอเอ็มซี) จะเห็นได้ว่า ตัวควบคุมข่ายงานนิวรัล ที่อยู่บนพื้นฐานของแบบจำลองย้อนกลับ สามารถควบคุมอุณหภูมิของเครื่องปฏิกรณ์ที่เซ็ทพอยท์ในกรณีที่ระบบได้ถูก ทดสอบในการติดตามเซ็ทพอยท์ อย่างไรก็ตาม ตัวควบคุมข่ายงานนิวรัลให้ออฟเซตเมื่อระบบถูกทดสอบในการกำจัดตัว รบกวนระบบ ดังนั้นตัวควบคุมแบบพีโอได้นำมาใส่เข้าไปในลูพการควบคุมเพื่อที่จะกำจัดออฟเซต ด้วยเทตุนี้จะได้รับ สมรรถนะการควบคุมแบบปราศจากออฟเซต

ภาควิชาวิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต ฐาวกับช เมรราชิงสำลั
สาขาวิชา วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา โทศาล กิลล์สุโพ
ปีการ ศึกษ า2542	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม
VII I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	11 10 20 20 10 110 110 11 11 11 111 1111 1111

พิมพ์ตันจบับบทคัดย่อวิทยานิพนธ์ภายในกรอบสีเขียวนี้เพียงแผ่นเดียว

#3970308521 : MAJOR CHEMICAL ENGINEERING

KEY WORD: NEURAL NETWORKS / BACKPROPAGATION / LEVENBERGE-MARQUARDT / ADVANCED

CONTROL

JUTATIP PETCHERDSAK: USE OF MULTILAYERED FEEDFORWARD NETWORKS FOR SYSTEM IDENTIFICATION, FUNCTION APPROXIMATION, AND ADVANCED CONTROL.

THESIS ADVISOR: ASSIST. PROF. PAISAN KITTISUPAKORN, Ph.D. 194 pp.

ISBN 974-333-147-6

Multilayer feedforward networks for system identification, function approximation, and advanced control are studied in this research. Error backpropagation and Levenberge-Marquardt algorithms have been employed to train the neural networks.

For system identification, the neural networks are trained with actual plant inputoutput data to learn the plant dynamics of an industrial front-end acetylene hydrogenation system. It can be seen that the trained neural networks give good prediction results in both training data set and testing data set with maximum average relative error of 8%.

For function approximation, the neural networks are trained with simulated data of a Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor (CSTR) in order to approximate a function in the Generic Model Control (GMC) algorithm based on the coolant temperature and the reactor temperature. It can be seen that the incorporation of neural network approximator in the GMC can improve the GMC control performance under the disturbance rejection and set point tracking tests in a nominal condition and the presence of plant-model mismatches.

For advanced control, the neural networks are trained to learn the forward model and the inverse model of the CSTR. The first one is used to simulate the process model and the other one is used as a controller in the Nonlinear Internal Model Control (NIMC) algorithm. It can be seen that the neural network controller based on the inverse model can control the reactor temperature at its set point when the system is tested with set point tracking. However, it produces some offsets when the system is tested with disturbance rejection. Consequently, the PI controller is added into the NIMC control loop in order to get rid of the offsets. As the results, offset-free control performances are obtained.

ลถาเ	เมาเม		
จุฬาลงก		เาวท	

ภาควิชา วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่อนิสิต คุททริกา เมสาเส็จเลือ
สาขาวิชา วิศวกรรมเคมี	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา <i>7ทชาล กิล ลิปูาพ</i>
ปีการ สึกษา 2542	ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม

Acknowledgements



I would take this opportunity to express my affection and high regard for all of those individuals who have, in various ways, contributed to this thesis.

First, I wish to gratefully acknowledge the Thai Olefin Company, Map Ta Phut Industrial Estate, Rayong, especially Dr. Kongkrapan Intarajang, Miss Pornwanchalee Thongyai and all staff in the Research and Development Department, for their information support.

I would like to sincerely thank Assistant Professor Paisan Kittisupakorn, my advisor, for providing me valuable guidance and directions for the completion of this research and thesis as well as giving me a chance to research at the University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. I am profoundly thankful for his support and his exceptional advice. Additionally, he has devoted his valuable time to correct my thesis.

I would like to express my special appreciation to the other three members of my committee, Associate Professor Ura Pancharoen, Assistant Professor Tawatchai Charinpanitkul, and Dr. Hathaichanok Duriyabunleng for serving on my thesis committee and providing valuable recommendations for the improvement of this thesis.

