

References

1. Nakatsaka, T., Kawasaki, H., Itadani, K. and Yamashita, S., Topochemical Reaction of Calcium Carbonate and Alkyl Dihydrogenphosphate. Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, **82**, No. 2 (August 1981): 289-306.
2. Morrel, S. H., A Review of The Effect of Surface Treatments on Polymer-Filler Interaction. Plastics and Rubber Processing and Applications, **1**, No.2 (1981): 179-186.
3. Joyce, B. G., Minerals as Fillers Modification to Serve Modern Markets. Plastics and Rubber Processing and Applications, **13**, No.2 (1990): 3-8.
4. Shiroki, Y., Filler Surfaces and Surface Modification. International Polymer Science and Technology, **20**, No. 6 (1993): 12-21.
5. Marentette, J. M. and Brown, G. R., Polymer Spherulites: I Birefringence and Morphology. Journal of Chemical Education, **70**, No. 6 (June 1993): 435-439.
6. _____, and Brown, G. R., Polymer Spherulites: II Crystallization Kinetics. Journal of Chemical Education, **70**, No. 7 (July 1993): 439-542.
7. Slizavo, M., Raab, M. and Sava, M., Importance of Polymer Morphology in Understanding Technological Process: Part I Morphological Models and Methods. International Polymer Science and Technology, **21**, No. 4 (1994): 66-74.

8. Slizavo, M., Raab, M. and Sava, M., Importance of Polymer Morphology in Understanding Technological Process: Part II Applications. International Polymer Science and Technology, **21**, No. 4 (1994): 81-88.
9. Bazhenor, S., The Effect of Particles on Failure of Filled Polymers. Polymer Engineering and Science, **35**, No. 10 (May 1995): 813-822.
10. Stevens, M. P., Polymer Additives: Part I Mechanical Property Modifiers. Journal of Chemical Education, **70**, No. 6 (June 1993): 444-448.
11. _____., Polymer Additives: Part II Chemical and Aesthetic Property Modifiers. Journal of Chemical Education, **70**, No. 7 (July 1993): 535-538.
12. _____., Polymer Additives: Part III Surface Property and Processing Modifiers. Journal of Chemical Education, **70**, No. 9 (September 1993): 713-718.
13. Statt, L. B., Black Pigments: Their Characterization and Use in The Plastics Industry. International Polymer Science and Technology, **21**, No. 4 (1994): 81-87.
14. Sultan, B. A. and Palmlof, M., Advance in Crosslinking Technology. Plastics, Rubber and Composites Processing and Applications, **21**, No. 2 (April 1994): 65-73.
15. Davies, L. C., Sothern, G. R. and Hodd, K. A., Pulverized Fuel Ash, It use as a filler for polyolefins. Plastics and Rubber Processing and Applications, **5**, No.1 (1985): 9-14.

16. Chiu, G., Alamo, R. G. and Mandelkern, L., Formation of Ringed Spherulites in Polyethylenes. Journal of Polymer Science Part B: Polymer Physics, **28**, (1994): 1207-1221.
17. Burke, M., Young, R. J., Stanford, J. L., The Spherulitic and Lamellar Morphology of Titanium dioxide-Filled Polyolefins. Plastics, Rubber and Composites Processing and Applications, **20**, No. 3 (1993): 121-135.
18. Alonso, M., Gonzalez, A. and Desaja, J. A., On the Morphology of Compression Molded Isotactic Polypropylene Filled with Talc. Plastics, Rubber and Composites Processing and Applications, **20**, No. 3 (1993): 165-170.
19. Evans, M. B., Rothon, R. N. and Ryan, T. A., Carboxylated Polybutadienes as Coupling Agents for Mineral Filled Elastomers. Plastics and Rubber Processing and Applications, **9**, No.4 (1988): 215-220.
20. Chew, S., Griffiths, J. R. and Stachurski, Z. H., The Crystallization Kinetics of Polyethylene under Isothermal and Non-isothermal Conditions. Polymer, **30**, (May 1989): 874-881.
21. Fuad, Y. M., Omar, R. and Mohd, Z. A., Rice Husk Ash as Filler in Polypropylene: Effect of Wax and Silane Coupling Agents. Plastics, Rubber and Composites Processing and Applications, **21**, No. 4 (1994): 225-235.
22. Maiti, S .N. and Mahpatro, P. K., Mechanical Properties of I-PP/ CaCO₃ Composites. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **42**, (1991): 3101-3110.

23. Mitsuishi, K., Ueno, S., Kodama, S. and Kawasaki, H., Crystallization Behavior of Polypropylene Filled with Surface-Modified Calcium Carbonate. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **43**, (1991): 2043-2049.
24. Baja, P., Jha, N. K. and Jha, R. K., Effect of Titanate Coupling Agents on Mechanical Properties of Mica-Filled Polypropylene. Polymer Engineering and Science, **29**, No. 8 (April 1989): 557-563.
25. Chacko, V. P., Farris, R. J. and Karasz, P. E., Tensile Properties of CaCO₃-Filled Polyethylene. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **28**, (1983): 2701-2713.
26. Mcgenity, P. M. and Adams, J. M., Factors Affecting the Impact Properties of Mineral Filled Polypropylene. Plastics and Rubber Processing and Applications, **14**, No.2 (1990): 85-93.
27. Wong, F. C. and Ait-Kadi, A., Mechanical Behavior of Particulate Composites: Experiments and Micromechanical Predictions. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **55**, (1995): 263-278.
28. Mati, S. N. and Lopez, B. H., Tensile Properties of Polypropylene/Kaolin Composites. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **44**, (1992): 353-360.
29. Arroyo, M., Iglesias A. and Perez, F., Surface Characterization of Titanate Coupling Agents Modified Sepiolite. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **30**, (1985): 2475-2483.

30. Sharma, Y. N., Patel, R. D., Dhimmar, I. H. and Bhardwaj, I. S., Studies of the Titanate Coupling Agent on the Performance of Polypropylene-Calcium Carbonate Composite. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **27**, (1982): 97-104.
31. Fu, Q. and Wang, G., Polyethylene Toughened by Rigid Inorganic Particles. Polymer Engineering and Science, **32**, No. 2 (January 1992): 94-97.
32. _____, Wang, G. and Shien, J., Polyethylene Toughened by CaCO₃ Particle: Brittle-Ductile Transition of CaCO₃ Toughened HDPE. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **49**, (1993): 673-677.
33. _____, and Wang, G., Effect of Morphology on Brittle-Ductile Transition of HDPE/CaCO₃ Blends. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **49**, (1993): 1985-1988.
34. _____, Wang, G., and Liu, C., Polyethylene Toughened by CaCO₃ Particles: The Interface Behavior and Fracture Mechanism in High Density Polyethylene/CaCO₃ Polymer, **36**, No. 12 (1995): 2397- 2401.
35. Li, J.X., Bazhenov, S., Hiltner, A. and Bear, A., Ductility of Filled Polymers. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **52**, (1994): 243-254.
36. _____, Silverstein, M., Hiltner, A. and Bear, A., The Ductile-to-Quasi-Brittle Transition of Particulate-Filled Thermoplastics Polyester. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **52**, (1994): 255-276.

37. _____, Silverstein, M., Hiltner, A. and Bear, A., Fractography and Mechanism of Particulate-Filled Thermoplastics Polyester. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **52**, (1994): 269-283.
38. Menon, N., Blum, F. D. and Dharani, L. R., Use of Titanate Coupling Agents in Kevlar-Phenolic Composites. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **54**, (1994): 113-123.
39. Mark, H., Polymer Science and Engineering-Facts and Trend. Journal of Chemical Education, **65**, No. 4 (April 1988): 334-336.
40. Colvert, P. D., Polymer for New Materials. Polymer, **35**, No. 21 (1994): 4484-4488.
41. Wong, W. K. and Varrall, D. C., Role of Molecular Structure on the Silane Crosslinking of Polyethylene: The Importance of Resin Molecular Structure Change During Silane Grafting. Polymer, **35**, No. 25 (1994): 5447-5452.
42. Hester, R. D. and Flesher, L. M., Use of Factorial Experiment Design in Static and Light Scattering Characterization of Water-Soluble Polymers. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, **57**, (1995): 1105-1117.
43. Broder, M., Kordomenos, P. I. and Thomson, D. M., A statistically Designed Experiment for the Study of a Silver Automotive Basecoat. Journal of Coatings Technology, **60**, No. 776 (November 1988): 27-32.
44. Thomas, T. J. P., The Use of Experiment Designs in Formulation Modern Surface Coatings. Surface Coating International, **7**, (1993): 272-277.

