EFFECT OF MERCURY ON CORROSION IN PRODUCTION WELLS IN THE GULF OF THAILAND FIELDS

Ms. Thunyaluk Pojtanabuntoeng

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,
Case Western Reserve University and Institut Français du Pétrole
2007

Thesis Title:

Effect of mercury on corrosion in production wells in the Gulf

of Thailand fields.

By:

Ms. Thunyaluk Pojtanabuntoeng

Program:

Petroleum Technology

Thesis Advisors:

Assoc. Prof. Chintana Saiwan

Dr. Sutha Sutthiruangwong

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

Nantage Yamunet College Director

(Assoc. Prof. Nantaya Yanumet)

Thesis Committee:

Contain comme

(Assoc. Prof. Chintana Saiwan)

///

(Dr. Darrell L. Gallup)

(Dr. Sutha Sutthiruangwong)

K. Bunyahint.

(Assoc. Prof. Kunchana Bunyakiat)

ABSTRACT

4873019063: Petroleum Technology Program

Student's Name: Thunyaluk Pojtanabuntoeng: Effect of mercury on

corrosion in production wells in the Gulf of Thailand fields.

Thesis Advisors: Assoc. Prof. Chintana Saiwan, Dr. Darrell L.

Gallup, and Dr. Sutha Sutthiruangwong 81 pp.

Keywords: Mercury(II) corrosion, Natural gas production, Production tubing

Studies of the corrosion of materials used for tubing in oil and gas production wells are important for cost minimization. In this work, 13%chromium stainless steel and L-80 carbon steel were selected for study by immersion testing and potentiodynamic polarization techniques. Simulated produced water with the composition obtained from the Gulf of Thailand field was used as a corrosive solution in order to investigate the effect of mercury at various conditions of temperature, chloride concentration, CO₂ partial pressure, and pH of the solution. Temperature was found to increase the corrosion reaction kinetically. Furthermore, chloride ions (0.1%NaCl-3.5%NaCl) and carbon dioxide were found to enhance the corrosion reaction by destroying the protective film, especially on 13Cr surface. Increasing the acidity of the solution causes the solution to be more aggressive due to the abundance of hydrogen ions to be consumed and generated H₂ in the cathodic reaction, while the steel material becomes more metal ions in the solution. In addition, mercuric chloride, as the representative of the mercury species in the production wells, with a concentration between 0-12 ppm does not cause any effect to both materials. Moreover, there is no synergistic effect between trace amount of mercury and temperature (30°C-60°C) or carbon dioxide. However, with a mercury concentration higher than 100 ppm, the corrosion rate was increased due to the increasing in reduction process.

บทกัดย่อ

ชื่อ นามสกุล : การศึกษาผลกระทบของปรอทต่อการกัดกร่อนของหลุมผลิตก๊าซ ธรรมชาติในอ่าวไทย Effect of mercury on corrosion in production wells in a Gulf of Thailand fields อ. ที่ปรึกษา : รศ.คร. จินตนา สายวรรณ์ คร. คาร์เรล แอล แกลลัป และ คร.สุธา สุทธิเรื่อง วงศ์ 81 หน้า

การศึกษาการกัดกร่อนต่อวัสดุที่ใช้เป็นท่อในการผลิตก๊าซธรรมชาตินับว่ามีความสำคัญ ต่อการปรับลดก่าใช้จ่าย โดยในงานวิจัยนี้เลือกใช้เหล็กกล้าคาร์บอนชนิด L80 และ สเตนเลสสตีล ชนิด 420 ซึ่งมีปริมาณธาตุโครเมียมเจืออยู่ 13%(โดยน้ำหนัก) เพื่อศึกษาโดยวิธีอิมเมอร์ชั่น และ โพ เทนทิโอไดนามิกข์ โพลาไรเซชั่น สารละลายกัดกร่อนที่นำมาใช้เป็นสารละลายจำลองของน้ำที่ ผลิตได้จากหลุมโดยใช้องค์ประกอบตามข้อมูลจากหลุมผลิตจริงจากอ่าวไทย นอกเหนือจากปรอท แล้วทำการศึกษาผลกระทบของปรอทที่มีต่อสภาวะต่างๆ ได้แก่ อุณหภูมิ ปริมาณความเข้มข้นคลอ ไรด์ ก๊าซคาร์บอนได้ออกไซด์ และค่าพีเอช