For the recommendations about the neural networks, I wish to thank Dr. Mohamed Azlan Hussain, for his supervision and recommendation while I was researching at the University of Malaya. His advice, particularly about the neural networks, is very beneficial to my research works. Moreover, I wish to thank Assistant Professor Karlene A. Hoo, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of South Carolina, Columbia, for her useful recommendations. She has given her valuable time to immediately reply me all questions I mailed to her. And I wish to thank Mr. Santi Limpornchaicharoen, my senior friend for his useful advice about neural network programming.

I would like to thank my friends, colleagues and all staff, in the Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Malaya and Chulalongkorn University for their help and friendliness.

Finally, the most special and important persons I deeply would like to be grateful and thankful are my parents who love me, guide me, encourage me, and support me in any way they can do and also my beloved friends.

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract in Thai	iv
Abstract in English	v
Acknowledgements	vi
Table of Contents	viii
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xvii
Nomenclature	xviii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Artificial Neural Networks	2
1.2 Modeling Approaches	3
1.3 Control Systems	
1.4 Research Objectives	5
1.5 Scope of the Work	5
1.6 Organization of the Thesis	6
Chapter 2 Literature Review	8
2.1 Types of Artificial Neural Networks	8
2.2 Chemical Process Modeling and Identification with Neural Networks	11
2.2.1 Black-box Modeling Approach	11
2.2.2 Gray-box Modeling Approach	16
2.3 Neural Network Applications in Control Systems	2 0

•	2.3.1 Model Predictive Control Technique	22
	2.3.2 Inverse-Model-Based Technique	28
	2.3.3 Adaptive Control Technique	35
	2.3.4 Neural Network Applications in Other Control Techniques	40
2.4	Other Applications of Neural Networks in Chemical Engineering	41
Chapt	ter 3 Neural Network Fundamentals	43
3.1	Origin and Development of Neural Networks	43
3.2	Types of Neural Networks	45
	3.2.1 Structural Categorization	45
	3.2.2 Learning Algorithm Categorization	
3.3	Multilayer Feedforward Networks	
	3.3.1 Feedforward Network Architecture	48
	3.3.2 Functions of a Neuron	50
3.4	Backpropagation Algorithm	52
Chapt	ter 4 System Identification with Neural Networks	58
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Identification	59
	4.2.1 Forward Modeling	59
	4.2.2 Inverse Modeling	62
4.3	System Identification Steps	
	4.3.1 Model Structure and Size	
	4.3.2 Data Set	
	4.3.3 Input Excitation	67
	4.3.4 Input and Output Data	67
	4.3.5 Weight Initialization	68
	4.3.6 Training Methodology	68
	4.3.7 Model Validation	

Chapter 5	Neural Network Modeling of an Acetylene Hydrogenation	•
	System	. 72
5.1 Introd	uction	72
5.2 Ethyle	ne Manufacturing	73
5.3 Types	of Acetylene Hydrogenation Systems	75
5.3.1	Front-end Type	76
5.3.2	Tailed-end Type	77
5.4 Litera	ture Review on Acetylene Hydrogenation Systems	79
5.5 Neura	l Network Modeling of an Acetylene Hydrogenation System	80
	Process Description	
5.5.2	Plant Data Used	81
5.5.3	Neural Network Modeling	82
5.5.4	Results and Discussions	92
Chapter 6	Neural Networks as a Function Approximator in	
	Generic Model Control	93
	luction	
6.2 Gener	ric Model Control Formulation	94
6.3 Conti	nuous Stirred Tank Reactor	95
6.4 Resul	ts and Discussions	114
	NY OLIVA is b. Madaland Controller in	
Chapter 7	Neural Network Model and Controller in	115
	Nonlinear Internal Model Control	117
7.1 Non	al Network Forward Modeling	117
	al Network Inverse Modeling	
7.4 Resu	lts and Discussions	146
Chapter 8	Conclusions and Recommendations for Future Work	151
8.1 Sum	mary	151
8.2 Cond	clusions	153
8.3 Reco	mmendations for Future Work	154

