

CHAPTER II

PRELIMINARIES

The materials of this chapter are drawn from references [1] , [2] , [5] , [6] .

To make this thesis essentially self contained, we recall some relevant notions and facts from group theory. However we first recall the all important Zorn's Lemma.

2.1 Definitions. A relation \leq on a set S is said to be transitive if for any a, b, c in S with $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$, we always have $a \leq c$. It is said to be reflexive if $a \leq a$ for each element a in S ; and it is said to be antisymmetric if for any elements a, b of S , $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$ implies $a = b$.

A partially ordered set is a pair (S, \leq) , where S is a set and where \leq is a transitive, reflexive and antisymmetric relation on S . If no confusion can arise, we usually say that S is a partially ordered set.

A linearly ordered subset or chain of a partially ordered set (S, \leq) is a subset T such that, if a and b are in T , then either $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$. In connection with subgroups of a group, a chain is a set of subgroups linearly ordered by inclusion; and the union of the subgroups of such a chain is an ascending union.

An upper bound of a subset W of partially ordered set S is an element u of S such that $w \leq u$ for all w in W .

An element M of a partially ordered set S is maximal if $M \leq s$ for s in S , implies that $M = s$.

2.2 Zorn's Lemma . A non - empty partially ordered set X in which every linearly ordered subset of X has an upper bound contains a maximal element.

We now recall some concepts from Group Theory.

2.3 Notations. Let A be a non - empty subset of a group G . The set of finite products of elements of $A \cup A^{-1}$ is a subgroup of G ; it is called the subgroup generated by A and is denoted by $[A]$. If A consists of a single element a , then $[\{a\}]$ is cyclic and will also be denoted by $[a]$.

2.4 Definitions. The subgroup of elements of finite order of an abelian group G is called the torsion subgroup of G , denoted by tG .

An element of finite order of any group (not necessarily abelian) is called a torsion element.

If all the elements of a subgroup are torsion elements, the subgroup is said to be torsion; if no element, other than the identity element 1 , is torsion, the subgroup is said to be torsion - free.

2.5 Definition. A group G is said to be torsion - free in the strong sense or strongly torsion - free if for each non-zero integer n , and for any elements x, y of G , $x^n = y^n$ implies $x = y$.

2.6 Remark. (a) A group G is torsion - free if and only if for any non-zero integer n , and for any element x of G , $x^n = 1$ implies $x = 1$. Consequently, a group which is torsion - free in the strong sense is torsion - free.

(b) Torsion - free abelian groups are strongly torsion - free; for if $x^n = y^n$ holds in such a group, then $x^n \circ y^{-n} = 1$, and by the commutativity of the group, $(x \circ y^{-1})^n = 1$. Since the group is torsion - free, $x \circ y^{-1} = 1$; i.e., $x = y$.

(c) Let G be a torsion - free group, and $1 \neq g \in G$.

Let

$$\langle g \rangle = \{ x \in G / x^m \in [g] \text{ for some non-zero integer } m \}.$$

For any $x, y \in \langle g \rangle - \{1\}$, there exist non-zero integers m and n such that $x^m = y^n$; for if $x, y \in \langle g \rangle$, then there exist non-zero integers r, s, t and u such that $x^r = g^s, y^t = g^u$ and therefore $x^{ru} = g^{su}, y^{st} = g^{su}$. Hence $x^{ru} = y^{st}$, i.e., $x^m = y^n$ for $m = ru$ and $n = st$.

Convention : For the remainder of the chapter, all groups are additive abelian.

2.7 Definitions. An abelian group G is said to be an (internal) direct sum of its subgroups A_k , where k ranges over some index set K , if

$$i. \quad G = \left[\bigcup_{k \in K} A_k \right]$$

and

$$ii. \quad A_t \cap \left[\bigcup_{k \in K^*} A_k \right] = \{0\},$$

for each $t \in K$, where $K^* = K \setminus \{t\}$ and 0 denotes the zero of G .

In this case, we write $G = \sum_{k \in K} A_k$ and call the A_k (direct) summands of G . If $K = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we shall write $G = A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$.

A group G is said to be decomposable if it is a direct sum of some of its proper subgroups. Otherwise G is said to be indecomposable.