45. Michler, G. H., Electron Microscopic Technique for Direct Investigation of Micromechanical mechanisms in Polymers. Trend in Polymer Science, **3**, No. 4 (April 1995): 124-131.
46. Wool, R.P. Polymer Entanglements. Macromolecules, **26**, (1993): 1564-1569.
47. Plummer, G. J. G., Mauroux, N. C. and Nausch, H. H., Deformation and Entanglements in Semicrystalline Polymers. Polymer Engineering and Science, **34**, No. 4 (February 1994): 318-329.
48. Campbell, D. and White, J. R., Polymer Characterization Physical Technologies. 2nd ed. New York: Chapman & Hall, 1989: pp 270-347.
49. Mahendra, D. B., Plastics Polymer Science and Technology. 1st ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1982: pp 201-295.
50. Tadmor, Z., Gogos, C. G., Principle of Polymer Processing. 1st ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1979: pp 31-86, 632-637.
51. Hatz, H. S., Millwski, J. V., Handbook of Fillers and Reinforcements for Plastics. 1st ed. New York: Van Norstand Reinhold Co., 1978: pp 9-59, 81-126, 136-171, 277-298.
52. Rosin, S. L., Fundamental Principles of Polymeric Materials. 2nd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1993: pp 32-52, 101-117, 321-337.
53. Friend, J. R., Polymer Science and Technology. 1st ed. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1995: pp 132-203.

54. Billmeyer, F. W., Textbook of Polymer Science. 3rd ed. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1984: pp 261-300.
55. Montgomery, D. G., Design and Analysis of Experiments. 3rd ed. New York: John Wiley & sons, 1984: pp 197-334.
56. Monte, S. J., Ken-React Reference Manual. New Jersey, Bayonne: Kenrich Petrochemical Inc., 1993: pp 1-150.
57. Katz, H. S. and Milewski, V., Handbook of Fillers for Plastics. 1st ed. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., 1987: pp 65-115.
58. Dow Corning Product Bulletin., A Guide to Dow Corning Silane Coupling Agents, 1994: pp 1-32.
59. Monte, S. J., More Efficient Manufacture of Better Foamed, Filled and Unfilled Polymer Compounds with Titanate and Zirconate Coupling Agents. Northeast Regional Rubber & Plastics Exhibition. Sheraton Crossroad, Mahwah, New Jersey, September 21-22, 1994: pp 1-68.
60. Mand, R., Mour, B. S., Additive for Plastics. 1st ed. 2 Vols. New York: Data Inc., 1978: pp 122-191, 63-79.
61. Gachter, R. and Hancer, H., Plastics Additive Handbook. 1st ed. New York: Munich Vilna, 1990: pp 524-557.
62. Superling, L. H., Introduction to Physical Polymer Science. 2nd ed. New York: John Whiley & Sons, 1993: pp 159-278.
63. Young, R. J. and Lovell, P. A., Introduction to Polymers. 2nd ed. New York: Campman & Hall, 1991: pp 240-424.

APPENDIX

The Calculation of 2^5 Factorial Design



ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย



Table A-1: The 2⁵ factorial design of tensile modulus.

Run Number	Factorial Code	Factor					Modulus (Mpa)	Yates' Algorithm					Contrast	Effect
		A	B	C	D	E		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
1	I	-	-	-	-	-	454.90	1020.7	2174.6	4658.5	9992.2	20340		
2	a	+	-	-	-	-	565.75	1153.9	2483.9	5333.8	10347	1288.6	A	80.54
3	b	-	+	-	-	-	569.92	1137.3	2514.1	4932.9	684.0	1290.2	B	80.64
4	ab	+	+	-	-	-	584.02	1346.6	2819.7	5414.5	604.7	-176.8	AB	-11.05
5	c	-	-	+	-	-	525.96	1155.1	2345.8	310.9	827.9	1051.6	C	65.73
6	ac	+	+	-	-	-	611.34	1359.0	2587.0	373.0	462.3	-62.8	AC	-3.92
7	bc	-	+	+	-	-	623.00	1269.1	2609.5	258.1	-85.7	155.1	BC	9.69
8	abc	+	+	+	-	-	723.58	1550.5	2805.0	346.5	-91.1	-112.9	ABC	-7.06
9	d	-	-	-	+	-	530.08	1119.5	125.0	342.6	614.9	1156.9	D	72.31
10	ad	+	+	-	+	-	625.00	1226.3	186.0	485.3	436.7	150.5	AD	9.41
11	bd	-	+	-	+	-	618.00	1244.5	217.9	204.8	-1.8	195.5	BD	12.22
12	abd	+	+	-	+	-	741.00	1342.5	155.1	257.5	-61.0	79.5	ABD	4.97
13	cd	-	-	+	+	-	587.74	1243.0	147.3	-81.6	153.5	-49.4	CD	-3.09
14	acd	+	+	+	+	-	681.40	1366.5	110.8	-4.1	1.6	-111.9	ACD	-6.99
15	bcd	-	-	+	+	+	744.54	1335.5	185.5	-46.6	51.7	20.8	BCD	1.30
16	abcd	+	+	-	+	+	806.00	1469.5	161.0	-44.5	-164.6	-206.6	ABCD	-12.91
17	e	-	-	-	-	+	525.25	110.9	133.3	309.3	675.3	355.1	E	22.19
18	ae	+	-	-	-	+	594.26	14.1	209.3	305.6	481.6	-79.3	AE	-4.96
19	be	-	+	-	-	+	574.01	85.4	203.9	241.2	62.1	-365.6	BE	-22.85
20	abe	+	+	-	-	+	652.30	100.6	281.4	195.5	88.4	-5.4	ABE	-0.34
21	ce	-	-	+	-	+	580.60	94.9	106.8	61.0	142.8	-178.2	CE	-11.13
22	ace	+	+	-	-	+	663.93	123.0	98.0	-62.8	52.8	-59.2	ACE	-3.70
23	bce	-	+	+	-	+	657.50	93.7	123.5	-36.5	77.4	-151.8	BCE	-9.49
24	abce	+	+	+	-	+	685.00	61.5	134.0	-24.5	2.0	-216.3	ABCE	-13.52
25	de	-	-	-	+	+	581.97	69.0	-96.8	76.0	-3.7	-193.7	DE	-12.10
26	ade	+	+	-	+	+	661.00	78.3	15.2	77.5	-45.7	26.3	ADE	1.64
27	bde	-	+	+	+	+	630.00	83.3	28.1	-8.8	-123.8	-90.0	BDE	-5.62
28	abde	+	+	-	+	+	736.50	27.5	-32.2	10.5	11.9	-75.4	ABDE	-4.71
29	cde	-	-	+	+	+	609.50	79.0	9.3	112.0	1.5	-42.0	CDE	-2.62
30	acde	+	+	-	+	+	726.00	106.5	-55.8	-60.3	19.3	135.8	ACDE	8.48
31	bcde	-	-	+	+	+	712.50	116.5	27.5	-65.1	-172.2	17.8	BCDE	1.11
32	abcde	+	+	+	+	+	757.00	44.5	-72.0	-99.5	-34.4	137.9	ABCDE	8.62

Order(i)	Code	Effect	$P_k=(i-0.5)/31$
31	B	80.64	0.9839
30	A	80.54	0.9516
29	D	72.31	0.9194
28	C	65.73	0.8871
27	E	22.19	0.8548
26	BD	12.22	0.8226
25	BC	9.69	0.7903
24	AD	9.41	0.7581
23	ABCDE	8.62	0.7258
22	ACDE	8.48	0.6935
21	ABD	4.97	0.6613
20	ADE	1.64	0.6290
19	BCD	1.30	0.5968
18	BCDE	1.11	0.5645
17	ABE	-0.34	0.5323
16	CDE	-2.62	0.5000
15	CD	-3.09	0.4677
14	ACE	-3.70	0.4355
13	AC	-3.92	0.4032
12	ABDE	-4.71	0.3710
11	AE	-4.96	0.3387
10	BDE	-5.62	0.3065
9	ACD	-6.99	0.2742
8	ABC	-7.06	0.2419
7	BCE	-9.49	0.2097
6	AB	-11.05	0.1774
5	CE	-11.13	0.1452
4	DE	-12.10	0.1129
3	ABCD	-12.91	0.0806
2	ABCE	-13.52	0.0484
1	BE	-22.85	0.0161

Table A-2: The 2⁵ factorial design of tensile yield stress.