พบว่าอุณหภูมิมีส่วนช่วยเร่งปฏิกิริยาในทางจลน์พลศาสตร์ ในขณะที่คลอไรค์อิออน (1% - 3.5% โซเดียมคลอไรค์) และก๊าซการ์บอนไดออกไซค์เร่งการกัดกร่อนโดยการทำลายฟิล์มที่ ผิวหน้าของวัสดุ โดยเฉพาะกับเหล็กกล้า 13% โดรเมียม การลดค่าพีเอชทำให้ปริมาณโปรตอนใน สารละลายเพิ่มมากขึ้น ซึ่งเป็นการเสริมความรุนแรงของปฏิกิริยาการรับโปรตอนเกิดเป็นก๊าซ ไฮโดรเจนค้านกาโทด ในขณะที่วัสคุจะละลายออกมาในรูปของอิออนโลหะมากขึ้น ปรอทที่ความ เข้มข้นน้อยกว่า 12 ส่วนในล้านส่วน ไม่ส่งผลต่อการกัดกร่อนของวัสดุ และอุณหภูมิ (30 °C - 60°C) หรือความคันก๊าซการ์บอนไดออกไซค์ไม่มีผลกระทบร่วมกับการกัดกร่อนของปรอท แต่ หากความเข้มข้นเพิ่มมากขึ้นถึง 100 ส่วนในล้านส่วนแล้ว อัตราการกัดกร่อนจะเพิ่มขึ้นอย่างเห็น ได้ชัด เนื่องจากปรอทมีค่าความต่างศักย์ขั้วไฟฟ้าสูงกว่าไฮโดรเจนอิออน ซึ่งนับว่าเป็นการเพิ่ม ปฏิกิริยาค้านกาโทดอีกหนึ่งปฏิกิริยา

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful for the scholarship and funding of the thesis work provided by Petroleum and Petrochemical Technology; and the National Excellence Center for Petroleum, Petrochemical, and Advance Materials, Thailand.

Without the help and the support from various organizations and people, I could not complete my study. Thus, I would like to express my appreciation and grateful thank to the following:

I would like to extend the sincerest gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Chintana Saiwan, Dr. Sutha Sutthiruangwong, and Dr. Darrell L. Gallup for providing invaluable recommendation, knowledge, intensive attention and support throughout this research. Furthermore, I also would like to thank Assoc. Prof. Kunchana Bunyakiat for being the thesis committee.

I would like to express my special thanks to Chevron Thailand Exploration & Production (CTEP) for funding support.

My special appreciates are also extended to all staff member and my friends at The Petroleum and Petrochemical College for their friendly assistance, creative suggestions, and encouragement, and for making my time here most enjoyable.

Finally, I would like to express the sincerest gratitude to my parents and family for their endless love, understanding and infinite encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGI
	Title	Page	i
	Abst	ract (in English)	iii
	Abst	ract (in Thai)	iv
	Ackr	nowledgements	v
	Table	e of Contents	vi
	List	of Tables	viii
	List	of Figures	ix
CH	APTE	R	
	I	INTRODUCTION	1
	П	LITERATURE REVIEW	3
		2.1 The Basic Theory of Corrosion	3
		2.2 Corrosion in Oil and Gas Industry	4
		2.2.1 Corrosion in Oil and Gas Equipment	4
		2.2.2 Carbon Dioxide Corrosion	6
		2.2.3 Corrosion by Hydrogen Sulfide	11
		2.2.4 Corrosion by Oxygen	12
		2.2.5 Mercury Corrosion	12
		2.2.6 Materials in Oil and Gas Industry	14
		2.3 Application of Thermodynamic to Corrosion	18
		2.3.1 Nernst Equation	18
		2.3.2 Pourbaix Diagram or Electrode Potential-pH Diagram	20
		2.4 Electrochemical Kinetics of Corrosion	23
		2.4.1 Potentiodynamic Polarization Technique	26
		2.4.2 Three-Electrode Cells and E/log I plot	27
		2.4.3 Faraday's Law and Corrosion Rate Calculation	29