2.8 Definitions. The subgroup of elements of p -power order, p a fixed prime, of an abelian group G is called the p -component of G .

If each element of any group G (not necessarily abelian) has order a power of p , then G is called a p -group.

2.9 Theorem. An abelian torsion group is the direct sum of its p -components.

Proof : Let G be an abelian torsion group, and for each prime p , let

$$G_p = \{ g \in G / g \text{ has order a power of } p \}.$$

Then obviously $0 \in G_p$, and for any elements x, y of G_p , there exist non-zero integers m, n such that $p^m x = 0 = p^n y$. Hence $p^{n+m} (x + y) = 0$, that is the order of $(x + y)$ is a power of p , that is G_p is a subgroup of G . Moreover, G_p is a p -component..

We will show that $G = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} G_p$, where \mathbb{P} is the set of prime numbers.

a. Let g be a non-zero element of G . Since G is torsion, the order n of g is finite. Let

$$n = p_1^{x_1} \cdot p_2^{x_2} \cdots p_k^{x_k},$$

where $p_i \in \mathbb{P}$ and let $n_i = n / p_i^{x_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then the greatest common divisor of the n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k is 1, and therefore there exist integers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ such that $1 = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i n_i$, then $g = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i n_i g$. Now $p_i^{x_i} \alpha_i n_i g = \alpha_i n g = 0$ so that the order of $\alpha_i n_i g$ divides $p_i^{x_i}$, that is the order of $\alpha_i n_i g$ is a power of p_i , hence $\alpha_i n_i g$ belongs to G_{p_i} .

Thus g belongs to $\left[\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} G_p \right]$, that is G is a subgroup of $\left[\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} G_p \right]$. Since the reverse inclusion is obvious,

$$G = \left[\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}} G_p \right] .$$

b. We are left to show that for each $q \in \mathbb{P}$,

$$G_q \cap \left[\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}^*} G_p \right] = \{0\}, \text{ where } \mathbb{P}^* = \mathbb{P} \setminus \{q\} .$$

Let $x \in G_q \cap \left[\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}^*} G_p \right]$. Then $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i$,

where $g_i \in G_{p_i}$ and α_i are integers. The order of x is a power of q , while the order of $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i g_i$ is a product of powers of p_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus $q^\alpha = p_1^{\gamma_1} \cdot p_2^{\gamma_2} \dots p_n^{\gamma_n}$ for some integers $\alpha, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$, which can not happen unless

$\alpha = \gamma_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $x = 0$, that is $G_q \cap \left[\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P}^*} G_p \right] = \{0\}$ for all $q \in \mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{P}^* = \mathbb{P} \setminus \{q\}$.

2.10 Example and Definition. It is easy to see that the group \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} of the additive abelian group \mathbb{Q} of rationals modulo the additive abelian group \mathbb{Z} of integers is torsion so that, by Theorem 2.9, we have

$$\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_p ,$$

where \mathbb{P} is the set of all primes.

A group is said to be of type p^∞ if it is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})_p$ and the symbol $\sigma(p^\infty)$ will be used to denote any such group.

For each $p \in \mathbb{P}$, let $\Lambda^{(p)}$ be the set of all rationals τ in $[0, 1[$ whose denominator is a power of p . Then clearly

$$A^{(p)} = \left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)_p \cap [0, 1[;$$

i.e., $A^{(p)}$ is the set of representatives of the cosets of $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)_p$ which are in $[0, 1[$. Thus the map $\bar{x} \mapsto x - \llbracket x \rrbracket$, where $\llbracket x \rrbracket$ is the greatest integer less than or equals to x , from $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)_p$ onto $A^{(p)}$ induces an isomorphism of the group $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}}\right)_p$ and the group $A^{(p)}$ with the binary operation which is just the ordinary addition in \mathbb{Q} modulo 1.

2.11 Definition. A group G is said to be p -cocyclic if and only if G is isomorphic to $\sigma(p^n)$ for some $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, where p is a prime and where $\sigma(k)$ denotes a cyclic group of order k .

2.12 Remarks : For each positive integer n , let

$$a_n = \frac{1}{p^n}$$

Then $pa_1 = 1 = 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$

and for $n > 1$, $pa_n = a_{n-1}$.