Run Number	Factorial Code		Factor					Yield stress (Mpa)		Yates' Algorithm					Contrast	Effect
	A	B	C	D	E	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)						
1	-	-	-	-	-	8.24	19.2	41.9	84.0	176.9	354		A	1.21		
2	+	-	-	-	-	10.94	22.7	42.1	92.9	177	19.3		B	1.38		
3	-	+	-	-	-	11.01	19.1	43.5	86.7	9.3	22.1		AB	-0.38		
4	-	+	+	-	-	11.68	23.0	49.5	90.3	10.0	-6.0		C	0.59		
5	-	-	+	+	-	9.29	20.0	42.8	4.7	15.9	9.4		AC	-0.11		
6	+	-	+	+	-	9.84	23.5	43.9	4.6	6.1	-1.8		BC	0.01		
7	-	+	+	-	-	11.07	22.2	44.1	3.8	0.1	0.1		ABC	0.02		
8	+	+	+	-	-	11.89	27.3	46.2	6.2	-6.2	0.3		D	0.79		
9	-	-	-	+	+	9.97	19.9	3.4	7.3	6.2	12.6		AD	0.14		
10	+	-	-	+	+	10.00	22.9	1.4	8.6	3.2	2.2		BD	0.15		
11	-	+	+	-	-	10.72	22.2	2.1	2.5	-1.6	2.3		ABD	0.35		
12	+	+	+	-	-	12.77	21.7	2.5	3.6	-0.2	5.6		CD	0.43		
13	-	-	-	+	+	10.45	21.6	2.9	-1.8	1.9	6.8		ACD	0.39		
14	+	-	+	+	-	11.75	22.5	0.9	1.9	-1.8	6.3		BCD	0.40		
15	-	+	+	+	-	13.05	21.8	2.2	-4.0	0.2	6.4		ABCD	-0.45		
16	+	+	+	+	-	14.23	24.4	4.0	-2.1	0.1	-7.2		E	0.01		
17	-	-	-	-	+	8.53	2.7	3.5	0.2	9.0	0.1		AE	0.05		
18	+	-	-	-	+	11.36	0.7	3.8	6.0	3.6	0.7		BE	-0.61		
19	-	+	-	-	+	11.40	0.6	3.5	1.1	-0.2	-9.8		ABE	-0.40		
20	+	+	-	-	+	11.50	0.8	5.1	2.1	2.4	-6.3		CE	-0.19		
21	-	-	+	-	+	10.54	0.0	3.0	-2.0	1.3	-3.0		ACE	0.09		
22	+	+	+	-	+	11.64	2.1	-0.5	0.4	1.1	1.4		BCE	-0.23		
23	-	+	+	+	-	10.96	1.3	1.0	-2.0	3.7	-3.7		ABCE	0.00		
24	+	+	+	+	-	10.75	1.2	2.7	1.8	1.9	-0.1		DE	-0.33		
25	-	-	-	+	+	10.14	2.8	-2.0	0.3	5.8	-5.3		ADE	0.16		
26	+	-	-	+	+	11.44	0.1	0.3	1.6	1.0	2.6		BDE	-0.01		
27	-	+	-	+	+	10.82	1.1	2.0	-3.5	2.4	-0.2		ABDE	-0.11		
28	+	+	+	+	+	11.71	-0.2	-0.1	1.7	3.9	-1.8		CDE	-0.30		
29	-	-	-	+	+	9.45	1.3	-2.7	2.3	1.2	-4.8		ACDE	0.09		
30	+	+	-	+	+	12.33	0.9	-1.3	-2.1	5.2	1.5		BCDE	0.25		
31	-	+	+	+	+	11.64	2.9	-0.4	1.4	-4.4	3.9		BE	-0.61		
32	+	+	+	+	+	12.79	1.2	-1.7	-1.3	-2.7	1.7					

Order(j)	Code	Effect	Pk=(j-0.5)/31
31	B	1.38	0.9839
30	A	1.21	0.9516
29	D	0.79	0.9194
28	C	0.59	0.8871
27	CD	0.43	0.8548
26	BCD	0.40	0.8226
25	ACD	0.39	0.7903
24	ABD	0.35	0.7581
23	BCDE	0.25	0.7258
22	ADE	0.16	0.6935
21	BD	0.15	0.6613
20	AD	0.14	0.6290
19	ABCDE	0.11	0.5968
18	ACDE	0.09	0.5645
17	ACE	0.09	0.5323
16	AE	0.05	0.5000
15	ABC	0.02	0.4677
14	BC	0.01	0.4355
13	E	0.01	0.4032
12	ABCE	0.00	0.3710
11	BDE	-0.01	0.3387
10	ABDE	-0.11	0.3065
9	AC	-0.11	0.2742
8	CE	-0.19	0.2419
7	BCE	-0.23	0.2097
6	CDE	-0.30	0.1774
5	DE	-0.33	0.1452
4	AB	-0.38	0.1129
3	ABE	-0.40	0.0806
2	ABCD	-0.45	0.0484
1	BE	-0.61	0.0161

Table A-3: The 2⁵ factorial design of tensile yield strain.

Run Number	Factorial Code	Factor					Yield strain (%)		Yates' Algorithm					Contrast	Effect
		A	B	C	D	E	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
1	1	-	-	-	-	-	2.16	3.9	7.1	13.7	26.6	52	A	-0.110	
2	a	+	-	-	-	-	1.71	3.3	6.6	12.9	26	-1.8	B	-0.102	
3	b	-	+	-	-	-	1.66	3.3	6.4	13.5	-1.2	-1.6	AB	0.023	
4	ab	+	+	-	-	-	1.60	3.3	6.5	12.3	-0.6	0.4	C	-0.118	
5	c	-	-	+	-	-	1.80	3.2	7.1	-1.0	-0.4	-1.9	AC	0.030	
6	ac	+	-	+	-	-	1.48	3.2	6.4	-0.2	-1.2	0.5	BC	0.052	
7	bc	-	+	+	-	-	1.74	3.2	6.5	-0.4	0.6	0.8	ABC	-0.050	
8	abc	+	+	+	-	-	1.53	3.3	5.8	-0.2	-0.2	-0.8	D	-0.124	
9	d	-	-	-	+	-	1.65	3.7	-0.5	-0.6	-0.5	-2.0	AD	0.066	
10	ad	+	-	-	+	-	1.50	3.4	-0.5	0.2	-1.4	1.1	BD	0.066	
11	bd	-	+	-	+	-	1.64	3.4	-0.2	-0.7	0.2	1.1	ABD	-0.034	
12	abd	+	+	-	+	-	1.60	2.9	0.0	-0.5	0.3	-0.5	CD	0.052	
13	cd	-	-	+	+	-	1.58	3.5	-0.2	0.5	0.6	0.8	ACD	0.039	
14	acd	+	-	+	+	-	1.60	3.0	-0.2	0.1	0.2	0.6	BCD	0.004	
15	bcd	-	+	+	+	-	1.64	2.9	-0.3	0.0	-0.4	0.1	ABCD	-0.003	
16	abcd	+	+	+	+	-	1.66	2.9	0.1	-0.2	-0.4	-0.1	E	-0.048	
17	e	-	-	-	-	+	1.89	-0.5	-0.6	-0.6	-0.8	-0.8	AE	0.039	
18	ae	+	-	-	-	+	1.80	-0.1	0.0	0.1	-1.2	0.6	BE	-0.051	
19	be	-	+	-	-	+	1.75	-0.3	0.1	-0.8	0.9	-0.8	ABE	-0.053	
20	abe	+	+	-	-	+	1.69	-0.2	0.1	-0.6	0.2	-0.9	CE	-0.057	
21	ce	-	-	+	-	+	1.74	-0.2	-0.3	0.0	0.8	-0.9	ACE	0.003	
22	ace	+	-	+	-	+	1.67	0.0	-0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	BCE	-0.027	
23	bce	-	+	+	-	+	1.54	0.0	-0.5	-0.1	-0.4	-0.4	ABCE	-0.002	
24	abce	+	+	+	-	+	1.40	0.0	0.0	0.3	-0.2	0.0	DE	-0.023	
25	de	-	-	-	+	+	1.81	-0.1	0.4	0.6	0.7	-0.4	ADE	-0.045	
26	ade	+	-	-	+	+	1.65	-0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2	-0.7	BDE	-0.038	
27	bde	-	+	-	+	+	1.55	-0.1	0.1	-0.2	0.3	-0.6	ABDE	0.015	
28	abde	+	+	-	+	+	1.45	-0.1	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.2	CDE	-0.032	
29	cde	-	-	+	+	+	1.39	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.6	-0.5	ACDE	0.008	
30	acde	+	-	+	+	+	1.55	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.6	0.1	BCDE	0.075	
31	bcde	-	+	+	+	+	1.50	0.2	0.1	-0.1	0.2	1.2	ABCDE	-0.025	
32	abcde	+	+	+	+	+	1.40	-0.1	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.4			

