## CHAPTER IV

## DISCUSSION

The findings of this research show that the male and female subjects want to choose their marriage partner by themselves and that they feel that they must love somebody in order for them to get married. These results show that the students feel that love is one of the most important aspects in the selection of their marriage partner. But love for another person is not an easy thing to have. In order for two people to realize be in love it seems that number of things must be present. Prescott describes the characteristics of love in the following way.

Valid love seems to indlude the following components:

- 1. Love involves more or less emphathy with the loved one. A person who loves actually enters into the feeling of and shares intimately the experiences of the loved one and the effects of these experiences upon the loved one.
- 2. One who loves is deeply concerned for the welfare, happiness, and development of the beloved. This concern is so deep as to become one of the major organizing values in the personality or self-structure of the loving person...
- 3. One who loves finde pleasure in making his resources available to the loved one, to be used by the other to enhance his welfare, happiness, and development.

  4. Of course the loving person seeks a maximum of
- 4. Of course the leving person seeks a maximum of participation in the activities that contribute to the welfare, happiness, and development of the beloved. But he also accepts fully the uniqueness and individuality of the beloved and, to the degree implied by the neloved's maturity level, accords to the later full freedom to experience, to act, and to become what he desires to become. A loving person has a non-possesive respect for the selfhood of theloved one. ( 13)

From these components we can see four important factors emerging as important qualities of love.

- l. The knowledge of the opposite can. If individuals to not understand the opesite can it will we bery difficult for them to love a remer of the opposite can. Sou can they understand each other is the question that may be raised here. Enouledge about the apposite can be optoined in a number of different mayo:
- (a) They can get knowled a of the apposite confront the Lembers of the same con group who have had contact with the opposite son.
- (b) They can obtain this knowledge by extended contact with newbers of the opposite sex.
- 2. They must have the obility to trust dembers of the opposite con; one of the most important characteristics of the love relationship is natural trust of the two partners.
- J. Many must have the suility to understand the cues of members of the opposite sex. They have to know when one is accepted or not; they must be able to forgive and know how to please the parson when they love.
- 4. Respect is smother important characteristic that appears in important's concept of love.

Howev, for the school interaction between boys and girls, so is seen in the results and which has been discussed in the lirst chapter, we can say that Thei boys and with asymmetry both have sufficient interaction with numbers of the apposite and in order to have the knowledge of the apposite next such

is needed for them to love the person they will marry.

schools when they are studying in secondary school. This may indicate that they don't have any opportunities to contact each other while they are in secondary schools. Although they have the opportunities to study together in the same school at the pre-university and university level, they still continue to separate into same sex groups. The result that the female subjects soid that girls cannot be as friendly with boys as they can with their girl friends essent to support this statement.

Another very important reason for the separation of boys and Girls into come oex groups is family pressure. Both sale and female subjects are afraid of being blamed by their parents when they make friends with Lembers of opposite sax. They also said that if they haveaboyfriend or Girlfriend they ought to tell their parents, thou h they say they are not breve enough to tell their parents when they really have a boyfriend or Girlfriend.

During adolescence, boys and girls are also separated into name sex groups as a result of pressure by their friends. Both unle and female subjects feel that the girls who have close opposite sex friends will be locked at differently them others by their birl friend. They also feel that having a conversation with members of the same sex is more enjoyable than with members of the opposite sex.

When boys and girls soperate themselves into same sex group, they actually will have little opportunity to gain knowledge about members of the opposite sex. The boys and girls in the university almost cleave stoy in groups of the seme sex; they usually cit together in small Groups of boys and girls; the girlo usually sit in the front seats of the class and the boys in the back seats. Then they are out of class the boys and girls usually asporate themselves into anall groups of boys and small groups of cirlo. They may discuss dosply about various matters in these intimate or oups, they may tasse each other, make jokos, and share their probless. They may wait for the members of their intimate group to go to have lunch, make decisions together when they want to do something such as student activities, fieldtrips, make an appointment and go to see a film together etc. They always share their ideas with oach other. The members of some intimate groups will be very close to each other for a long time; they may be in the same group all the time they are atuaying at the university level. The members of one group may have contact with other name nex groups but only commatines will they have contact with groups of the opposite sex. Some girls way know only the name of the boys in the same class but moy never talk to them. when they are in the same class, of course, they are physically in the came group, but the stresphere of the close makes it seem that they are not close together.