Hence a_n has order p^n so that $[a_n] = \sigma(p^n)$ for each positive integer n . (We identify isomorphic groups).

(a) $0 \subset [a_1] \subset [a_2] \subset \dots \subset [a_n] \subset \dots \subset \sigma(p^\infty)$;
in fact, $[a_k] \subset [a_n]$ for any integers k and n with $k \leq n$.

Proof : The case $k = n$ is obvious; assume $k < n$. It then follows that the element $p^{n-k} a_n = \frac{p^{n-k}}{p^n}$ of $[a_n]$ is just a_k

so that $[a_k] \subset [a_n]$ as required.

$$(b) \quad \sigma(p^\infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma(p^n)$$

Proof : We only need to prove that $\sigma(p^\infty) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n]$, since the reverse inclusion follows from (a).

Let $x \in \sigma(p^\infty) = A^{(p)}$ (see 2.10). Then $x = m/p^n$ for some non-negative integers m, n with $m < p^n$, so that $x \in [a_n]$.

(c) The only non-zero proper subgroups of $\sigma(p^\infty)$ are the finite cyclic subgroups $\sigma(p^n)$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Proof : Suppose A is a non-zero proper subgroup of $\sigma(p^\infty)$.

If A contains all the a_n , then

$$A \supset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a_n] = \sigma(p^\infty), \text{ (by (b))}$$

so that we must have an n such that $a_n \notin A$. Let m be the smallest integer which $a_{m+1} \notin A$. Then $a_m \in A$ so that $[a_m] \subset A$. To conclude, we will show that $A \subset [a_m]$; i.e., $\sigma(p^\infty) \setminus A \supset \sigma(p^\infty) \setminus [a_m]$.

First, note that it follows from (a) and the choice of m that

$$a_n \notin A$$

for all $n > m + 1$.

Suppose to the contrary that there is a y in

$\sigma(p^\infty) \setminus [a_m]$ and in A . Then

$$y \in [a_k] \setminus [a_m]$$

for some $k > m + 1$ by (a) and (b). Thus

$$a_k \in [a_k] = [y] \subset A,$$

contradicting the above remark.

2.13 Theorem . The additive group \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} of rationals modulo 1 is isomorphic to a direct sum of p -cocyclic groups, one for each prime. Moreover, group is isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} if and only if it is a direct sum of p -cocyclic groups.

Proof : The first statement of this theorem follows from 2.10 and definition 2.11.

Thus it remains to prove the second statement. We have already shown in 2.10 that \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is torsion; hence subgroups of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} are also torsion.

Then by Theorem 2.9, we have that $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \sigma(p^\infty)$ and that any subgroup H of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is the direct sum of its p -components : $H = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} H_p$, where \mathbb{P} is the set of prime numbers. Hence each H_p is a subgroup of $\sigma(p^\infty)$ and, therefore, is p -cocyclic by 2.12 (c).

Conversely, if a group G is a direct sum of p -cocyclic groups G_p . Then $G_p \subset \sigma(p^\infty)$ by 2.12 (a). This inclusion map then induces an isomorphism of $G = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} G_p$ onto a

subgroup of $\sum_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathcal{O}(p^\infty) = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Thus the theorem is completely proved.



2.14 Theorem. Let G be a decomposable p -group, for some prime p . Then no two elements, different from 0 , from distinct summands (of the same direct sum decomposition) of G can belong to a common cyclic subgroup of G .

Proof : Let A and B be distinct summands of G , and $G = A \oplus B \oplus C$. Suppose there exist $0 \neq a \in A$ and $0 \neq b \in B$ with $a, b \in [g]$ for some $0 \neq g \in G$, where $[g]$ denotes the cyclic group generated by g . Let $g = a' + b' + c$, for some a' in A , b' in B and c in C . Since $a, b \in [g]$, then $a = mg$, $b = ng$, for some non-zero integers m and n . It then follows that $a = ma'$ and $b = nb'$; $O(b')$ divides m and $O(a')$ divides n , where $O(x)$ denotes the order of x . If $O(a') = O(b')$, then $O(a')$ divides m and $O(b')$ divides n so that $a = 0 = b$, contradicting the choice of a and b . Hence $O(a') \neq O(b')$. Without loss of generality we shall assume that $O(a') < O(b')$. Since both $O(a')$ and $O(b')$ are powers of a fixed prime p , $O(a')$ divides m also, thus $a = 0$, contradicting the choice of a . Hence in any case, we have a contradiction, and the theorem is proved.