Order(j)	Code	Effect	$P_k=(j-0.5)/31$
31	BCDE	0.075	0.9839
30	AD	0.066	0.9516
29	BD	0.066	0.9194
28	BC	0.052	0.8871
27	CD	0.052	0.8548
26	ACD	0.039	0.8226
25	AE	0.039	0.7903
24	AC	0.030	0.7581
23	AB	0.023	0.7258
22	ABDE	0.015	0.6935
21	ACDE	0.008	0.6613
20	BCD	0.004	0.6290
19	ACE	0.003	0.5968
18	ABCE	-0.002	0.5645
17	ABCD	-0.003	0.5323
16	DE	-0.023	0.5000
15	ABCDE	-0.025	0.4677
14	BCE	-0.027	0.4355
13	CDE	-0.032	0.4032
12	ABD	-0.034	0.3710
11	BDE	-0.038	0.3387
10	ADE	-0.045	0.3065
9	E	-0.048	0.2742
8	ABC	-0.050	0.2419
7	BE	-0.051	0.2097
6	ABE	-0.053	0.1774
5	CE	-0.057	0.1452
4	B	-0.102	0.1129
3	A	-0.110	0.0806
2	C	-0.118	0.0484
1	D	-0.124	0.0161

Table A-4: The 2⁵ factorial design of hardness test.

Run Number	Factorial Code	Factor					Sum of Response	Yates' Algorithm					Contrast	Effect
		A	B	C	D	E		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
1	I	-	-	-	-	-	679.60	1370.2	2768.5	5542.0	11109	22379	A	1.116
2	a	+	-	-	-	-	690.60	1398.3	2773.5	5567.3	11270	178.50	B	1.118
3	b	-	+	-	-	-	692.50	1372.4	2774.4	5632.3	94.10	178.90	AB	0.071
4	ab	+	+	-	-	-	705.80	1401.1	2792.9	5637.7	84.40	11.30	C	0.186
5	c	-	-	+	-	-	682.80	1377.3	2814.1	44.80	105.50	29.70	AC	0.008
6	ac	+	+	+	-	-	689.60	1397.1	2818.2	49.30	73.40	1.30	BC	0.026
7	bc	-	-	+	+	-	693.70	1382.0	2817.8	45.50	18.30	4.10	ABC	-0.009
8	abc	+	+	+	-	-	707.40	1410.9	2819.9	38.90	-7.00	-1.50	D	0.192
9	d	-	-	-	+	+	684.40	1394.7	24.30	56.80	23.50	30.70	AD	-0.013
10	ad	+	+	-	+	+	692.90	1419.4	20.50	48.70	6.20	-2.10	BD	-0.111
11	bd	-	-	+	+	-	690.20	1400.7	25.20	41.50	-4.90	-17.70	ABD	0.117
12	abd	+	+	-	-	-	706.90	1417.5	24.10	31.90	6.20	18.70	CD	0.072
13	cd	-	-	+	+	-	685.20	1401.5	25.50	9.20	9.70	11.50	ACE	0.069
14	acd	+	+	+	+	-	696.80	1416.3	20.00	9.10	-5.60	19.90	BC	0.026
15	bcd	-	+	+	+	+	699.20	1401.4	13.60	-12.90	-2.70	18.70	ABCE	0.024
16	abcd	+	+	+	+	+	711.70	1418.5	25.30	5.90	1.20	-22.90	BCDE	0.011
17	e	-	-	-	-	+	688.60	11.00	28.10	5.00	25.30	160.70	E	1.004
18	ae	+	-	-	-	+	706.10	13.30	28.70	18.50	5.40	-9.70	AE	-0.061
19	be	-	+	-	-	+	705.70	6.80	19.80	4.10	4.50	-32.10	BE	-0.201
20	abe	+	+	-	-	+	713.70	13.70	28.90	2.10	-6.60	-25.30	ABE	-0.158
21	ce	-	-	+	-	+	694.50	8.50	24.70	-3.80	-8.10	-17.30	CE	-0.108
22	ace	+	+	+	-	+	706.20	16.70	16.80	-1.10	-9.60	11.10	ACE	0.069
23	bce	-	+	+	+	+	704.60	11.60	14.80	-5.50	-0.10	-15.30	BCE	-0.096
24	abce	+	+	+	+	+	712.90	12.50	17.10	11.70	18.80	3.90	ABCE	0.024
25	de	-	-	-	+	+	698.70	17.50	2.30	0.60	13.50	-19.90	DE	-0.124
26	ade	+	+	-	+	+	702.80	8.00	6.90	9.10	-2.00	-11.10	ADE	-0.069
27	bde	-	+	-	+	+	703.40	11.70	8.20	-7.90	2.70	-1.50	BDE	-0.009
28	abde	+	+	+	+	+	712.90	8.30	0.90	2.30	17.20	18.90	ABDE	0.118
29	cde	-	-	+	+	+	694.50	4.10	-9.50	4.60	8.50	-15.50	CDE	-0.097
30	acde	+	+	+	+	+	706.90	9.50	-3.40	-7.30	10.20	14.50	ACDE	0.091
31	bcde	-	+	+	+	+	702.80	12.40	5.40	6.10	-11.90	1.70	BCDE	0.011
32	abcde	+	+	+	+	+	715.70	12.90	0.50	-4.90	-11.00	0.90	ABCDE	0.006

Order(j)	Code	Effect	PK=(j-0.5)/31
31	B	1.118	0.9839
30	A	1.116	0.9516
29	E	1.004	0.9194
28	D	0.192	0.8871
27	C	0.186	0.8548
26	ACD	0.124	0.8226
25	ABDE	0.118	0.7903
24	BCD	0.117	0.7581
23	ABD	0.117	0.7258
22	ACDE	0.091	0.6935
21	CD	0.072	0.6613
20	AB	0.071	0.6290
19	ACE	0.069	0.5968
18	BC	0.026	0.5645
17	ABCE	0.024	0.5323
16	BCDE	0.011	0.5000
15	AC	0.008	0.4677
14	ABCDE	0.006	0.4355
13	BDE	-0.009	0.4032
12	ABC	-0.009	0.3710
11	AD	-0.013	0.3387
10	AE	-0.061	0.3065
9	ADE	-0.069	0.2742
8	BCE	-0.096	0.2419
7	CDE	-0.097	0.2097
6	CE	-0.108	0.1774
5	BD	-0.111	0.1452
4	DE	-0.124	0.1129
3	ABCD	-0.143	0.0806
2	ABE	-0.158	0.0484
1	BE	-0.201	0.0161

Table A-5: The 2⁵ factorial design of Izod impact test.