This is not to say that there is no centect with members of the opposite sex. However, such contact is often done in

the presence of same nex group members. Only occasionally the is there an opportunity for a boy and girl to meet and talk to each other slope without others geneiping about their love for such other and the possibility of their getting married. This feer of goosip merely reinforces the lock of close interpercent contact with sembers of the apposite nex.

As a result of this close came son group contact and the fear of goscip, the pressures towards conformity are very great; the university student would find it difficult to be accepted if he was not a member of a small group. It is in this atmosphere of a desire for acceptance and the great pressure to conform that university attaches form and reinforce their attitudes; the attitude towards members of the opposite sex as well as other ettitudes. In short, when individuals are placed in a new situation and desire acceptance by a group, they begin to accept the attitudes and values of that group.

Students enter the university, on the whole, with cartain attitudes towards services of the opposite sex. As the university is a place where ways and girls can interact with each other, one would expect a great doal of knowledge of the attitudes of sembors of the opposite cex. Monover, we saw that this is not the case; 31 of the questions saked show significant differences between the mole and female subjects attitudes towards the behavior of boys and girls. Shat seems to be occurring is that there are strong prossures placed on the students by their own papers to conform to the accepted societal norms for girls and boys. As a result, the students

do not learn a \_rest deal of new information about the behavior of the opposite sex but rather, in order to be accepted, naintain the attitudes and perceptions expected by the group. In other words, the domine to be accepted, which plays such a vital part in one's interaction with others, leads the students to accept the attitudes and perceptions of their pear group and not to approach members of the opposite sex with an open mind. The boys and girls are "set" to perceive newbors of the opposite sex in a certain way and, he a result, the information which is gethered from their interaction with the oppisite sex may not be viewed in the same manner as the individual with whem they are interacting views the information. This could possibly explain the reason for the large number of algorithms differences between male and female subjects.

Schild's study of a group of individuals entering a new culture about which they had a very strong storeotype. They found that individuals, faced with new experiences contradictory to their storetype, became insecure and instead of attempting to change their storetype they tend to move towards individuals who are in a minister condition. These individuals, who started with the same storeotype, provided support for each other; they provided each other with a "social reality" and, as a result, the group of individuals who estered the new culture served...

... As a potent referent group in regard to perceptions and attitudes relevant to the foreign environment. (5, P. 166)

Thus it may happen that the stranger's attitude to the host coclety may be determined as much by his interaction

with other strangers as by his interaction with the hosts (.5. P 176)

The besic interpersonal dynamics discussed by Herman and child are that individuals with the same experience will tand to move together and use each other as a freme of reference in perceiving those who are different than they are. This is especially true in situations which create insecurity.

imilarly boys and girls at the university develop and maintain their attitudes towards mombers of the opposite sex more . y their interaction with their same sex group than by interaction with ember of the opposite sex. This tendency is reinforced by the insecunity and anxiety generoted by interaction between the sexec. This statement can be supported by the results that both male and famale subjects said that talking or baving a convorsation with same sex friends is more enjoyable than it is with opposite sex friends and they also soid that a girl who had a close apposite sox friend will be locked at differently from her friends. Therefore. their perception of the opposite sex is based more on a sterootype or image of the opposite can maintained by their same cox poer group than by the information they have gathered after interaction with the opposite sex. The occuracy of this interpretation is seen in the data reported in chapter 3.