Corollary. Any p-cocyclic group is indecomposable.

Proof : This is just a consequence of Theorem 2.14 with the aid of Remark 2.12 (b).

Moreover, the converse of this Corollary holds for p-primary group.

2.15 Theorem. A p-primary group is indecomposable if and only if it is p-cocyclic.

We shall devote the remainder of this chapter to complete the proof of this theorem.

Definitions. Let G be a group, an element x in G is divisible by an integer n if there exists an element y in G with $ny = x$.

A group G is divisible if for every x in G , x is divisible by every integer n .

A divisible subgroup is a subgroup which ~~considered as~~ a group is divisible.

A group is reduced if it contains no (non-zero) divisible subgroups.

Remarks. a) The element 0 of any group is divisible by any integer.

b) If x is an element of a group G of order m , then it is divisible by any integer prime to m ; for if n and m are relatively primes, there exist integers a, b such that $an + bm = 1$, hence $anx + bmx = x$ and we have $n(ax) = x$.

Lemma A. A divisible subgroup of a group G is a direct summand.

Proof : Let H be a divisible subgroup of G . We consider the set B of all subgroups L of G which satisfy $H \cap L = \{0\}$. B is not empty since $\{0\}$ is in B . We partially order B by set-theoretical inclusion. Suppose $\{L_i\}$ is a chain in B ; let M be the set-theoretical union of the L_i 's. Two things need to be verified.

004821

a) M is a subgroup of G . We take x and y in M and have to show that $x - y$ is in M . Now x and y are in M so that x is, say, in L_i , and y in L_j . But L_i and L_j are comparable, say $L_i \subseteq L_j$. Then both x and y are in L_j , and so is $x - y$. Hence $x - y$ is in M .

b) $H \cap M = \{0\}$. This follows from the fact that every element of M is in one of the L_i 's and $H \cap L_i = \{0\}$.

Hence M is an upper bound for $\{L_i\}$. By Zorn's Lemma, we conclude that B contains a maximal element, say K . We are left to prove that $[H \cup K] = G$. We suppose the contrary.

Then there exists an element x in G which is not in $[HUK]$, and it follows that x is not in K . Let $K' = [K \cup \{x\}]$. K' properly contains K , and in fact, K' consists of all elements $k + nx$ where k is in K and n is an integer. By the maximality of K we know that $H \cap K' \neq \{0\}$. Hence there exists a non-zero element h in $H \cap K'$ such that $h = k + nx$. Thus it follows that nx is in $[HUK]$. We may suppose that n is the smallest positive integer such that $nx \in [HUK]$. Hence $n > 1$, let p be a prime dividing n , and write $y = (n/p)x$. Thus y is not in $[HUK]$, but $py = nx = h - k$. By the divisibility of H we may write $h = ph_1$, for some $h_1 \in H$. Let $z = y - h_1$. Then z is not in $[HUK]$ which implies that z is not in K , but

$$(1) \quad pz = py - ph_1 = py - h = -k$$

is in K . Since z is not in K , we then have $K'' = [K \cup \{z\}]$ properly contains K . Again $H \cap K'' \neq \{0\}$; hence we can find

$$(2) \quad h_2 = k_2 + mz$$

with $h_2 \in H$, $h_2 \neq 0$, $k_2 \in K$, and m is an integer. It is impossible for m to be a multiple of p , for then $h_2 = k_2 + lpz$ for some integer l , so that h_2 is a non-zero element in $H \cap K$. Hence m is prime to p ; we may find integers a, b such that $am + bp = 1$. We have $z = amz + bpz$; by (1) and (2), z is in $[HUK]$, which is a contradiction. Hence $G = [HUK]$.

Lemma B Any group G can be written as a direct sum,
 $G = M \oplus N$, where N is reduced subgroup and M is a divisible
subgroup of G .