Run Number	Factorial Code	Factor					Sum of Response	Yates' Algorithm					Contrast	Effect	Code	Effect	Pk=(j-0.5)/31
		A	B	C	D	E		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)					
1	1	-	-	-	-	-	40.51	65.64	117.49	216.91	413.35	786.68			ABCD	0.219	0.9839
2	a	+	-	-	-	-	25.13	51.86	99.41	196.45	373.32	-70.52	A		AC	0.157	0.9516
3	b	-	+	-	-	-	26.68	54.23	100.09	195.15	-37.58	-76.28	B		CD	0.141	0.9194
4	ab	+	+	-	-	-	25.18	45.18	96.36	178.18	-32.95	-13.63	AB		ABDE	0.124	0.8871
5	c	-	-	+	-	-	27.75	54.64	105.15	-23.38	-41.12	-43.97	C		AD	0.084	0.8548
6	ac	+	+	+	-	-	26.47	45.44	90.00	-14.20	-35.16	25.07	AC		ABCE	0.057	0.8226
7	bc	-	+	+	+	-	25.20	52.73	92.59	-18.57	4.31	4.74	BC		BE	0.037	0.7903
8	abc	+	+	+	-	-	19.99	43.63	85.59	-14.38	-17.94	-8.36	ABC		BD	0.034	0.7581
9	d	-	-	-	+	-	28.06	58.59	-16.88	-22.82	-21.81	-37.43	D		BC	0.030	0.7258
10	ad	+	+	-	+	-	26.59	46.55	-6.49	-18.30	-22.16	13.36	AD		AE	0.029	0.6935
11	bd	-	+	-	+	-	27.13	47.98	-10.28	-18.01	16.76	5.38	BD		DE	0.022	0.6613
12	abd	+	+	+	+	-	18.31	42.01	-3.92	-17.15	8.32	-11.37	ABD		ACDE	0.021	0.6290
13	cd	-	-	+	+	-	27.77	49.04	-11.55	9.95	4.83	22.50	CD		CE	-0.002	0.5968
14	acd	+	+	+	+	-	24.96	43.55	-7.02	-5.64	-0.09	-4.78	ACD		BDE	-0.023	0.5645
15	bcd	-	+	+	+	-	22.37	48.62	-9.08	-11.08	-8.75	-16.86	BCD		ACD	-0.030	0.5323
16	abcd	+	+	+	+	-	21.26	36.97	-5.30	-6.86	0.39	35.05	ABCD		BCE	-0.031	0.5000
17	e	-	-	-	-	+	31.29	-15.38	-13.78	-18.08	-20.46	-40.03	E		ADE	-0.031	0.4677
18	ae	+	+	-	-	+	27.31	-1.50	-9.04	-3.73	-16.97	4.63	AE		CDE	-0.039	0.4355
19	be	-	+	-	-	+	27.06	-1.28	-9.20	-15.15	9.17	5.96	BE		BCDE	-0.047	0.4032
20	abe	+	+	+	-	+	19.49	-5.21	-9.10	-7.01	4.19	-22.25	ABE		ABC	-0.052	0.3710
21	ce	-	-	+	-	+	23.88	-1.47	-12.04	10.39	4.52	-0.35	CE		ACE	-0.053	0.3387
22	ace	+	+	+	-	+	24.11	-8.82	-5.97	6.36	0.85	-8.44	ACE		ABD	-0.071	0.3065
23	bce	-	+	+	-	+	24.63	-2.82	-5.50	4.53	-15.59	-4.92	BCE		AB	-0.085	0.2742
24	abce	+	+	+	+	+	17.38	-1.10	-11.65	3.79	4.21	9.15	ABCE		BCD	-0.105	0.2419
25	de	-	-	-	+	+	25.40	-3.98	13.88	4.73	14.36	3.49	DE		ABCDE	-0.117	0.2097
26	ade	+	+	-	+	+	23.65	-7.57	-3.93	0.10	8.14	-4.98	ADE		ABE	-0.139	0.1774
27	bde	-	+	+	+	+	25.44	0.23	-7.35	6.07	-4.03	-3.67	BDE		D	-0.234	0.1452
28	abde	+	+	-	+	+	18.11	-7.25	1.71	-6.16	-0.74	19.80	ABDE		E	-0.250	0.1129
29	cde	-	-	+	+	+	25.31	-1.75	-3.59	-17.82	-4.63	-6.21	CDE		C	-0.275	0.0806
30	acde	+	+	+	+	+	23.31	-7.33	-7.48	9.06	-12.23	3.29	ACDE		A	-0.441	0.0484
31	bcde	-	+	+	+	+	20.13	-2.00	-5.57	-3.89	26.88	-7.60	BCDE		B	-0.477	0.0161
32	abcde	+	+	+	+	+	16.84	-3.29	-1.29	4.28	8.17	-18.70	ABCDE				

Table A-6: The 2⁵ factorial design of falling weight absorption impact energy.

Run Number	Factorial Code	Factor					Sum of Response	Yates' Algorithm					Contrast	Effect
		A	B	C	D	E		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
1	1	-	-	-	-	-	158.47	180.88	220.04	278.77	365.77	632.98	A	-3.299
2	a	+	-	-	-	-	22.41	39.16	58.73	87.00	267.21	-211.16	B	-3.286
3	b	-	+	-	-	-	22.68	32.24	46.20	171.28	-151.45	-210.30	AB	2.709
4	ab	-	+	+	-	-	16.48	26.49	40.80	95.93	-59.71	173.36	C	-3.836
5	c	-	-	+	-	-	18.77	24.94	124.68	-146.37	-158.31	-245.52	AC	2.930
6	ac	+	-	+	-	-	13.47	21.26	46.60	-5.08	-51.99	187.50	BC	2.803
7	bc	-	+	+	-	-	12.65	23.98	48.33	-55.58	131.31	179.40	ABC	-2.763
8	abc	+	+	+	-	-	13.84	16.82	47.60	-4.13	42.05	-176.82	D	-4.174
9	d	-	-	-	+	-	13.39	87.65	-142.26	-147.47	-166.71	-267.12	AD	3.012
10	ad	+	+	-	+	-	11.55	37.03	-4.11	-10.84	-78.81	192.74	BD	2.979
11	bd	-	+	-	+	-	12.15	24.50	-4.88	-53.02	142.83	190.68	ABD	3.645
12	abd	+	-	+	+	-	9.11	22.10	-0.20	1.03	44.67	-190.54	CD	-2.977
13	cd	-	-	+	+	-	11.08	23.58	-54.52	136.35	132.49	233.26	ACD	-3.058
14	acd	+	+	+	+	-	12.90	24.75	-1.06	-5.04	46.91	-195.72	BCE	1.373
15	bcd	-	+	+	+	-	9.42	23.87	2.33	45.60	-126.01	-188.98	ABCE	1.175
16	abcd	+	+	+	+	-	7.40	23.73	-6.46	-3.55	-50.81	170.48	ABCDE	1.113
17	e	-	-	-	-	+	69.44	-136.06	-141.72	-161.31	-191.77	-98.56	E	-1.540
18	ae	+	-	-	-	+	18.21	-6.20	-5.75	-5.40	-75.35	91.74	AE	1.433
19	be	-	+	-	-	+	20.16	-5.30	-3.68	-78.08	141.29	106.32	BE	1.661
20	abe	+	+	-	-	+	16.87	1.19	-7.16	-0.73	51.45	-89.26	ABE	-1.395
21	ce	-	-	+	-	+	11.93	-1.84	-50.62	138.15	136.63	87.90	CE	1.373
22	ace	+	+	+	-	+	12.57	-3.04	-2.40	4.68	54.05	-98.16	ACE	-1.534
23	bce	-	+	+	+	+	11.90	1.82	1.17	53.46	-141.39	-85.58	BCE	-1.337
24	abce	+	+	+	-	+	10.20	-2.02	-0.14	-8.79	-49.15	75.20	ABCE	1.175
25	de	-	-	-	+	+	10.83	-51.23	129.86	135.97	155.91	116.42	DE	1.819
26	ade	+	+	-	-	+	12.75	-3.29	6.49	-3.48	77.35	-89.84	ADE	-1.404
27	bde	-	+	+	+	+	12.17	0.64	-1.20	48.22	-133.47	-82.58	BDE	-1.290
28	abde	+	+	+	+	+	12.58	-1.70	-3.84	-1.31	-62.25	92.24	ABDE	1.441
29	cde	-	-	+	+	+	13.04	1.92	47.94	-123.37	-139.45	-78.56	CDE	-1.228
30	acde	+	-	+	+	+	10.83	0.41	-2.34	-2.64	-49.53	71.22	ACDE	1.113
31	bcde	-	+	+	+	+	13.99	-2.21	-1.51	-50.28	120.73	89.92	BCDE	1.405
32	abcde	+	+	+	+	+	9.74	-4.25	-2.04	-0.53	49.75	-70.98	ABCDE	-1.109