CHAPTER		PAGE	
ш	EXPERIME	NTAL	31
	3.1 Materials	and Chemicals	31
	3.2 Equipmen	nt and Procedures	32
	3.2.1 Pot	tentiodynamic Polarization Technique	32
	3.2.2 Im	mersion Technique	34
	3.3 Corrosive	e Solution Preparation	35
IV	RESULTS A	ND DISCUSSION	37
	4.1 Carbon D	Dioxide Solubility	37
	4.2 The Influ	ence of Temperature	38
	4.3 The Influ	ence of Chloride Concentration	42
	4.4 The Influ	ence of Carbon Dioxide Partial Pressure	45
	4.5 The Influ	ence of pH of Solution	49
	4.6 The Influ	ence of Mercury	52
	4.6.1 Me	ercury(II) and Temperature	52
	4.6.2 Ch	ronoamperometry of 13Cr	59
	4:6.3 Me	ercury(II) and CO ₂	64
v	CONCLUSIO	ONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	67
	5.1 Conclusio	ons	67
	5.2 Recomme	endation	68
	REFERENC	ES	69
	APPENDICE	ES	71
	Appendix A	Corrosive Solution Composition and Preparation	71
	Appendix B	Corrosion Rate Calculation Step	74
		for Immersion Testing	

CHAPTER			PAGE
	Appendix C	Corrosion Rate Calculation Step	77
		for Potentiodynamic Polarization Testing	
	CURRICUL	IIM VITAE	81

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
2.1	The standard electrode potential of hydrogen ions and mercury ions	13
2.2	Composition ranges for different stainless steel categories	15
3.1	The composition of material L80 (carbon steel) and 13%Cr	31
	(stainless steel)	
3.2	The analysis of produced water (Chevron Thailand	35
	Exploration and Production Ltd., 2006)	
3.3	Lists of parameters for corrosion behavior study	36
A.1	The amount of chemicals for simulating produced water	71
A.2	The composition of simulated produced water	72
A.3	The amount of chemicals for simulating produced water used	72
	in section 4.3	
A.4	The concentration of Na+ and Cl of simulated produced	72
	water used in section 4.3	
A.5	The amount of NaCl and HgCl2 for conducting the	73
	experiment in section 4.6	
B.1	Data from immersion testing of 13Cr in various conditions	75
B.2	Data from immersion testing of L80 in various conditions	76
C.1	Corrosion potential (V), corrosion current density (mA/cm ²),	79
	and corrosion rate (g/cm ² .yr) of L80 in various conditions	
C.2	Corrosion potential (V), corrosion current density (mA/cm²),	80
	and corrosion rate (g/cm ² .yr) of 13Cr in various conditions	

FIGUI	FIGURE	
2.1	Typical production tubing and casing	5
2.2	SEM images of corroded surface of J55 samples immersed	9
	in static simulated produced water saturated with	
	supercritical-CO ₂ for 96 h at a) 60°C, b) 90°C, c) 120°C, and	
	d) 150°C	
2.3	The effect of Chromium content on corrosion rate of	15
	material	
2.4	Arrangement of chromium oxide film on stainless steel	16
	surface	
2.5	Stress-Strain curve for some stainless steels	17
2.6	The schematic of Pourbaix diagram for metal	21
2.7	Pourbaix diagram for iron-water system	22
2.8	a) Activation polarization curve of hydrogen electrode and	24
	b) concentration polarization curve (reduction process	
2.9	Combined polarization: sum of activation (nact) and	25
	concentration (η _{conc}) polarizations	
2.10	Evans-type polarization curves under: a) activation control,	26
	b) diffusion control of cathodic reaction, c) diffusion control	
	of anodic reaction, and d) resistance	
2.11	The hypothetical diagram of polarization curve of stainless	27
	steel	
2.12	Instrumentation set up for potentiodynamic polarization test	28
2.13	Designs of working electrodes (© 1984 EG&G Princeton	29
	Applied Research Inc.)	