References	
Appendix A Neural Network Toolbox	171
A.1 Neural Network Toolbox	172
A.2 Training Functions	172
A.3 Speed and Memory Comparison of Training Function	179
Appendix B Backpropagation Algorithm	181
B.1 Conclusion of Backpropagation Algorithm	181
B.2 Example of Calculation.	183
Appendix C Signal Processing and Data Filtering	186
C.1 Analog Filters	
C.2 Digital Filters	187
C.2.1 Exponential Filter	187
C.2.2 Double Exponential Filter	188
C.2.3 Moving Average Filter	189
C.2.4 Noise-Spike Filter	190
Appendix D Tuning of Generic Model Controller	192
Vita	194
สถาบันวิทยบริการ	

List of Figures

		Page
2.1	Multilayer feedforward network architecture with one hidden layer	9
2.2	Recurrent neural network architecture	10
2.3	Radial basis function network architecture	11
2,4	Neural networks in general model predictive control strategy	21
2.5	Neural networks in internal-model-control strategy	28
2.6	Direct adaptive control	35
2.7	Indirect adaptive control	36
3.1	General structure of feedforward network with one hidden layer	49
3.2	Functions of a neuron	51
3.3	Sigmoid function	52
3,4	Forward flow of information or data (arrows) and backward flow of erro	r
	(dashed lines) in a backpropagation type of neural network	57
4.1	Identification	60
4.2	Series-parallel identification structure	6
4.3	Parallel identification structure	62
4.4	Direct inverse modeling	63
4.5	Specialized inverse modeling	65
4.6	Basic steps - Neural network system identification	71
5.1	Ethylene plant diagram with front-end acetylene hydrogenation system.	74
5.2	Front-end acetylene hydrogenation system layout	75
5.3	Ethylene plant diagram with tail-end acetylene hydrogenation system	78
5.4	Tail-end acetylene hydrogenation system layout	79
5.5	Acetylene hydrogenation system	82
5.6	Neural network architecture representing forward model: First bed	83
5.7	Neural network modeling of the first bed: Training results	84
5.8	Neural network modeling of the first bed: Testing results	85
5.9	Neural network architecture representing forward model: Second bed	86
5.10	Neural network modeling of the second bed: Training results	87

5.11	Neural network modeling of the second bed: Testing results88
5.12	Neural network architecture representing forward model: Third bed89
5.13	Neural network modeling of the third bed: Training results90
5.14	Neural network modeling of the third bed: Testing results91
6.1	A schematic of continuous stirred tank reactor95
6.2	Open-loop response of CSTR for +/-15% change of coolant temperature97
6.3	GMC configuration with an estimator98
6.4	The network implementation in GMC configuration99
6.5	Neural network structure representing function approximator100
6.6	Disturbance rejection test with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to 10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature102
6.7	Disturbance rejection test with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to 10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration103
6.8	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to 10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature
	and 20% model error in the pre-exponential constant104
6.9	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to 10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration
	and 20% model error in the pre-exponential constant105
6.10	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to 10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature
	and -50% model error in the heat transfer coefficient
6.11	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to 10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration
	and -50% model error in the heat transfer coefficient107
6.12	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to 10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature
	and 10% model error in the heat of reaction
6.13	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to 10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration
	and 10% model error in the heat of reaction109
6.14	Set point tracking and robustness tests with GMC and GMC-NN.

	Response to set point change from 440.2 K to 450 K110
6.15	Set point tracking and robustness tests with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to set point change from 440.2 K to 450 K and
	20% model error in the pre-exponential111
6.16	Set point tracking and robustness tests with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to set point change from 440.2 K to 450 K and
	-50% model error in heat transfer coefficient112
6.17	Set point tracking performance test with GMC and GMC-NN.
	Response to set point change from 440.2 K to 450 K and
	10% model error in heat of reaction113
7.1	Neural network architecture representing the forward model of the CSTR119
7.2	Neural network forward modeling of CSTR: Training result120
7.3	Neural network forward modeling of CSTR: Cross validation result120
7.4	Neural network forward modeling of CSTR: Testing result121
7.5	Neural network architecture representing the inverse model of the CSTR122
7.6	Neural network inverse modeling of CSTR: Training result123
7.7	Neural network inverse modeling of CSTR: Cross validation result123
7.8	Neural network inverse modeling of CSTR: Testing result124
7.9	Nonlinear internal model control configuration125
7.10	Proposed nonlinear internal model configuration125
7.11	Disturbance rejection test with NIMC. Response to
	10% load disturbance of the measured feed temperature127
7.12	Disturbance rejection test with NIMC. Response to
	10% load disturbance of the unmeasured feed concentration128
7.13	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with NIMC. Response to
	10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature and
	20% model error in the pre-exponential constant129
7.14	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with NIMC. Response to
	10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration and
	20% model error in the pre-exponential constant130
7.15	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with NIMC. Response to