Order(j)	Code	Effect	PK=(j-0.5)/31
31	CD	3.645	0.9839
30	AD	3.012	0.9516
29	BD	2.979	0.9194
28	AC	2.930	0.8871
27	BC	2.803	0.8548
26	AB	2.709	0.8226
25	ABCD	2.664	0.7903
24	DE	1.819	0.7581
23	BE	1.661	0.7258
22	ABDE	1.441	0.6935
21	AE	1.433	0.6613
20	BCDE	1.405	0.6290
19	CE	1.373	0.5968
18	ABCE	1.175	0.5645
17	ACDE	1.113	0.5323
16	ABCDE	-1.109	0.5000
15	CDE	-1.228	0.4677
14	BDE	-1.290	0.4355
13	BCE	-1.337	0.4032
12	ABE	-1.395	0.3710
11	ADE	-1.404	0.3387
10	ACE	-1.534	0.3065
9	E	-1.540	0.2742
8	ABC	-2.763	0.2419
7	BCD	-2.953	0.2097
6	ABD	-2.977	0.1774
5	ACD	-3.058	0.1452
4	B	-3.286	0.1129
3	A	-3.299	0.0806
2	C	-3.836	0.0484
1	D	-4.174	0.0161

Table A-7: The 2⁵ factorial design of falling weight impact deformation.

Run Number	Factorial Code	Factor					Sum of Response	Yates' Algorithm					Contrast	Effect
		A	B	C	D	E		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
1	1	-	-	-	-	-	116.08	166.12	280.92	527.01	932.78	1832.06		
2	a	+	-	-	-	-	50.04	114.81	246.09	405.77	899.28	-144.56	A	-2.259
3	b	-	+	-	-	-	58.04	131.02	208.79	432.35	-82.38	-140.50	B	-2.195
4	ab	+	+	-	-	-	74.00	115.07	196.98	466.94	-62.19	138.39	AB	2.162
5	c	-	-	+	-	-	57.02	111.89	206.16	-73.36	-106.00	-42.71	C	-0.667
6	ac	+	+	+	-	-	52.07	96.90	226.19	-9.02	-34.50	17.91	AC	0.280
7	bc	-	-	+	+	-	63.00	110.36	241.51	-31.28	91.78	20.96	BC	0.327
8	abc	+	+	+	+	-	58.42	86.61	225.43	-30.90	46.61	-90.46	ABC	-1.413
9	d	-	-	-	+	-	53.47	107.61	-67.31	-67.26	-46.65	-86.66	D	-1.354
10	ad	+	-	+	+	-	49.02	98.55	-6.05	-38.74	3.94	64.72	AD	1.011
11	bd	-	+	-	+	-	47.88	121.03	-6.10	-24.93	64.44	43.89	BD	0.686
12	abd	+	+	-	+	-	55.63	105.16	-2.92	-9.57	-46.53	-150.16	ABD	-2.346
13	cd	-	-	+	+	-	45.21	123.44	-8.55	92.67	26.59	-13.09	CD	-0.205
14	acd	+	+	+	+	-	41.40	118.07	-22.73	-0.89	-5.63	-76.25	ACD	-1.191
15	bcd	-	-	+	+	+	67.86	114.81	0.72	51.60	-45.38	-36.11	BCD	-0.564
16	abcd	+	+	+	+	+	46.61	110.62	-31.62	-5.00	-45.08	70.73	ABCD	1.105
17	e	-	-	-	-	+	39.75	-66.04	-51.31	-34.84	-121.25	-33.49	E	-0.523
18	ae	+	-	-	-	+	39.50	-1.27	-15.96	-11.81	34.59	20.19	AE	0.315
19	be	-	+	-	-	+	59.06	-16.98	-14.99	20.03	64.34	71.50	BE	1.117
20	abe	+	+	-	-	+	67.18	10.93	-23.75	-16.09	0.38	-45.18	ABE	-0.706
21	ce	-	-	+	-	+	53.85	-4.95	-9.06	61.26	28.53	50.59	CE	0.790
22	ace	+	+	+	-	+	57.28	-1.14	-15.88	3.18	15.36	-110.96	ACE	-1.734
23	bce	-	-	+	+	+	47.88	0.89	-5.38	-14.18	-93.56	-32.22	BCE	-0.503
24	abce	+	+	+	-	+	61.09	-3.81	-4.19	-32.34	-56.60	0.29	ABCE	0.005
25	de	-	-	-	+	+	62.36	-28.11	64.77	35.35	23.03	155.84	DE	2.435
26	ade	+	+	-	+	+	59.31	19.56	27.90	-8.76	-36.11	-63.96	ADE	-0.999
27	bde	-	-	+	+	+	58.76	-13.34	3.81	-6.82	-58.09	-13.17	BDE	-0.206
28	abde	+	+	-	+	+	64.52	-9.40	-4.70	1.19	-18.16	36.96	ABDE	0.578
29	cde	-	-	+	+	+	50.29	1.27	47.67	-36.87	-44.12	-59.14	CDE	-0.924
30	acde	+	+	+	+	+	64.01	-0.55	3.94	-8.51	8.00	39.93	ACDE	0.624
31	bcd	-	-	+	+	+	46.61	-14.22	-1.82	-43.73	28.36	52.12	BCDE	0.814
32	abcde	+	+	+	+	+		-17.40	-3.18	-1.36	42.37	14.02	ABCDE	0.219

Order(j)	Code	Effect	PK=(j-0.5)/31
31	DE	2.435	0.9839
30	AB	2.162	0.9516
29	BE	1.117	0.9194
28	ABCD	1.105	0.8871
27	AD	1.011	0.8548
26	BCDE	0.814	0.8226
25	CE	0.790	0.7903
24	BD	0.686	0.7581
23	ACDE	0.624	0.7258
22	ABDE	0.578	0.6935
21	BC	0.327	0.6613
20	AE	0.315	0.6290
19	AC	0.280	0.5968
18	ABCDE	0.219	0.5645
17	ABCE	0.005	0.5323
16	CD	-0.205	0.5000
15	BDE	-0.206	0.4677
14	BCE	-0.503	0.4355
13	E	-0.523	0.4032
12	BCD	-0.564	0.3710
11	C	-0.667	0.3387
10	ABE	-0.706	0.3065
9	CDE	-0.924	0.2742
8	ADE	-0.999	0.2419
7	ACD	-1.191	0.2097
6	D	-1.354	0.1774
5	ABC	-1.413	0.1452
4	ACE	-1.734	0.1129
3	B	-2.195	0.0806
2	A	-2.259	0.0484
1	ABD	-2.346	0.0161

Table A-8: The 2⁵ factorial design of melting point temperature.