FIGU	TIGURE	
3.1	Autolab PGSTAT20 potentiostat	32
3.2	Schematic of experimental procedure for potentiodynamic polarization technique	34
4.1	The variation of pH with time of simulated produced water at 30°C	37
4.2	Opticalmicrophotograph of the surface before exposure in the corrosive solution of a) 13Cr at 40X, b) 13Cr at 200X, c) L80 at 40X, and L80 at 200X	38
4.3	Optical microphotograph at 40X of surfaces after exposure of the coupons in simulated produced water at the CO ₂ presence of 1 atmosphere of a) L80 30°C, b) L80 at 60°C, c) 13Cr at 30°C, and d) 13Cr at 60°C	39
4.4	The polarization curve of L80 in simulated produced water saturated with CO ₂ at 30°C - 60°C	40
4.5	The polarization curve of 13Cr in simulated produced water saturated with CO ₂ at 30°C - 60°C	41
4.6	Corrosion rates of 13Cr and L80 in simulated produced water saturated with CO ₂ at various temperatures obtained from immersion and potentiodynamic polarization techniques	41
4.7	Optical microphotograph at 100X of surfaces after exposed in NaCl solution of a) 13Cr in 1%NaCl, b) 13%Cr in 3.5%NaCl, c) L80 in 1%NaCl, and d) L80 in 3.5%NaCl	42
4.8	The polarization curve of 13Cr in simulated produced water saturated with CO ₂ at 60°C in 0.1%NaCl - 3.5%NaCl	43

FIGURE	
The polarization curves of L80 in simulated produced water	44
saturated with CO2 at 60°C in 0.1%NaCl - 3.5%NaCl	
Corrosion rate of 13Cr and L80 in simulated produced water	44
saturated with CO ₂ at various chloride concentrations at	
	45
produced water in the absence of CO ₂ and at 60°C a) before	
cleaning with HNO ₃ and b) after cleaning with HNO ₃	
Optical microphotograph of L80 at 100X in simulated	46
produced water in the absence of CO ₂ and at 60°C a) before	
and b) after cleaning with the solution of hexamethylene	
tetramine in 50% of HCl	
The polarization curve of L80 in simulated produced water	47
at 60° C and $P_{CO2} = 0 - 1.0$ atmosphere	
The polarization curve of stainless steel (13Cr) in simulated	. 47
produced water at 60°C and P _{CO2} = 0 - 1.0 atmosphere	
Corrosion rates of 13Cr and L80 in simulated produced	48
water at 60°C with a variation of carbon dioxide partial	
pressure	
Optical microphotographs at 100X of the surface of 13Cr in	49
simulated produced water at pH 5.12 a) before and b) after	
cleaning with 10%HNO ₃	
Optical microphotographs at 100X of the surface of L80 in	49
simulated produced water at pH 5.12 a) before and b) after	
HCl solution	
	The polarization curves of L80 in simulated produced water saturated with CO ₂ at 60°C in 0.1%NaCl - 3.5%NaCl Corrosion rate of 13Cr and L80 in simulated produced water saturated with CO ₂ at various chloride concentrations at 60°C Optical microphotograph of 13Cr at 100X in simulated produced water in the absence of CO ₂ and at 60°C a) before cleaning with HNO ₃ and b) after cleaning with HNO ₃ Optical microphotograph of L80 at 100X in simulated produced water in the absence of CO ₂ and at 60°C a) before and b) after cleaning with the solution of hexamethylene tetramine in 50% of HCl The polarization curve of L80 in simulated produced water at 60°C and $P_{CO2} = 0$ - 1.0 atmosphere The polarization curve of stainless steel (13Cr) in simulated produced water at 60°C and $P_{CO2} = 0$ - 1.0 atmosphere Corrosion rates of 13Cr and L80 in simulated produced water at 60°C with a variation of carbon dioxide partial pressure Optical microphotographs at 100X of the surface of 13Cr in simulated produced water at pH 5.12 a) before and b) after cleaning with 10%HNO ₃ Optical microphotographs at 100X of the surface of L80 in simulated produced water at pH 5.12 a) before and b) after cleaning with 3.5 grams of hexamethylene tetramine in 50%