Proof : Let M be the union of the divisible subgroups of G .
Now $[M]$ consists of finite sum $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k$ where each
 x_i lies in some divisible subgroup of G . Since each x_i is
divisible by arbitrary n , so is the sum. Thus $[M]$ is itself
a divisible subgroup. By Lemma A. $[M]$ is a direct summand
of G ; hence $G = [M] \oplus N$, where N is a subgroup of G , N
can have no (non-zero) divisible subgroups, since such
subgroups of N are also divisible subgroups of G ; i.e., N is
reduced.

Remark C. To classify all abelian groups it suffices, by
Lemma B, to classify the divisible and reduced abelian groups.

Lemma D. A divisible indecomposable p -group G_p is isomorphic
to $\mathcal{O}(p^\infty)$.

Proof : We select in G_p an element x_1 of order p . Using the
divisibility of G_p , we find in succession elements x_2, x_3, \dots
with $px_2 = x_1, px_3 = x_2, \dots$, and in general $px_{i+1} = x_i$. Now
map x_1 into $1/p, x_2$ into $1/p^2, \dots, x_i$ into $1/p^i, \dots$. This
gives rise to an isomorphism between the subgroup H generated
by the x_i 's, and the group $\mathcal{O}(p^\infty)$.

Since every element of H is of order a power of p , it is divisible by every integer prime to p . On the other hand, every element of H can be divided by arbitrary powers of p . On putting these two statements together, we establish that H is divisible. By Lemma A, $G_p = H \oplus R$, but G_p is indecomposable; thus $R = \{0\}$. Hence we have proved that G_p is isomorphic to $C(p^\infty)$.

Definition. A subgroup H of a group G is pure if for any $h \in H$ and for any integer n , $h = ny$ for some $y \in G$ implies $h = nh_1$ for some h_1 in H .

Lemma E. Let G be a group, H a pure subgroup of G , and y an element of G/H . Then there exists an element x in G , having the same order as y , and $x^* = y$, where x^* is the image of x under the natural quotient map from G onto G/H .

Proof : If y has infinite order, then any choice of an element mapping on y will do. So suppose y has finite order n . First choose any z in G with $z^* = y$. Then nz is in H . By the purity of H , there exists an element $h \in H$ with $nh = nz$. Set $x = z - h$. Then $x^* = y$, and has order n .

Lemma F. Let G be a group and H a pure subgroup of G such that G/H is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Then H is a direct summand of G .

Proof : For each cyclic summand of G/H pick a generator y_i , by Lemma E, we can choose element x_i in G such that $x_i^* = y_i$ and x_i has the same order as y_i ($z^* = z + H = \{z + h/h \in H\}$). Let K be the subgroup of G generated by the elements x_i 's.

We claim that $G = H \oplus K$.

(a) $G = [HUK]$: Let t be any element in G . Then t^* is a finite sum $\sum a_i y_i$ where a_i are integers. Then $t = \sum a_i x_i$ maps on 0 in G/H , and so is in H . Since $\sum a_i x_i \in K$, we have $t \in [HUK]$.

(b) $H \cap K = \{0\}$: Let $w \in H \cap K$. Then $w \in K$ so that

$$w = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i_k} x_{i_k}$$

where the a_{i_k} are integers. Since $w \in H$ also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = w^* &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i_k} x_{i_k}^* \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i_k} y_{i_k} \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{i_k} y_{i_k}$ comes from distinct summands of G/H , $a_{i_k} y_{i_k} = 0$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If the order of y_{i_k} is infinite, $a_{i_k} = 0$;

if the order of y_{i_k} is n_k , then n_k divides a_{i_k} so that $a_{i_k} x_{i_k} = 0$

since n_k is also the order of x_{i_k} by choice. Hence, in any case,

$$w = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i_k} x_{i_k} = 0$$

so that $H \cap K = \{0\}$

Lemma G. Let G be a group, S a pure subgroup of G , and T a subgroup of G containing S such that T/S is pure in G/S . Then T is pure in G .

Proof : Suppose $t \in T$ and $t = nx$ with $x \in G$. We have to prove that t is a multiple of n in T . Let t^* and x^* be the homomorphic images of t and x in G/S . Then $t^* = nx^*$. Since T/S is pure in G/S , there exists $y \in T$ such that $y^* \in T/S$ and $t^* = ny^*$. It follows that $t = ny + s$ for a suitable element $s \in S$. Since $s = t - ny = nx - ny$, and since S is pure in G , we conclude that $s = ns_1$ for some $s_1 \in S$. This gives us that $t = ny + ns_1 = n(y + s_1)$ where $y + s_1 \in T$, as desired.

Lemma H. Let S be a pure subgroup of G with $nS = \{0\}$, where n is an integer and $nS = \{ns / s \in S\}$. Then $[S \cup nG] / nG$ is pure in G/nG .

Proof : Suppose $x = my$ where $x \in [S \cup nG] / nG$, $y \in G/nG$, and m is an integer. We have to prove that x is a multiple of m within $[S \cup nG] / nG$. Let us take representatives s in S of x and t in G of y . Then s and mt differ by an element of nG :

$$s = mt + nz$$

for some $z \in G$. Let r be the greatest common divisor of m and n . Then $m = rm_1$, $n = rn_1$, with m_1 and n_1 relatively prime; we can then find integers a and b such that $am_1 + bn_1 = 1$. We have $s = rm_1t + rn_1z$. Since S is pure in G , we have $s = rs_1$ with s_1 in S . Hence

$$s = rs_1 = r(am_1 + bn_1)s_1 = mas_1 + nbs_1,$$

and $ns_1 \in nS = \{0\}$, so we have $s = mas_1$.

Passing to the quotient $[S \cup nG] / nG$ with the notation

$$z^* = z + nG,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= s^* = (mas_1)^* \\ &= m(as_1)^* \end{aligned}$$

with $(as_1)^* = as_1^* \in [S \cup nG] / nG$, as to be proved.

Definition. A group G is of bounded order if there exists a (positive) integer n such that $nx = 0$ for all x in G .

Lemma I. Let G be a p -primary group satisfying $p^r G = \{0\}$ for some integer r . Let x be an element of order p^r in G . Then the cyclic subgroup K generated by x is pure.

Proof : As remarked earlier, in a p -primary group, every element is divisible by any integer which is prime to p ; thus to check the purity of K , we only have to deal with powers of p .

First we deal with elements in K which are of the form $P^i x$. Suppose $P^i x = P^j y$ for $i < r$ and y in G . If $j > i$, then

$$0 = p^r y = p^{r-j} (p^i x)$$

so that the order of x is $p^{r-j+i} < p^r$, contradicting the assumption that the order of x is p^r . Hence $j \leq i$ and, therefore,

$$p^i x = p^j (p^{i-j} x)$$

with $p^{i-j} x \in K$. Hence $p^i x$ is divisible by p^j in K , whenever $p^i x$ is divisible by p^j in G . Note that the important fact used is that the order of x is p^r .

Now for the general case, let nx be an arbitrary non-zero element of K . Then we can write $n = mp^i$ for $i < r$ and m relatively prime to p . Suppose

$$nx = mp^i x = p^j y$$

for $y \in G$ and some non-negative integer j . Since m is relatively prime to p , the orders of mx and x are the same.

By the above case, we can find an $\alpha(mx)$ in the cyclic subgroup $[mx]$ such that $p^i(mx) = p^j \alpha(mx)$ and nx is divisible by p^j in K .

Hence K is pure in G .

Lemma J. Let G be a group, S a subgroup of G , and x an element of G . Suppose that x and $y = x + S$ have the same order. Let K be the cyclic subgroup generated by x . Then $[S \cup K]$ is a direct sum.

Proof : We have to show that $S \cap K = \{0\}$. Suppose the contrary that there is a $rx \in K$ which is also in S . Since $rx \in S$, $ry = 0$. Thus r is a multiple of the order of y , so is also a multiple of the order of x ; whence $rx = 0$.

Lemma K. A group G of bounded order is a direct sum of cyclic groups.

Proof : We may assume that G is p -primary by Theorem 2.9.

A subset L of G will be called pure-independent if the subgroup $[L]$ generated by L is pure in G and if

$$[L] = \sum_{x \in L} [x],$$

The direct sum of cyclic subgroups $[x]$ as x runs over L .

Let \mathcal{B} be the set of all pure-independent subsets of G . Partially ordered \mathcal{B} by inclusion. If $\{I_i\}$ is a chain in \mathcal{B} , then it can easily be shown that $U = \bigcup I_i$ is an upper bound for $\{I_i\}$ in \mathcal{B} . It then follows from Zorn's Lemma that \mathcal{B} contains a maximal element M . Suppose that

$[M] \neq G$ and let $S = [M]$. then G/S is again a p -primary group of bounded order. Let $x \in G$ be chosen so that $x^* = x + S$ is of maximal order in G/S . By Lemma I, $[x^*]$ is pure in G/S . Since S is pure in G it follows from Lemma E that we may and shall assume that x and x^* have the same order. Since x and $x^* = x + S$ have the same order, Lemma J says that $[S \cup \{x\}]$ is a direct sum. Moreover since S is pure and $[S \cup \{x\}] / S = [x^*]$ is pure in G/S , it follows from Lemma G that $[S \cup \{x\}]$ is pure in G . Since $[M \cup \{x\}] = [S \cup \{x\}]$, we have that $M \cup \{x\}$ is a pure-independent subset of G and $M \cup \{x\}$ properly contains M . The latter contradicts the maximality of M and, therefore, we must have that $[M] = G$.

The lemma is now completely proved.

Lemma L. Let S and T be subgroups of G with $S \cap T = \{0\}$ and suppose that $[S \cup T] / T$ is a direct summand of G/T . Then S is a direct summand of G .

Proof : Let R/T be such that $G/T = R/T \oplus [S \cup T] / T$. We have $[R \cup [S \cup T]] = G$, $R \cap [S \cup T] = T$. We want to show that $G = S \oplus R$. Since $R \supset T$, we have $[S \cup R] = [S \cup T \cup R] = G$. Moreover, $R \cap S \subset R \cap [S \cup T] = T$, and hence $R \cap S \subset T \cap S = \{0\}$ by the assumption. Hence $G = S \oplus R$

and the lemma is proved.

Lemma M. Let G be a group and S a pure subgroup of bounded order. Then S is a direct summand of G .

Proof : Suppose $nS = \{0\}$. Then by Lemma H, $[S \cup nG] / nG$ is pure in G/nG . Also, G/nG and all its homomorphic images are groups of bounded order. Hence it follows from Lemma K that the group

$$H = (G/nG) / ([S \cup nG] / nG)$$

is a direct sum of cyclic groups. By Lemma F, $[S \cup nG] / nG$ is a direct summand of G/nG . We next note that $S \cap nG = \{0\}$. For if $x \in S \cap nG$, $x = ng$ for some integer n and for some g in G ; by the purity of S , we have $x = ns_1$ for some $s_1 \in S$; but $nS = 0$ so that $x = 0$. Apply Lemma L with nG instead of T , we deduce that S is a direct summand of G .

Definition. Let G be a p -primary group, and $x \in G$. We say that x has height n if x is divisible by p^n but not by p^{n+1} , and that x has infinite height if x is divisible by p^m for every non-negative integer m . We will use the symbol $h_G(x)$ to denote the height of x .

If S is a subgroup of the p -primary group G and $x \in S$, then it is clear that $h_S(x) \leq h_G(x)$. However, if either the context of the height of x is clear or else all the heights, of

x concerned are equal, we will simply use $h(x)$.

Note that $h(0) = +\infty$, therefore, when we say that a p -primary group G has no elements of infinite height we mean all non-zero elements of G has finite height.

Remarks. Let G be a p -primary group.

a) If $x, y \in G$ and if $h(x) \neq h(y)$, then

$$h(x + y) = \min \{ h(x), h(y) \}.$$

If $h(x) = h(y)$, then

$$h(x + y) \geq h(x).$$

b) G is divisible if and only if $h(x) = +\infty$ for all $x \in G$.

c) It follows from previous remarks that a subgroup S of G is pure in G if and only if $h_S(x) = h_G(x)$ for all $x \in S$.

Lemma N. Let G be a p -primary group and S a subgroup of G with $h_S(x) < +\infty$ for all $x \in S$. Suppose that $h_S(x) = h_G(x)$ for all $x \in S$ whose order is p . Then S is pure in G .