	10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature and
	-50% model error in the heat transfer coefficient131
7.16	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with NIMC. Response to
	10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration and
	-50% model error in the heat transfer coefficient132
7.17	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with NIMC. Response to
	10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature and
	10% model error in the heat of reaction133
7.18	Disturbance rejection and robustness tests with NIMC. Response to
	10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration and
	10% model error in the heat of reaction134
7.19	Set point tracking test with NIMC
	Response to set point change from 440.2 K to 450 K
7.20	Set point tracking and robustness tests with NIMC
	Response to set point change from 440.2 K to 450 K and
	20% model error in the pre-exponential constant
7.21	Set point tracking and robustness tests with NIMC
	Response to set point change from 440.2 K to 450 K and
	-50% model error in the heat transfer coefficient137
7.22	Set point tracking and robustness tests with NIMC
	Response to set point change from 440.2 K to 450 K and
	10% model error in the heat of reaction
7.23	Control performance of the GMC-NN, NIMC, and PI control
	Response to 10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature139
7.24	Control performance of the GMC-NN, NIMC, and PI control.
	Response to 10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration140
7.25	Control performance of the GMC-NN, NIMC, and PI control.
	Response to 20% model error in the pre-exponential constant and
	(upper) 10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature
	(lower) 10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration141
7.26	Control performance of the GMC-NN, NIMC, and PI control.
	Response to -50% model error in the heat transfer coefficient and

	(upper) 10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature
	(lower) 10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration142
7.27	Control performance of the GMC-NN, NIMC, and PI control.
	Response to 10% model error in the heat of reaction and
	(upper) 10% load disturbance in the measured feed temperature
	(lower) 10% load disturbance in the unmeasured feed concentration143
7.28	Control performance of the GMC-NN, NIMC, and PI control.
	Response to set point change from 440.2 K to 450 K and
	(upper) nominal case and
	(lower) 20% model error in the pre-exponential constant144
7.29	Control performance of the GMC-NN, NIMC, and PI control.
	Response to set point change from 440.2 K to 450 K and
	(upper) -50% model error in the heat transfer coefficient
	(lower) 10% model error in the heat of reaction145
B.1	A backpropagation network for learning the exclusive-or function183
D.1	Generalized GMC profile specification193

List of Tables

	Pag	e
2.1	Description of abbreviations18	•
2.2	Neural networks applications in simulated process modeling with	5
	black-box approach19	
2.3	Neural networks applications in real process modeling with black-hox	
	approach)
2.4	notworks applications in chemical process modeling	
	with gray-box approach	
2.5	Neural network applications in predictive control techniques -	
	simulation implementation27	
2.6	Neural network applications in predictive control techniques -	
	online implementation27	
2.7	Neural network applications in inverse-model-based control techniques -	
	simulation implementation33	
2.8	Neural network applications in inverse-model-based control techniques -	
	online implementation	
2.9	Neural network applications in adaptive control techniques -	
•	simulation implementation	
2.10	Neural network applications in adaptive control techniques -	
	online implementation	
2.11	online implementation	
6.1	Neural networks in other applications of chemical engineering	
6.2	Nominal operating condition of the continuous stirred tank reactor96	
6.2	Performance and robustness tests on the GMC with	
	mass balance estimator (GMC) and the GMC with	
	neural network approximator (GMC-NN)100	
6.3	Comparison of the GMC and the GMC-NN control performance	
7.1	Comparison of the GMC-NN, the NIMC-PI, and the PI control	
	performance	
A.1	Speed and memory comparison of training functions	

Nomenclature

A Area

C Concentration

C, Heat capacity

E Activation energy

h Heat transfer coefficient for CSTR

- ΔH Heat of reaction

IAE Integral absolute error

K₁, K₂ GMC tuning parameters

k_o Arrhenius pre-exponential constant

q Flow rate

R Gas constant

SSE Sum-squared error

T Reactor temperature

V Volume

Subscripts

A Reactant

c Coolant

f Feed

Greek letters

 η Learning rate

 α Momentum parameter