FIGURE		PAGI
4.18	The polarization curve of L80 in various pH of simulated	50
	produced water saturated with CO2 at 60°C	
4.19	The polarization curve of 13Cr in various pH of simulated	51
	produced water saturated with CO ₂ at 60°C	
4.20	Corrosion rate of, a) 13Cr, and b) L80 in corrosive solution	51
	at various pH obtained from the potentiodynamic	
	polarization technique	
4.21	Corrosion rate in 60°C of corrosive solution at various pH	52
	obtained from the immersion test	
4.22	The polarization curve of 13Cr in 0-12 ppm of mercury(II)	53
	at 30°C	
4.23	The polarization curve of 13Cr in 0-12 of mercury(II) at	54
	60°C	
4.24	Corrosion rate comparison of 13Cr in 0-12 ppm Hg(II)	54
	solution saturated with carbon dioxide at 30°C and 60°C by	
	using potentio dynamic polarization technique	
4.25	The polarization curve of L80 in various concentration of	55
	mercury(II) at 30°C	
4.26	The polarization curve of L80 in various concentration of	55
	mercury(II) at 60°C	
4.27	Corrosion rate comparison of L80 in 0-12 ppm Hg(II)	56
	solution saturated with carbon dioxide at 30°C and 60°C by	
	using potentiodynamic polarization technique	
4.28	Corrosion rate of 13Cr and L80 in simulated produced water	57
	saturated with carbon dioxide at various mercury(II)	
	concentrations at 60°C	

FIGURE		PAGE
4.29	The polarization L80 in simulated produced water saturated with carbon dioxide at 60C in the presence of a) 0 ppm Hg(II) b) 100 ppm Hg(II) and c)1000 ppm Hg(II)	58
4.30	Corrosion rate of L80 in the various concentration of mercury(II), (0-1000 ppm), in simulated produced water saturated with carbon dioxide at 60°C	58
4.31	The polarization curve of 13Cr in simulated produced water saturated with carbon dioxide at 60C in the presence of a) 0 ppm Hg(II) b) 100 ppm Hg(II) and c)1000 ppm Hg(II)	59
4.32	The Polarization curve of 13Cr in 60°C solution with, a) 0 ppm of mercury(II), b) 6 ppm of mercury(II), and c) 100 of mercury(II)	60
4.33	Scanning electron microphotograph of 13Cr in a) 0 ppm of mercury(II), b) 6 ppm of mercury(II), and c) 100 ppm of mercury(II) after chronoamperometry measurement at -0.4 V for 1 hour	61
4.34	EDX diagrams of 13Cr in 100 ppm of mercury(II) at 60°C observed at, a) plain surface and b) the particles presenting on the surface	63
4.35	The polarization curve of L80 in 3 ppm mercury(II) under P _{CO2} equal 0,0.5 and 1 at 60°C	64
4.36	The polarization curve of 13Cr in 3 ppm mercury(II) under P _{CO2} equal 0,0.5 and 1 at 60°C	65
4.37	Corrosion rate comparison of 13Cr in 0 ppm and 3 ppm of mercury(II) saturated with $P_{CO2} = 0$, 0.5 and 1.0 atmosphere	65

FIGURE		PAGE
4.38	Corrosion rate comparison of L80 in 0 ppm and 3 ppm of	66
	mercury(II) saturated with $P_{CO2} = 0$, 0.5 and 1	
C.1	Close up of polarization curve of 13Cr in simulated	77
	produced water at 60°C	