Proof : By Remark (c), we only need to prove that

$$h_S(x) = h_G(x)$$

for all $x \in S$. The proof is by induction on n . Assume that the above statement is true for all elements of S whose order are less than or equal to p^n . Let x be in S whose order is p^{n+1} . Then $px \in S$ has order p^n so that $h_S(px) = h_G(px) = r$,

say; thus

$$px = p^r y$$

for some $y \in S$. If either $h_S(p^{r-1}y)$ or $h_G(p^{r-1}y)$ is larger than $r - 1$, then $h(px) > r$ so that both $h_S(p^{r-1}y)$ and $h_G(p^{r-1}y)$ are not larger than $r - 1$. Hence

$$r - 1 \leq h_S(p^{r-1}y) \leq h_G(p^{r-1}y) \leq r - 1$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} h(p^{r-1}y) &= h_S(p^{r-1}y) = h_G(p^{r-1}y). \\ &= r - 1. \end{aligned}$$



Consider $h_S(x)$ and $h_G(x)$. If either $h_S(x)$ or $h_G(x)$ is larger than $r - 1$, then $h(px) > r$. It follows that both $h_S(x)$ and $h_G(x)$ are not larger than $r - 1$. On the other hand, we can write

$$(*) \quad x = (x - p^{r-1}y) + p^{r-1}y.$$

Since the element $x - p^{r-1}y$ is in S of order p , we have

$$\begin{aligned} k = h(x - p^{r-1}y) &= h_S(x - p^{r-1}y) \\ &= h_G(x - p^{r-1}y) \\ &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $k \neq r - 1$, then both $h_S(x)$ and $h_G(x)$ equal to $\min(k, r-1)$, which follows from equation (*) and Remark (a). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= h_S(x) = h_G(x) \\ &= \min(k, r - 1), \end{aligned}$$

if $k \neq r - 1$. On the other hand, if $k = r - 1$, then it follows from Equation (*) and Remark (a) that

$$\begin{aligned} k = r - 1 &\leq h_S(x), h_G(x). \\ &\leq r - 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality had been observed earlier. Hence in any case, $h_S(x) = h_G(x)$.

The proof is now completed by induction.

Lemma 0 . Let G be a p -primary group and suppose that all elements of G of order p have infinite height. Then G is divisible.

Proof : It follows from Remark (b) that we only need to show that $h_G(x) = +\infty$ for all $x \in G$. The proof is by induction on n . Assume that the above statement is true for all elements of G of order less than or equal to p^n . Let $x \in G$ be of order p^{n+1} and assume that $h_G(x) = m < +\infty$. Then px has order p^n and, therefore, $h(px) = +\infty$ by the inductive assumption. Hence we can find a $y \in G$ such that

$$px = py.$$

where $h_G(y) > m$, then $h_G(x - y) = \min \{ h_G(x), h_G(y) \} = m$.

On the other hand, we have $p(x - y) = 0$ so that $h_G(x - y) = +\infty$ by assumption. Thus the assumption that $h_G(x) < +\infty$ led to two contradictory statements so that we must have $h_G(x) = +\infty$.

The proof is completed by induction.

Lemma P. If G is a p -primary reduced group, then G contains a finite cyclic direct summand.

Proof : Since G is not divisible, it follows from Lemma O that there is an $x \in G$ of order p whose height, say m , is finite. Then

$$x = p^m y$$

for some y in G . Let $H = [y]$. Since $px = 0$, H is a finite cyclic subgroup of G . We will show that H is a direct summand. Since an element of H is of order p if and only if it is of the form kx , where k is relatively prime to p , and $kx = p^m(ky)$ with $ky \in H$, it is immediate that the elements of H of order p have the same height in H and in G . Moreover, since $h(x) < +\infty$, no element of H can have infinite height. Hence, it follows from Lemma N that H is pure in G . Finally, it follows from Lemma M that H is a direct summand of G , as to be proved.

Proof of Theorem 2.15

It remains to prove that if G is an indecomposable p -primary group, then G is p -cocyclic.

Suppose G is an indecomposable p -primary group. We

consider two cases.

If G is reduced, then G is a finite cyclic p -primary group by Lemma P, i.e., G is a cyclic group of order a power of p .

If G is not reduced, then G is divisible since G is indecomposable. Hence G is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(p^\infty)$ by Lemma D.

Hence, in any case, G is p -cocyclic and the theorem is proved.