Run Number	Factorial Code	Factor					Sum of Response	Yates' Algorithm					Contrast	Effect
		A	B	C	D	E		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
1	I	-	-	-	-	-	250.83	502.17	1009	2016.0	4043.3	8058.7	A	-0.130
2	a	+	-	-	-	-	251.34	506.54	1007	2027.3	4015.4	-4.16	B	-0.451
3	b	-	+	-	-	-	253.49	503.42	1017	2018.6	-7.15	-14.44	AB	0.046
4	ab	+	+	-	-	-	253.05	503.87	1011	1996.7	2.99	1.48	C	-0.583
5	c	-	-	+	-	-	252.58	507.49	1010	-1.94	4.45	-18.64	AC	0.279
6	ac	+	-	+	-	-	250.84	509.19	1009	-5.21	-18.89	8.92	BC	-0.573
7	bc	-	+	+	-	-	252.07	506.36	1003	0.62	-6.95	-18.32	ABC	-0.128
8	abc	+	+	+	-	-	251.80	504.29	993.4	2.37	8.43	-4.08	D	-0.331
9	d	-	-	-	+	-	253.42	503.87	0.07	4.82	-7.45	-10.60	AD	-0.048
10	ad	+	-	-	+	-	254.07	506.10	-2.01	-0.37	-11.19	-1.52	BD	-0.238
11	bd	-	+	-	+	-	255.95	509.57	-2.06	-8.24	-3.17	-7.60	ABD	-0.290
12	abd	+	+	-	+	-	253.24	499.10	-3.15	-10.65	12.09	-9.28	CD	-0.412
13	cd	-	-	+	+	-	252.94	504.83	-3.35	0.52	-7.69	-13.20	ACD	-0.049
14	acd	+	-	+	+	-	253.42	498.47	3.97	-7.47	-10.63	-1.56	BCD	0.466
15	bcd	-	+	+	+	-	253.96	498.85	-1.20	4.86	1.67	14.92	ABCD	-0.464
16	abcd	+	+	+	+	-	250.33	494.56	3.57	3.57	-5.75	-14.84	E	-0.874
17	e	-	-	-	-	+	253.01	0.51	4.37	-1.42	11.33	-27.98	AE	0.317
18	ae	+	-	-	-	+	250.86	-0.44	0.45	-6.03	-21.93	10.14	BE	-0.729
19	be	-	+	-	-	+	253.65	-1.74	1.70	-1.30	-3.27	-23.34	ABE	0.481
20	abe	+	+	-	-	+	252.45	-0.27	-2.07	-9.89	1.75	15.38	CE	-0.117
21	ce	-	-	+	-	+	254.77	0.65	2.23	-2.08	-5.19	-3.74	ACE	0.477
22	ace	+	-	+	-	+	254.80	-2.71	-10.47	-1.09	-2.41	15.26	BCE	-0.092
23	bce	-	+	+	-	+	247.58	0.48	-6.36	7.32	-7.99	-2.94	ABCE	-0.232
24	abce	+	+	+	-	+	251.52	-3.63	-4.29	4.77	-1.29	-7.42	DE	-1.039
25	de	-	-	-	+	+	254.25	-2.15	-0.95	-3.92	-4.61	-33.26	ADE	0.157
26	ade	+	-	-	+	+	250.58	-1.20	1.47	-3.77	-8.59	5.02	BDE	0.087
27	bde	-	+	-	+	+	248.00	0.03	-3.36	-12.70	0.99	2.78	ABDE	0.209
28	abde	+	+	-	+	+	250.47	3.94	-4.11	2.07	-2.55	6.70	CDE	-0.124
29	cde	-	-	+	+	+	247.89	-3.67	0.95	2.42	0.15	-3.98	ACDE	-0.111
30	acde	+	-	+	+	+	250.96	2.47	3.91	-0.75	14.77	-3.54	BCDE	0.457
31	bcde	-	+	+	+	+	247.03	3.07	6.14	2.96	-3.17	14.62	E	-0.874
32	abcde	+	+	+	+	+	247.53	0.50	-2.57	-8.71	-11.67	-8.50	DE	-1.039

Order(i)	Code	Effect	$P_k=(j-0.5)/31$
31	ABE	0.481	0.9839
30	ACE	0.477	0.9516
29	BCD	0.466	0.9194
28	BCDE	0.457	0.8871
27	AE	0.317	0.8548
26	AC	0.279	0.8226
25	ABDE	0.209	0.7903
24	ADE	0.157	0.7581
23	BDE	0.087	0.7258
22	AB	0.046	0.6935
21	AD	-0.048	0.6613
20	ACD	-0.049	0.6290
19	BCE	-0.092	0.5968
18	ACDE	-0.111	0.5645
17	CE	-0.117	0.5323
16	CDE	-0.124	0.5000
15	ABC	-0.128	0.4677
14	A	-0.130	0.4355
13	ABCE	-0.232	0.4032
12	BD	-0.238	0.3710
11	ABCDE	-0.266	0.3387
10	ABD	-0.290	0.3065
9	D	-0.331	0.2742
8	CD	-0.412	0.2419
7	B	-0.451	0.2097
6	ABCD	-0.464	0.1774
5	BC	-0.573	0.1452
4	C	-0.583	0.1129
3	BE	-0.729	0.0806
2	E	-0.874	0.0484
1	DE	-1.039	0.0161

Table A-9: The 2⁵ factorial design of percent crystallinity.

Run Number	Factorial Code	Factor					Sum of Response	Yates' Algorithm					Contrast	Effect
		A	B	C	D	E		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
1	1	-	-	-	-	-	113.74	231.71	472.18	939.8	1864.2	3700.0		
2	a	+	-	-	-	-	117.97	240.47	467.61	924.4	1835.9	23.69	A	0.740
3	b	-	+	-	-	-	114.47	237.60	467.95	899.6	1.46	-30.85	B	-0.964
4	ab	+	+	-	-	-	126.00	230.01	456.42	936.2	22.23	12.57	AB	0.393
5	c	-	-	+	-	-	121.17	240.81	448.16	11.43	-25.96	-3.11	C	-0.097
6	ac	+	+	+	-	-	116.43	227.14	451.47	-9.97	-4.89	-9.45	AC	0.821
7	bc	-	+	+	+	-	114.80	234.94	463.28	19.63	11.66	-13.35	BC	0.787
8	abc	+	+	+	+	-	115.21	221.48	472.96	2.60	0.91	1.75	ABC	0.055
9	d	-	-	-	+	-	121.64	223.30	15.76	1.17	-16.10	21.19	D	0.662
10	ad	+	-	-	+	-	119.17	224.86	-4.33	-27.13	12.99	-38.43	AD	-1.201
11	bd	-	+	-	+	-	115.52	227.23	-6.37	-1.43	-17.32	-30.33	BD	-0.948
12	abd	+	+	+	+	-	111.62	224.24	-3.60	-3.46	7.87	-12.99	ABD	-0.406
13	cd	-	-	+	+	-	118.53	234.34	8.76	12.45	-16.14	-0.59	CD	-0.018
14	acd	+	+	+	+	-	116.41	228.94	10.87	-0.79	2.79	26.51	ACD	0.828
15	bcd	-	+	+	+	-	111.48	235.51	-1.58	0.33	-0.08	28.45	BCD	0.889
16	abcd	+	+	+	+	-	110.00	237.45	4.18	0.58	1.83	-7.25	ABCD	-0.227
17	e	-	-	-	-	+	108.67	4.23	8.76	-4.57	-15.42	-28.29	E	-0.884
18	ae	+	-	-	-	+	114.63	11.53	-7.59	-11.53	36.61	20.77	AE	0.649
19	be	-	+	-	-	+	111.03	-4.74	-13.67	3.31	-21.40	21.07	BE	0.658
20	abe	+	+	-	-	+	113.83	0.41	-13.46	9.68	-17.03	-10.75	ABE	-0.336
21	ce	-	-	+	-	+	111.77	-2.47	1.56	-20.09	-28.30	29.09	CE	0.909
22	ace	+	+	+	-	+	115.46	-3.90	-2.99	2.77	-2.03	25.19	ACE	0.787
23	bce	-	+	+	-	+	108.53	-2.12	-5.40	2.11	-13.24	18.93	BCE	0.592
24	abce	+	+	+	+	-	115.71	-1.48	1.94	5.76	0.25	1.91	ABCE	0.060
25	de	-	-	-	+	+	118.24	5.96	7.30	-16.35	-6.96	52.03	DE	1.626
26	ade	+	+	-	+	+	116.10	2.80	5.15	0.21	6.37	4.37	ADE	0.137
27	bde	-	+	+	+	+	114.19	3.69	-1.43	-4.55	22.86	26.27	BDE	0.821
28	abde	+	+	+	+	+	114.75	7.18	0.64	7.34	3.65	13.49	ABDE	0.422
29	cde	-	-	+	+	+	116.18	-2.14	-3.16	-2.15	16.56	13.33	CDE	0.417
30	acde	+	+	+	+	+	119.33	0.56	3.49	2.07	11.89	-19.21	ACDE	-0.600
31	bcde	-	+	+	+	+	118.21	3.15	2.70	6.65	4.22	-4.67	BCDE	-0.146
32	abcde	+	+	+	+	+	119.24	1.03	-2.12	-4.82	-11.47	-15.69	ABCDE	-0.490