The significant differences of the responses between the mole and female subjects to the 31 questions asked about the boys' and girls' behavior show a dicrepancy of the perceptions between the male and female subjects. The male

subjects' responses in chapter 3 show that the voys said they can not trust the girl, and if boys are not careful they will be taken advantage of by wirls while the female subjects eaid that the boy can trust the girl and they disagree with the idea that if boys are not careful they will be taken advantage of by wirls, and vice versa. Lake subjects said that wirls should be as friendly with boys as they are with their girl-friends, while the female subjects said that wirls should not be as friendly with the boys as they are with their girl-friends. It has so suid that this is because the wale subjects identified the sale with the group of the boys and the female subjects identified the sale with the group of the boys and the female subjects identified themselves with girls' group.

of mintrusting each other which may effect their behavior while they are interecting with each other. Because of this image of mintrust, the behavior of the boys may be viewed in a different way by the girls and vice versa. For example, because the male and female subjects have the image that the opposite nex can trust them, then they will not be coreful while they are interesting with the opposite eax, but the opposite sex memor will be very suspicious of their actions. As a result of this discrepancy in perception, they may not be able to correctly evaluate the behavior of the opposite cax. It may take them a very long time in order to understand each other because one behaves one way but the others perceive his behavior in a very different way. The perception is

reinforced by the some sex group members to which the students look for their values as well as by the fear of goseth by their friends which will cause them not to become too close to members of the opposite sex or have deep discussions with them.

The difficulty of interacting with members of the opposite sex is also seen in the result that the female subjects fear that the boys will take advantage of them if they are not careful. This result also lends strong support to the finding that the girls mistrust the boys.

If we combine the subjects' tendency to place great emphasis on seme sex group along with the mictrust of the opposite sex, and the fear of being taken advantage of by thom, it is easily seen why students state that they would prefer having a conversation with seme cex friends than with apposite sex friends.

From the above interpretations so can see that it is quite difficult for students to realize love in any way similar to Prescott's concept. What then do the students mean by love?

Love, in part, is cooceived of as sems sort of magical force. They feel as if they are distincd to meet
their future spouse because of their love in a previous
life. Therefore, when their eyes meet these of the prechosen other, they will immediately "fall-in-love". This
type of love descyneconnitate intensive interaction between
the sexes or knowledge of the opposite sex. While this

concept of love is not consciously held by many students.

It seems to be a good indicator of the may many conceive
of love 1.s. as an outside force which will being them together.

But the students do not seem to be completely occepting of this type of love as a free which will make them happy in their future marrital life. It is possible that the idea of an outside force and their general inability to interact deeply with members of the opposite sex nokes them feel unders about their future. As we say in Table 13, most of the nule and female subjects said that both boys and girls one sayisfied most during the period when they are single; a minority of students said they would be notisfied while married; many of the students said they would be most satisfied before they were single without a girlfriend or boyfriend.

In short, it some that the students are apprehensive of their future marriage. This possibly could also be attributed to the number of factors besides their lock of interaction with members of the opposite sex. For example, one often hears from people who are married that it is better to remain single, when one is single one is secure and doesn't have any responsibilities; also there is the economic security of the parents' home, one is always able to cet and sloop without having to worry about financial netters. Third, there is the general lack of information about matters related

to marriage, the students have disficulty discussing these matters with any knowledgeble edults. These factors plus the lack of knowledge of the opposite sex and the conception of love as a magical force leads the students to feel married life is an unknown period of life about which they know very little in which they feel they have little control.

This apprehensiveness about married life and the opposite sex leads the students not to intoract closely with members of the opposite sex as well as causing the students to roly mainly in their same sex friends for knowledge of the opposite sex. The cycle is thus set in motion.

A number, of the ideas discussed in this chapter are speculative and do not have research data to support them, e.g. the concept of love as a predetermined force, to be single is better than to be married. Some further research is needed to find out whether or not these ideas are occurate.