Order(j)	Code	Effect	PK=(j-0.5)/31
31	DE	1.626	0.9839
30	CE	0.909	0.9516
29	BCD	0.889	0.9194
28	ACD	0.828	0.8871
27	BDE	0.821	0.8548
26	ACE	0.787	0.8226
25	A	0.740	0.7903
24	D	0.662	0.7581
23	BE	0.658	0.7258
22	AE	0.649	0.6935
21	BCE	0.592	0.6613
20	ABDE	0.422	0.6290
19	CDE	0.417	0.5968
18	AB	0.393	0.5645
17	ADE	0.137	0.5323
16	ABCE	0.060	0.5000
15	ABC	0.055	0.4677
14	CD	-0.018	0.4355
13	C	-0.097	0.4032
12	BCDE	-0.146	0.3710
11	ABCD	-0.227	0.3387
10	AC	-0.295	0.3065
9	ABE	-0.336	0.2742
8	ABD	-0.406	0.2419
7	BC	-0.417	0.2097
6	ABCDE	-0.490	0.1774
5	ACDE	-0.600	0.1452
4	E	-0.884	0.1129
3	BD	-0.948	0.0806
2	B	-0.964	0.0484
1	AD	-1.201	0.0161

Table A-10: The 2⁵ factorial design of heat deflection temperature.

Run Number	Factorial Code	Factor					Sum of Response	Yates' Algorithm					Contrast	Effect
		A	B	C	D	E		(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
1	1	-	-	-	-	-	332.10	699.80	1434.40	3043.30	6366.60	12864.3		
2	a	+	-	-	-	-	367.70	734.60	1608.90	3323.30	6497.70	321.90	A	5.030
3	b	-	+	-	-	-	365.20	786.00	1634.80	3132.70	174.80	271.70	B	4.245
4	ab	+	+	-	-	-	369.40	822.90	1688.50	3365.00	147.10	-80.30	AB	-1.255
5	c	-	-	+	-	-	380.90	794.80	1501.10	91.10	119.80	450.10	C	7.033
6	ac	+	-	+	-	-	405.10	840.00	1631.60	83.70	151.90	-49.90	AC	-0.780
7	bc	-	+	+	-	-	397.90	842.80	1636.80	101.50	-30.00	-134.10	BC	-2.095
8	abc	+	+	+	-	-	425.00	845.70	1728.20	45.60	-50.30	113.10	ABC	1.767
9	d	-	-	-	+	-	380.20	716.40	39.80	71.70	228.20	512.30	D	8.005
10	ad	+	+	-	+	-	414.60	784.70	51.30	48.10	221.90	-63.30	AD	-0.989
11	bd	-	+	-	+	-	410.60	798.70	53.20	102.50	-11.20	-76.70	BD	-1.198
12	abd	+	+	+	+	-	429.40	832.90	30.50	49.40	-38.70	0.50	ABD	0.008
13	cd	-	-	+	+	-	417.30	791.10	63.90	-28.50	-40.20	-159.90	CD	-2.498
14	acd	+	+	+	+	-	425.50	845.70	37.60	-1.50	-93.90	-20.30	ACD	-0.317
15	bcd	-	+	+	+	-	411.70	866.70	29.00	-11.90	64.00	-70.10	BCD	-1.095
16	abcd	+	+	+	+	-	434.00	861.50	16.60	-38.40	49.10	79.10	ABCD	1.236
17	e	-	-	-	-	+	342.90	35.60	34.80	174.50	280.00	131.10	E	2.048
18	ae	+	-	-	-	+	373.50	4.20	36.90	53.70	232.30	-27.70	AE	-0.433
19	be	-	+	-	-	+	375.70	24.20	45.20	130.50	-7.40	32.10	BE	0.502
20	abe	+	+	-	-	+	409.00	27.10	2.90	91.40	-55.90	-20.30	ABE	-0.317
21	ce	-	-	+	-	+	386.30	34.40	68.30	11.50	-23.60	-6.30	CE	-0.098
22	ace	+	+	+	-	+	412.40	18.80	34.20	-22.70	-53.10	-27.50	ACE	-0.430
23	bce	-	+	+	-	+	410.70	8.20	54.60	-26.30	27.00	-53.70	BCE	-0.839
24	abce	+	+	+	+	+	422.20	22.30	-5.20	-12.40	-26.50	-14.90	ABCE	-0.233
25	de	-	-	-	+	+	375.20	30.60	-31.40	2.10	-120.80	-47.70	DE	-0.745
26	ade	+	-	-	+	+	415.90	33.30	2.90	-42.30	-39.10	-48.50	ADE	-0.758
27	bde	-	+	-	+	+	428.70	26.10	-15.60	-34.10	-34.20	-29.50	BDE	-0.461
28	abde	+	+	+	+	+	417.00	11.50	14.10	-59.80	13.90	-53.50	ABDE	-0.836
29	cde	-	-	+	+	+	432.70	40.70	2.70	34.30	-44.40	81.70	CDE	1.277
30	acde	+	+	-	+	+	434.00	-11.70	-14.60	29.70	-25.70	48.10	ACDE	0.752
31	bcde	-	+	+	+	+	423.10	1.30	-52.40	-17.30	-4.60	18.70	BCDE	0.292
32	abcde	+	+	+	+	+	438.40	15.30	14.00	66.40	83.70	88.30	ABCDE	1.380

Order(i)	Code	Effect	$P_k=(j-0.5)/31$
31	D	8.005	0.9839
30	C	7.033	0.9516
29	A	5.030	0.9194
28	B	4.245	0.8871
27	E	2.048	0.8548
26	ABC	1.767	0.8226
25	ABCDE	1.380	0.7903
24	CDE	1.277	0.7581
23	ABCD	1.236	0.7258
22	ACDE	0.752	0.6935
21	BE	0.502	0.6613
20	BCDE	0.292	0.6290
19	ABD	0.008	0.5968
18	CE	-0.098	0.5645
17	ABCE	-0.233	0.5323
16	ABE	-0.317	0.5000
15	ACD	-0.317	0.4677
14	ACE	-0.430	0.4355
13	AE	-0.433	0.4032
12	BDE	-0.461	0.3710
11	DE	-0.745	0.3387
10	ADE	-0.758	0.3065
9	AC	-0.780	0.2742
8	ABDE	-0.836	0.2419
7	BCE	-0.839	0.2097
6	AD	-0.989	0.1774
5	BCD	-1.095	0.1452
4	BD	-1.198	0.1129
3	AB	-1.255	0.0806
2	BC	-2.095	0.0484
1	CD	-2.498	0.0161

VITA

Mr. Verasak Coodtapan was born in Chonburi, Thailand on March 7, 1971. He graduated high school from Chonrasadon Aumrung School in 1987 and received a Bachelor of Science in Industrial Chemistry from the Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang in 1991. Recently, he is studying of Master's Degree in Chemical Engineering at Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Chulalongkorn University.



ศูนย์วิทยทรัพยากร
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย