

ควอซี-ไฮเพอร์ไอเดียลในไฮเพอร์ริงการคูณ



นางสาวจงกล ทำสวน

สถาบันวิทยบริการ

วิทยานิพนธ์นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของการศึกษาตามหลักสูตรปริญญาวิทยาศาสตรมหาบัณฑิต

สาขาวิชาคณิตศาสตร์ ภาควิชาคณิตศาสตร์

คณะวิทยาศาสตร์ จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

ปีการศึกษา 2545

ISBN 974-17-1948-5

ลิขสิทธิ์ของจุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

QUASI-HYPERIDEALS IN MULTIPLICATIVE HYPERRINGS



Miss Jongkol Tumsoun

สถาบันวิทยบริการ
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science in Mathematics

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Chulalongkorn University

Academic Year 2002

ISBN 974-17-1948-5

Thesis Title Quasi-hyperideals in multiplicative hyperrings
By Miss Jongkol Tumsoun
Field of study Mathematics
Thesis Advisor Assist. Prof. Amorn Wasanawichit, Ph.D.
Thesis Co-advisor Assoc. Prof. Yupaporn Kemprasit, Ph.D.

Accepted by the Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University in Partial
Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master 's Degree

..... Dean of Faculty of Science
(Associate Professor Wanchai Phothiphichitr, Ph.D.)

Thesis Committee

..... Chairman
(Sajee Pianskool, Ph.D.)

..... Thesis Advisor
(Assistant Professor Amorn Wasanawichit, Ph.D.)

..... Thesis Co-advisor
(Associate Professor Yupaporn Kemprasit, Ph.D.)

..... Member
(Phichet Chaoha, Ph.D.)

จกมล ทำสวน : ควอซี-ไฮเพอร์ไอดีลในไฮเพอร์ริงการคูณ (QUASI-HYPERIDEALS IN MULTIPLICATIVE HYPERRINGS) อ. ที่ปรึกษา : ผศ. ดร. อมร วาสนาวิจิตร, อ. ที่ปรึกษาร่วม : รศ. ดร. ยุพาภรณ์ เหมประสิทธิ์ 50 หน้า. ISBN 974-17-1948-5

เราจะกล่าวว่าริงย่อย Q ของริง A เป็น *ควอซี-ไอดีล* ของ A ถ้า $AQ \cap QA \subseteq Q$ โดยที่ $AQ [QA]$ หมายถึง เซตที่ประกอบด้วยสมาชิกที่อยู่ในรูปผลบวกจำกัด $\sum a_i q_i [\sum q_i a_i]$ เมื่อ $a_i \in A$ และ $q_i \in Q$ *ควอซี-ไอดีล* เป็นนัยทั่วไปของ *ไอดีลซ้ายและไอดีลขวา* ได้มีการศึกษา *ควอซี-ไอดีล* ในริงกันมาเป็นเวลานานแล้ว อีกทั้งได้มีทฤษฎีบทที่น่าสนใจซึ่งเกี่ยวข้องกับ *ควอซี-ไอดีล* ในริงเกิดขึ้นจำนวนมากเช่นกัน

การดำเนินการไฮเพอร์ บนเซตไม่ว่าง H คือฟังก์ชัน $\circ : H \times H \rightarrow P^*(H)$ โดยที่ $P(H)$ หมายถึง เซตกำลังของ H และ $P^*(H)$ หมายถึง $P(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ ในกรณีนี้จะเรียก (H, \circ) ว่า *ไฮเพอร์กรุปพอยด์* และสำหรับเซตย่อยไม่ว่าง X และ Y ของ H ให้ $X \circ Y$ แทนส่วนรวมของเซตในรูปแบบ $x \circ y$ ทั้งหมด โดย $x \in X$ และ $y \in Y$ *กึ่งไฮเพอร์กรุป* คือ *ไฮเพอร์กรุปพอยด์* (H, \circ) ซึ่ง $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$ สำหรับ $x, y, z \in H$ ใดๆ

ไฮเพอร์ริงการคูณ หมายถึง ระบบ $(A, +, \circ)$ ซึ่ง

- (i) $(A, +)$ เป็นอาบีเลียนกรุป (กรุปสลับที่)
- (ii) (A, \circ) เป็นกึ่งไฮเพอร์กรุป
- (iii) $x \circ (y + z) \subseteq x \circ y + x \circ z$ และ $(y + z) \circ x \subseteq y \circ x + z \circ x$ สำหรับ $x, y, z \in A$ ใดๆ
- (iv) $(-x) \circ y = x \circ (-y) = -(x \circ y)$ สำหรับ $x, y \in A$ ใดๆ

ถ้าการเป็นสับเซตทั้งสองใน (iii) เป็นการเท่ากัน เราจะกล่าวว่า *ไฮเพอร์ริงการคูณ* $(A, +, \circ)$ *แจกแจงอย่างเข้ม* *ไฮเพอร์ริงย่อย* *ไฮเพอร์ไอดีลซ้าย* [*ขวา*] *ไฮเพอร์ไอดีล* และ *ควอซี-ไฮเพอร์ไอดีล* ของ *ไฮเพอร์ริงการคูณ* นั้นมีบทนิยามในทำนองเดียวกันกับ *ริงย่อย* *ไอดีลซ้าย* [*ขวา*] *ไอดีล* และ *ควอซี-ไอดีล* ของ *ริง* ตามลำดับ เราได้ด้วยว่า *ควอซี-ไฮเพอร์ไอดีล* เป็นนัยทั่วไปของ *ไฮเพอร์ไอดีลซ้ายและไฮเพอร์ไอดีลขวา* โดยเฉพาะอย่างยิ่ง *ควอซี-ไฮเพอร์ไอดีล* ใน *ไฮเพอร์ริงการคูณ* และ *ควอซี-ไฮเพอร์ไอดีล* ใน *ไฮเพอร์ริงการคูณ* ที่แจกแจงอย่างเข้มเป็นนัยทั่วไปของ *ควอซี-ไอดีล* ใน *ริง*

ในการวิจัยนี้ เราให้ทฤษฎีบทเกี่ยวกับ *ควอซี-ไฮเพอร์ไอดีล* ใน *ไฮเพอร์ริงการคูณ* หรือ *ไฮเพอร์ริงการคูณ* ที่แจกแจงอย่างเข้มซึ่งเป็นนัยทั่วไปของทฤษฎีบทเกี่ยวกับ *ควอซี-ไอดีล* ใน *ริง* ที่รู้จักกันดีหลายทฤษฎีบท ดังนั้นความจริงใน *ริง* ที่รู้จักกันดีเหล่านี้จะกลายเป็นกรณีเฉพาะของทฤษฎีบทของเรา

ภาควิชา คณิตศาสตร์

สาขาวิชา คณิตศาสตร์

ปีการศึกษา 2545

ลายมือชื่อนิสิต.....

ลายมือชื่ออาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา

อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษาร่วม.....

4372226823 : MAJOR MATHEMATICS

KEY WORDS : MULTIPLICATIVE HYPERRING / QUASI-HYPERIDEAL

JONGKOL TUMSOUN : QUASI-HYPERIDEALS IN MULTIPLICATIVE HYPERRINGS.

THESIS ADVISOR : ASSIST. PROF. AMORN WASANAWICHIT, Ph.D., THESIS

COADVISOR : ASSOC. PROF. YUPAPORN KEMPRASIT, Ph.D., 50 pp. ISBN 974-17-1948-5

A subring Q of a ring A is called a *quasi-ideal* of A if $AQ \cap QA \subseteq Q$ where AQ [QA] denotes the set of all finite sums of the form $\sum a_i q_i$ [$\sum q_i a_i$] where $a_i \in A$ and $q_i \in Q$. Quasi-ideals are a generalization of left ideals and right ideals. Quasi-ideals in rings have long been studied and a lot of interesting theorems relating to quasi-ideals in rings have been provided.

A *hyperoperation* on a nonempty set H is a function $\circ : H \times H \rightarrow P^*(H)$ where $P(H)$ is the power set of H and $P^*(H) = P(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. In this case, (H, \circ) is called a *hypergroupoid* and for nonempty subsets X and Y of H , let $X \circ Y$ denote the union of all sets $x \circ y$ where x and y run over X and Y , respectively. A *semihypergroup* is a hypergroupoid (H, \circ) such that $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$ for all $x, y, z \in H$.

A *multiplicative hyperring* is a system $(A, +, \circ)$ such that

- (i) $(A, +)$ is an abelian group,
- (ii) (A, \circ) is a semihypergroup,
- (iii) $x \circ (y + z) \subseteq x \circ y + x \circ z$ and $(y + z) \circ x \subseteq y \circ x + z \circ x$ for all $x, y, z \in A$,
- (iv) $(-x) \circ y = x \circ (-y) = -(x \circ y)$ for all $x, y \in A$.

If both containments in (iii) are equalities we say that $(A, +, \circ)$ is *strongly distributive*. Subhyperrings, left [right] hyperideals, hyperideals and quasi-hyperideals of multiplicative hyperrings are similar in definitions to subrings, left [right] ideals, ideals and quasi-ideals of rings, respectively. We also have that quasi-hyperideals generalize left hyperideals and right hyperideals. Especially, quasi-hyperideals in multiplicative hyperrings and quasi-hyperideals in strongly distributive multiplicative hyperrings generalize quasi-ideals in rings.

In this research, many well-known theorems on quasi-ideals in rings are generalized to theorems on quasi-hyperideals in multiplicative hyperrings or strongly distributive multiplicative hyperrings. Then those well-known facts in rings become our special cases.

Department **Mathematics**

Field of study **Mathematics**

Academic year **2002**

Student's signature.....

Advisor's signature.....

Co-advisor's signature

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very grateful to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yupaporn Kemprasit, my co-advisor, who helpfully introduced me to an interesting area of research, algebraic hyperstructure. I am greatly indebted to both my advisor, Assist. Prof. Dr. Amorn Wasanawichit, and my co-advisor for their untiring advice in preparing and writing this thesis. Moreover, I would like to thank Dr. Sajee Pianskool and Dr. Phichet Chaoha, the chairman and a member of the committee of this thesis. Besides, I feel thankful to all of my teachers who have taught me for my knowledge and skills.

In particular, I would like to express my appreciation to my beloved parents for their encouragement throughout my study.



สถาบันวิทยบริการ
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CONTENTS

| | page |
|---|------|
| ABSTRACT IN THAI | iv |
| ABSTRACT IN ENGLISH | v |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | vi |
| CONTENTS | vii |
| CHAPTER | |
| I INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES | 1 |
| II GENERAL PROPERTIES AND EXAMPLES | 14 |
| III MULTIPLICATIVE HYPERRINGS HAVING THE INTERSECTION PROPERTY OF QUASI-HYPERIDEALS | 28 |
| IV MINIMAL QUASI-HYPERIDEALS OF MULTIPLICATIVE HYPERRINGS | 35 |
| REFERENCES | 48 |
| VITA | 50 |

สถาบันวิทยบริการ
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{R} denote respectively the set of natural numbers (positive integers), the set of integers and the set of real numbers.

For a nonempty set X of an abelian group $(A, +)$, let $\mathbb{Z}X$ denote the set of all finite sums of the form $\sum k_i x_i$ where $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x_i \in X$. For $x \in A$, let $\mathbb{Z}x$ denote $\mathbb{Z}\{x\}$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}X$ is the subgroup of $(A, +)$ generated by X .

For nonempty subsets X and Y of a ring $A = (A, +, \cdot)$, let XY denote the set of all finite sums of the form $\sum x_i y_i$ where $x_i \in X$ and $y_i \in Y$. If X consists of a single element x , we write xY for XY . Similarly, if $Y = \{y\}$, we write Xy for XY . For a nonempty subset X of A and $x \in A$, the notations $\mathbb{Z}X$ and $\mathbb{Z}x$ are defined as those in the abelian group $(A, +)$. A *quasi-ideal* of a ring A is a subring Q of A such that $AQ \cap QA \subseteq Q$. Every one-sided ideal of a ring A is clearly a quasi-ideal. The notion of quasi-ideal in rings was first introduced by O. Steinfeld [10] in 1953. Note that if A is commutative, then the quasi-ideals and the ideals of A coincide.

Example 1.1. Let R be a division ring, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M_n(R)$ the ring of all $n \times n$ matrices over R under the usual addition and multiplication of matrices. For $A \in M_n(R)$, let A_{ij} denote the entry of A in the i^{th} row and the j^{th} column. For $k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let $Q_n^{kl}(R)$ be the subset of $M_n(R)$ consisting of all matrices $A \in M_n(R)$ such that

$$A_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq k \quad \text{or} \quad j \neq l$$

Then for $k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $Q_n^{kl}(R)$ is a subring of $M_n(R)$,

$$M_n(R)Q_n^{kl}(R) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} l \\ \downarrow \\ \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & \dots & 0 & x_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & x_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & x_n & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right] \mid x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in R \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$Q_n^{kl}(R)M_n(R) = \left\{ k \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{array} \right] \mid x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in R \right\}$$

which implies that $M_n(R)Q_n^{kl}(R) \cap Q_n^{kl}(R)M_n(R) = Q_n^{kl}(R)$, so $Q_n^{kl}(R)$ is a quasi-ideal of $M_n(R)$. Moreover, if $n > 1$, then for all $k, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $Q_n^{kl}(R)$ is neither a left ideal nor a right ideal of $M_n(R)$.

Example 1.1 shows that quasi-ideals of rings are a generalization of one-sided ideals.

It is well-known in ring theory that if a ring A is not a zero ring, then A is a division ring if and only if A and $\{0\}$ are the only left [right] ideals of A . This is also true if the word “left [right] ideals” is replaced by “quasi-ideals”.

Proposition 1.2. ([11], page 6). *Let A be a ring such that $A^2 \neq \{0\}$. Then A is a division ring if and only if A and $\{0\}$ are the only quasi-ideals of A .*

We also have

Proposition 1.3. ([11], page 10 and 12). *Let A be a ring. Then the intersection of a set of quasi-ideals of A is a quasi-ideal of A .*

For a subset X of a ring A , let $(X)_q$ denote the intersection of all quasi-ideals of A containing X . Then for $X \subseteq A$, $(X)_q$ is the smallest quasi-ideal of A containing X .

Proposition 1.4. (H.J. Wilnert [13]). *For a nonempty subset X of a ring A ,*

$$(X)_q = \mathbb{Z}X + (AX \cap XA).$$

A ring A is said to be a (Von Neumann) *regular ring* if for every $x \in A$, $x = xyx$ for some $y \in A$. These two facts are known.

Proposition 1.5. ([11], page 69). *Let A be a ring. Then A is regular if and only if $QAQ = Q$ for every quasi-ideal Q of A .*

Proposition 1.6. ([11], page 69). *Let A be a ring. Then A is regular if and only if $RL = R \cap L$ for all right ideal R and left ideal L of A .*

It is clearly seen that the intersection of a left ideal and a right ideal of a ring A is a quasi-ideal. However, a quasi-ideal of A may not be obtained in this way. See [11], page 8, [6], [1] and [4] for examples. A quasi-ideal Q of A is said to have *the intersection property* if $Q = L \cap R$ for some left ideal L and right ideal R of A , and we say that A *has the intersection property of quasi-ideals* if every quasi-ideal of A has the intersection property.

It is known that every ring with a one-sided identity and every regular ring

has the intersection property of quasi-ideals. This is a special case of the following proposition.

Proposition 1.7. ([11], page 9). *Let Q be a quasi-ideal of a ring A . If $Q \subseteq QA$ or $Q \subseteq AQ$, then*

$$Q = (Q + AQ) \cap (Q + QA).$$

In this case, Q has the intersection property (since $Q + AQ$ and $Q + QA$ are a left ideal and right ideal of A , respectively.)

H.J. Wilnert [13] and Z. Moucheng and etc. [6] characterized quasi-ideals of rings having the intersection property as follows:

Proposition 1.8. (H.J. Wilnert [13]). *Let Q be a quasi-ideal of a ring A . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) Q has the intersection property.
- (ii) $(Q + AQ) \cap (Q + QA) = Q$.
- (iii) $AQ \cap (Q + QA) \subseteq Q$.
- (iv) $QA \cap (Q + AQ) \subseteq Q$.

Proposition 1.9. (Z. Moucheng and etc. [6]). *Let X be a nonempty subset of a ring A . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $(X)_q$ has the intersection property.
- (ii) $(\mathbb{Z}X + AX) \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + XA) = (X)_q$.
- (iii) $AX \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + XA) \subseteq (X)_q$.
- (iv) $XA \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + AX) \subseteq (X)_q$.

Z. Moucheng and etc. [6] also characterized rings having the intersection property of quasi-ideals.

Proposition 1.10. (Z. Moucheng and etc. [6]). *The following statements for a ring A are equivalent.*

- (i) A has the intersection property of quasi-ideals.
(ii) For any finite nonempty subset X of A , we have

$$AX \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + XA) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + (AX \cap XA) (= (X)_q).$$

- (iii) For any finite subset $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of A and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$, if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i x_i + k_i x_i + x_i a'_i) = 0,$$

for some $a'_i \in A$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \in (X)_q$.

We call a nonzero quasi-ideal Q of a ring A a *minimal quasi-ideal* of A if Q does not properly contain a nonzero quasi-ideal of A . The following fact is clearly true.

Proposition 1.11. *A nonzero quasi-ideal Q of a ring A is a minimal quasi-ideal of A if and only if $(x)_q = Q$ for all $x \in Q \setminus \{0\}$.*

Recall that for an element x of a ring A , the principal left [right] ideal of A generated by x is $\mathbb{Z}x + Ax$ [$\mathbb{Z}x + xA$] which is denoted by $(x)_l$ [$(x)_r$]. P. N. Stewart [12] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for a quasi-ideal of a ring to be minimal in terms of principal left ideals and principal right ideals as follows:

Proposition 1.12. (P. N. Stewart [12]). *A quasi-ideal Q of a ring A is a minimal quasi-ideal of A if and only if for any two nonzero elements x and y of Q ,*

$$(x)_l = (y)_l \quad \text{and} \quad (x)_r = (y)_r.$$

A *minimal left [right] ideal* of a ring A is a nonzero left [right] ideal of A which does not properly contain a nonzero left [right] ideal of A . There is a relationship among minimal quasi-ideals, minimal left ideals and minimal right ideals as follows:

Proposition 1.13. ([11], page 34). *If L and R are a minimal left ideal and a minimal right ideal of a ring A , then either $L \cap R = \{0\}$ or $L \cap R$ is a minimal quasi-ideal of A .*

A sufficient condition for a quasi-ideal of a ring A to be minimal are as follows:

Proposition 1.14. ([11], pages 37). *Let Q be a quasi-ideal of a ring A . If Q is a division subring of A , then Q is a minimal quasi-ideal of A .*

Next, we shall give the definitions of a multiplicative hyperring and their subhyperrings, left [right] hyperideals, hyperideals quasi-hyperideals accordingly as those in rings.

For a set X , let $P(X)$ denote the power set of X and let $P^*(X) = P(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

A *hyperoperation* on a nonempty set H is a mapping of $H \times H$ into $P^*(H)$.

A *hypergroupoid* is a system (H, \circ) consisting of a nonempty set H and a hyperoperation \circ on H .

Let (H, \circ) be a hypergroupoid. For nonempty subsets X and Y of H , let

$$X \circ Y = \bigcup_{\substack{x \in X \\ y \in Y}} (x \circ y)$$

and let $X \circ x = X \circ \{x\}$ and let $x \circ X = \{x\} \circ X$ for all $x \in H$. An element e of H is called an *identity* of (H, \circ) if $x \in (x \circ e) \cap (e \circ x)$ for all $x \in H$. An element e of H is called a *scalar identity* of (H, \circ) if $x \circ e = e \circ x = \{x\}$ for all $x \in H$. Then (H, \circ) has at most one scalar identity.

A *semihypergroup* is a hypergroupoid (H, \circ) such that $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$ for all $x, y, z \in H$. A *subsemihypergroup* of a semihypergroup (H, \circ) is a nonempty subset H_1 of H which forms a semihypergroup under the hyperoperation \circ of H restricted to H_1 . It is clear that the intersection of a set of subsemihypergroups of (H, \circ) is a subsemihypergroup of (H, \circ) if it is nonempty.

The following example of a semihypergroup is known.

Proposition 1.15. ([2], page 11). *Let P be a nonempty subset of a semigroup S .*

Define a hyperoperation \circ on S by

$$x \circ y = xPy \quad \text{for all } x, y \in S.$$

Then (S, \circ) is a semihypergroup.

R. Rota [8] first introduced the notion of multiplicative hyperrings in 1982 as follows:

A *multiplicative hyperring* is a triple $(A, +, \circ)$ such that

- (i) $(A, +)$ is an abelian group,
- (ii) (A, \circ) is a semihypergroup,
- (iii) $x \circ (y + z) \subseteq x \circ y + x \circ z$ and $(y + z) \circ x \subseteq y \circ x + z \circ x$ for all $x, y, z \in A$,
- (iv) $(-x) \circ y = x \circ (-y) = -(x \circ y)$ for all $x, y \in A$.

The operation $+$ and the hyperoperation \circ of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ are called the *addition* and the *multiplication* of $(A, +, \circ)$, respectively. If both containments in (iii) are equalities, we say that $(A, +, \circ)$ is *strongly distributive*. That is, a strongly distributive multiplicative hyperring is a triple $(A, +, \circ)$ such that

- (i) $(A, +)$ is an abelian group,
- (ii) (A, \circ) is a semihypergroup,
- (iii) $x \circ (y + z) = x \circ y + x \circ z$ and $(y + z) \circ x = y \circ x + z \circ x$ for all $x, y, z \in A$,
- (iv) $(-x) \circ y = x \circ (-y) = -(x \circ y)$ for all $x, y \in A$.

A multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ is said to be *commutative* if $x \circ y = y \circ x$ for all $x, y \in A$. A *unitary multiplicative hyperring* is a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ such that (A, \circ) has a scalar identity which is called the *unitary element* of $(A, +, \circ)$ and it is usually denoted by u . A *unitary multiplicative hyperring* is a multiplicative hyperring with a unitary element. Multiplicative hyperrings

are clearly a generalization of rings. By the definitions, strongly distributive multiplicative hyperrings give a closer generalization of rings.

For a nonempty subset X of a multiplicative hypering $(A, +, \circ)$ and $x \in A$, we use the notations $\mathbb{Z}X$ and $\mathbb{Z}x$ in the same meaning as those in a ring, that is,

$$\mathbb{Z}X = \text{the set of all finite sums of the form } \sum k_i x_i$$

$$\text{where } k_i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x_i \in X,$$

$$\mathbb{Z}x = \mathbb{Z}\{x\},$$

so $\mathbb{Z}x = \{nx \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If $(A, +, \circ)$ is a multiplicative hyperring, then for $a, b, c, d \in A$, $a \circ b$ and $c \circ d$ are nonempty subsets of A and $a \circ b \circ c \circ d = (a \circ b) \circ (c \circ d)$ which is the set $\bigcup_{\substack{s \in a \circ b \\ t \in c \circ d}} (s \circ t)$, not the union of all finite sums of the form $\sum s_i \circ t_i$ where $s_i \in a \circ b$ and $t_i \in c \circ d$. Because of this fact, we cannot use the notation $X \circ Y$ in the similar meaning as that in rings where X and Y are nonempty subsets of a multiplicative hyperring. To distinguish between the following two subsets of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$: $\bigcup_{\substack{x \in X \\ y \in Y}} x \circ y$ and the union of all finite sums of the form $\sum x_i \circ y_i$ where $x_i \in X$ and $y_i \in Y$ for nonempty subsets X, Y of A , we shall let $X \circ Y$ and $\langle X \circ Y \rangle$ denote the first set and the second one, respectively, that is,

$$X \circ Y = \bigcup_{\substack{x \in X \\ y \in Y}} (x \circ y), \quad \langle X \circ Y \rangle = \bigcup_{\substack{x_i \in X, y_i \in Y \\ n \in \mathbb{N}}} (x_1 \circ y_1 + x_2 \circ y_2 + \cdots + x_n \circ y_n).$$

Because of the associative law of the hyperoperation \circ of $(A, +, \circ)$, it follows that if X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are nonempty subsets of $(A, +, \circ)$, then

$$X_1 \circ X_2 \circ \cdots \circ X_n = \bigcup_{x_1 \in X_1, \dots, x_n \in X_n} (x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \cdots \circ x_n),$$

$\langle X_1 \circ X_2 \circ \cdots \circ X_n \rangle =$ the union of all finite sums of the form

$$\sum x_i^{(1)} \circ x_i^{(2)} \circ \cdots \circ x_i^{(n)} \quad \text{where } x_i^{(1)} \in X_1, \\ x_i^{(2)} \in X_2, \dots, x_i^{(n)} \in X_n.$$

For $a \in A$ and $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq A$, let $X \circ a$ and $a \circ X$ denote $X \circ \{a\}$ and $\{a\} \circ X$, respectively. For elements a and b of A and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall let $n(a \circ b)$ and $(-n)(a \circ b)$ denote

$$a \circ b + a \circ b + \cdots + a \circ b \quad (n \text{ copies}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(-(a \circ b)) + (-(a \circ b)) + \cdots + (-(a \circ b)) \quad (n \text{ copies}),$$

respectively. The following facts are obvious.

Proposition 1.16. *If $(A, +, \circ)$ is a strongly distributive multiplicative hyperring, then for $a, b \in A$,*

$$\langle A \circ a \rangle = \bigcup_{x \in A} (x \circ a) = A \circ a, \quad \langle a \circ A \rangle = \bigcup_{x \in A} (a \circ x) = a \circ A, \\ \langle a \circ A \circ b \rangle = \bigcup_{x \in A} (a \circ x \circ b) = a \circ A \circ b.$$

The following three propositions are known.

Proposition 1.17. (R. Rota [8]). *In a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$,*

$$(a + b) \circ (c + d) \subseteq a \circ c + a \circ d + b \circ c + b \circ d \quad \text{for all } a, b, c, d \in A.$$

In particular, if $(A, +, \circ)$ is strongly distributive, then

$$(a + b) \circ (c + d) = a \circ c + a \circ d + b \circ c + b \circ d \quad \text{for all } a, b, c, d \in A.$$

The following proposition will be useful and we omit its easy proof.

Proposition 1.18. *Let $(A, +, \circ)$ is a multiplicative hyperring. Then the following statements hold.*

1. For $x, y \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x \circ (ny) \subseteq n(x \circ y)$ and $(nx) \circ y \subseteq n(x \circ y)$.
2. For nonempty subsets X, Y and Z of A ,

$$\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle = \langle X \rangle = \langle X \rangle + \langle X \rangle,$$

$$\langle X \circ Y \rangle = \langle\langle X \rangle \circ \langle Y \rangle\rangle \quad (\text{from Proposition 1.17}),$$

$$\langle X \circ \langle Y \circ Z \rangle \rangle = \langle X \circ Y \circ Z \rangle = \langle\langle X \circ Y \rangle \circ Z \rangle .$$

3. If A_1 is a subgroup of $(A, +)$ then $\mathbb{Z}A_1 = A_1$.
4. For nonempty subsets X, Y, Z and V of A , $\langle X+Y \rangle \circ \langle Z+V \rangle \subseteq \langle X \circ Z \rangle + \langle X \circ V \rangle + \langle Y \circ Z \rangle + \langle Y \circ V \rangle$ (from Proposition 1.17).
5. For nonempty subsets X and Y of A , $\langle X \circ (\mathbb{Z}Y) \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}(X \circ Y)$ and $\langle (\mathbb{Z}X) \circ Y \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}(X \circ Y)$ (from (1)).
6. For nonempty subsets X and Y of A , $\mathbb{Z}(A \circ X) = \langle A \circ X \rangle$, $\mathbb{Z}(X \circ A) = \langle X \circ A \rangle$ and $\mathbb{Z}(X \circ A \circ Y) = \langle X \circ A \circ Y \rangle$.

If $(A, +, \circ)$ is strongly distributive, then “ \subseteq ” in 1. and 5. can be replaced by “ $=$ ” as follows:

7. For $x, y \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \circ (ny) = n(x \circ y) = (nx) \circ y$.
8. For nonempty subsets X and Y of A , $\langle X \circ (\mathbb{Z}Y) \rangle = \mathbb{Z}(X \circ Y) = \langle (\mathbb{Z}X) \circ Y \rangle$.

Proposition 1.19. (R. Rota [8]). *In a strongly distributive multiplicative hyper-ring $(A, +, \circ)$, $0 \in a \circ 0$ and $0 \in 0 \circ a$ for every $a \in A$.*

Some examples of multiplicative hyperrings are as follows:

Example 1.20. (R. Rota [8]). Let I be an ideal of a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$. Define a hyperoperation \circ on R by

$$x \circ y = xy + I \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

Then $(R, +, \circ)$ is a strongly distributive multiplicative hyperring. If $|I| > 1$, then it is not unitary.

Example 1.21. (R. Rota [8]). Let V be a vector space over a field F . Define a hyperoperation \circ on V by

$$u \circ v = \text{the subspace of } V \text{ generated by } u \text{ and } v \quad \text{for all } u, v \in V,$$

that is,

$$u \circ v = Fu + Fv \quad \text{for all } u, v \in V.$$

Then $(V, +, \circ)$ is a commutative multiplicative hyperring where $+$ is the addition on V , $0 \in 0 \circ u$ for every $u \in V$ but it is not strongly distributive if $\dim V \geq 1$. Moreover, it is not unitary if $\dim V > 0$.

Example 1.22. ([7], page 79). Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring. Define a hyperoperation \circ on R by

$$a \circ b = \{ab, 2ab, 3ab, \dots\} \quad \text{for all } a, b \in R.$$

Then $(R, +, \circ)$ is a multiplicative hyperring but it need not be strongly distributive and it need not be unitary. Moreover, $a \circ 0 = \{0\} = 0 \circ a$ for all $a \in R$.

Next, let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring. For a nonempty subset B of A , one says that B is a *subhyperring* of $(A, +, \circ)$ if B is itself a multiplicative hyperring under the operation $+$ and the hyperoperation \circ on A restricted to B . A subhyperring B of $(A, +, \circ)$ is called a *left [right] hyperideal* of $(A, +, \circ)$ if $A \circ B \subseteq B$ [$B \circ A \subseteq B$]. If B is both a left and a right hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$, then it is called a *(two-sided) hyperideal* of $(A, +, \circ)$. A subhyperring Q of $(A, +, \circ)$ is called a *quasi-hyperideal* of $(A, +, \circ)$ if $\langle A \circ Q \rangle \cap \langle Q \circ A \rangle \subseteq Q$. Then quasi-hyperideals are also a generalization of left hyperideals and right hyperideals. Especially, quasi-hyperideals in a multiplicative hyperrings generalize quasi-ideals in

rings. Note that if $(A, +, \circ)$ is commutative, then quasi-hyperideals, left [right] hyperideals and hyperideals of $(A, +, \circ)$ coincide.

For a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ and a hyperideal I of $(A, +, \circ)$, R. Rota [8] constructed a multiplicative hyperring from $(A, +, \circ)$ by I which may be called the *quotient multiplicative hyperring of $(A, +, \circ)$ by I* as follows:

Example 1.23. (R. Rota [8]). Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring and I a hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. Define a hyperoperation $*$ on A/I by

$$(a + I) * (b + I) = \{ c + I \mid c \in a \circ b \} \text{ for all } a, b \in A.$$

Then $(A/I, \oplus, *)$ is a multiplicative hyperring where $(A/I, \oplus)$ is the quotient group of $(A, +)$ relative to I . Moreover $(A/I, \oplus, *)$ is strongly distributive if $(A, +, \circ)$ is. If $|I| > 1$, then it is not unitary.

An element a of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ is said to be *regular* if $a \in a \circ x \circ a$ for some $x \in A$ and we call $(A, +, \circ)$ is a *regular multiplicative hyperring* if every element of A is regular in $(A, +, \circ)$.

The intersection of a left hyperideal and a right hyperideal of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ is clearly a quasi-hyperideal. However, a quasi-hyperideal may not be obtained in this way. A quasi-hyperideal of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ is said to have *the intersection property* if it is the intersection of a left hyperideal and a right hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ and we say that $(A, +, \circ)$ *has the intersection property of quasi-hyperideals* if every quasi-hyperideal of A has the intersection property.

A nonzero left hyperideal L of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ is said to be *minimal* if L does not properly contain a nonzero left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. A minimal right [two-sided, quasi-] hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ is defined similarly.

In Chapter II, Proposition 1.2 to Proposition 1.6 are generalized to quasi-hyperideals in multiplicative hyperrings. In this chapter, we construct a multiplicative hyperring from a ring by using Proposition 1.15 and some of its quasi-hyperideals are provided.

We generalize Proposition 1.7 – Proposition 1.10 to quasi-hyperideals in multiplicative hyperrings in Chapter III.

Finally, minimal quasi-hyperideals of multiplicative hyperrings are studied to generalize Proposition 1.11 – Proposition 1.14 in Chapter IV. The study of minimal quasi-ideals of rings of strictly upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices by Y. Kemprasit and P. Juntarakhajorn in [5] motivates us to investigate minimal quasi-hyperideals of the multiplicative hyperrings of upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices with a hyperoperation defined as in Proposition 1.15. Many nice results relating to minimal quasi-hyperideals of these multiplicative hyperrings are given in this chapter.



สถาบันวิทยบริการ
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CHAPTER II

GENERAL PROPERTIES AND EXAMPLES

In this chapter, we first generalize Proposition 1.2 – Proposition 1.6 of Chapter I. Then a multiplicative hyperring defined from a ring is provided and we show that every quasi-ideal of the given ring is a quasi-hyperideal of the constructed multiplicative hyperring.

To generalize Proposition 1.2, the following three lemmas are required.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *The intersection of a collection of subhyperrings of $(A, +, \circ)$ is a subhyperring of $(A, +, \circ)$.*
- (ii) *The intersection of a collection of left [right] hyperideals of $(A, +, \circ)$ is a left [right] hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$.*

Proof. Let $\{C_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a set of subhyperrings of $(A, +, \circ)$. Then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$. Hence $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$ is a subhyperring (see page 6). If each C_α is a left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$, we have that for every $\beta \in \Lambda$,

$$A \circ \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha \right) \subseteq A \circ C_\beta \subseteq C_\beta$$

which implies that, $A \circ \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$. Hence $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$ is a left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. Dually, if each C_α is a right hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$, then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_\alpha$ is a right hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. Hence the lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring and $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq A$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) $0 \in \langle A \circ X \rangle$ and $0 \in \langle X \circ A \rangle$.
- (ii) $\langle A \circ X \rangle$ and $\langle X \circ A \rangle$ are a left hyperideal and a right hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$, respectively.
- (iii) $\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle$ and $\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle$ are respectively a left hyperideal and a right hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ containing X .

Proof. (i) Let $a \in A$ and $x \in X$. If $y \in a \circ x$, then $-y \in -(a \circ x) = (-a) \circ x \subseteq A \circ X$ which implies that

$$0 = y - y \in a \circ x + (-a) \circ x \subseteq \langle A \circ X \rangle.$$

We can show similarly that $0 \in \langle X \circ A \rangle$.

(ii) By Proposition 1.18(2), $\langle A \circ X \rangle + \langle A \circ X \rangle = \langle A \circ X \rangle$. By (i), $0 \in \langle A \circ X \rangle$. Let $a \in \langle A \circ X \rangle$. Then $a \in \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \circ x_i$ for some $r_i \in A, x_i \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $-a \in -(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \circ x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n -(r_i \circ x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-r_i) \circ x_i$, we have that $-a \in \langle A \circ X \rangle$. Then $\langle A \circ X \rangle$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$. By Proposition 1.18(2), $A \circ \langle A \circ X \rangle \subseteq \langle A \circ \langle A \circ X \rangle \rangle = \langle A \circ A \circ X \rangle \subseteq \langle A \circ X \rangle$. Hence $\langle A \circ X \rangle$ is a left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$.

Similarly, $\langle X \circ A \rangle$ is a right hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$.

(iii) Since $0 \in \langle A \circ X \rangle$ from (i) and $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X$, it follows that $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle$. We know that $\mathbb{Z}X$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$ and by (ii), $\langle A \circ X \rangle$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$. It then follows that $\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} A \circ (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle) &\subseteq A \circ (\mathbb{Z}X) + A \circ \langle A \circ X \rangle \\ &\subseteq \langle A \circ (\mathbb{Z}X) \rangle + \langle A \circ \langle A \circ X \rangle \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Z}(A \circ X) \rangle + \langle A \circ A \circ X \rangle && \text{from Proposition 1.18(2) and (5)} \\
& \subseteq \langle \langle A \circ X \rangle \rangle + \langle A \circ X \rangle && \text{from Proposition 1.18(6)} \\
& \subseteq \langle A \circ X \rangle && \text{from Proposition 1.18(2)} \\
& \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle && \text{since } 0 \in \mathbb{Z}X.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle$ is a left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ containing X . We can obtain similarly that $\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle$ is a right hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ containing X . \square

Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring. Then by Lemma 2.1, the intersection of a collection of left [right] hyperideals of $(A, +, \circ)$ is also a left [right] hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. For $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq A$, let $(X)_l [(X)_r]$ denote the intersection of all left [right] hyperideals of $(A, +, \circ)$ containing X . Therefore, $(X)_l [(X)_r]$ is the smallest left [right] hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ containing X and it is called the *left [right] hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ generated by X* . For $a \in A$, let $(a)_l [(a)_r]$ denote $(\{a\})_l [(\{a\})_r]$ which is called the *principal left [right] hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ generated by a* .

Lemma 2.3. *In a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$,*

$$(X)_l = \mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (X)_r = \mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle$$

for every nonempty subset X of A . In particular, for $a \in A$,

$$(a)_l = \mathbb{Z}a + \langle A \circ a \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (a)_r = \mathbb{Z}a + \langle a \circ A \rangle.$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.2 (iii), $(X)_l \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle$. Since $(X)_l$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$ containing X , we have that $\mathbb{Z}X \subseteq (X)_l$ and $\langle A \circ X \rangle \subseteq \langle A \circ (X)_l \rangle \subseteq \langle (X)_l \rangle = (X)_l$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle \subseteq (X)_l$. Therefore we deduce that $(X)_l = \mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle$. We can show similarly that $(X)_r = \mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle$. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring such that $A \circ A \neq \{0\}$. Then $\langle A \circ x \rangle = A = \langle x \circ A \rangle$ for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if $(A, +, \circ)$ has no proper nonzero quasi-hyperideals.*

Proof. Assume that for every $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$, $\langle A \circ x \rangle = A = \langle x \circ A \rangle$. Let Q be a nonzero quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. Then there exists $k \in Q \setminus \{0\}$. By assumption, $A = \langle A \circ k \rangle = \langle k \circ A \rangle$, so $A = \langle k \circ A \rangle \cap \langle A \circ k \rangle \subseteq \langle Q \circ A \rangle \cap \langle A \circ Q \rangle \subseteq Q$. Thus $A = Q$. This prove that $(A, +, \circ)$ has no proper nonzero quasi-hyperideals.

Conversely, assume that $(A, +, \circ)$ has no proper nonzero quasi-hyperideals. Let $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Since $(a)_l$ is the principal left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ generated by a , $(a)_l$ is a nonzero quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. By assumption, $(a)_l = A$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A \circ a \rangle &\subseteq \langle A \circ (a)_l \rangle \\
&\subseteq \langle A \circ (\mathbb{Z}a + \langle A \circ a \rangle) \rangle && \text{by Lemma 2.3} \\
&\subseteq \langle A \circ (\mathbb{Z}a) + A \circ \langle A \circ a \rangle \rangle \\
&\subseteq \langle \mathbb{Z}(A \circ a) + \langle A \circ A \circ a \rangle \rangle && \text{by Proposition 1.18(2) and (5)} \\
&\subseteq \langle \langle A \circ a \rangle + \langle A \circ a \rangle \rangle && \text{by Proposition 1.18(6)} \\
&= \langle A \circ a \rangle && \text{by Proposition 1.18(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\langle A \circ a \rangle = \langle A \circ (a)_l \rangle = \langle A \circ A \rangle \neq \{0\}$. But $\langle A \circ a \rangle$ is a left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ by Lemma 2.2(ii), so $\langle A \circ a \rangle$ is a nonzero quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. It then follows from the assumption that $\langle A \circ a \rangle = A$. Similarly, we obtain that $\langle a \circ A \rangle = A$. Therefore $\langle A \circ a \rangle = A = \langle a \circ A \rangle$. \square

We know that for a ring A with $|A| > 1$, A is a division ring if and only if $Ax = A = xA$ for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Then Proposition 1.2 is a corollary of the above theorem.

Corollary 2.5. *Let A be a ring such that $A^2 \neq \{0\}$. Then A is a division ring if and only if A and $\{0\}$ are the only quasi-ideals of A .*

We also have

Theorem 2.6. *Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring. Then the intersection of a set of quasi-hyperideals of $(A, +, \circ)$ is a quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$.*

Proof. Let Q_α ($\alpha \in \Lambda$) be a set of quasi-hyperideals of $(A, +, \circ)$. Then $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Q_\alpha$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$ since each Q_α is a subgroup of $(A, +)$. We have that for every $\beta \in \Lambda$,

$$\langle A \circ \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Q_\alpha \right) \rangle \cap \left\langle \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Q_\alpha \right) \circ A \right\rangle \subseteq \langle A \circ Q_\beta \rangle \cap \langle Q_\beta \circ A \rangle \subseteq Q_\beta.$$

Consequently, $\langle A \circ \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Q_\alpha \right) \rangle \cap \left\langle \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Q_\alpha \right) \circ A \right\rangle \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Q_\alpha$. Hence $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} Q_\alpha$ is a quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. \square

Proposition 1.3 is an immediate consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 2.7. *Let A be a ring. Then the intersection of a set of quasi-ideals of A is a quasi-ideal of A .*

Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring. For $X \subseteq A$, the *quasi-hyperideal* of $(A, +, \circ)$ generated by X is the intersection of all quasi-hyperideals of $(A, +, \circ)$ containing X which is denoted by $(X)_q$. Then for $X \subseteq A$, $(X)_q$ is the smallest quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ containing X . For $a \in A$, let $(a)_q$ denote $(\{a\})_q$ and it is called the *principal quasi-hyperideal* of $(A, +, \circ)$ generated by a .

Theorem 2.8. *For a nonempty subset X of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$,*

$$(X)_q = \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle).$$

In particular, for $a \in A$,

$$(a)_q = \mathbb{Z}a + (\langle A \circ a \rangle \cap \langle a \circ A \rangle).$$

Proof. First, we show that $\mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$ is a quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ containing X . Since $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X$ and $0 \in \langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle$ (from Lemma 2.2(i)), $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$. By Lemma 2.2(ii), $\langle A \circ X \rangle$ and $\langle X \circ A \rangle$ are subgroups of $(A, +)$. Then $(\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}X$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$, we have that $\mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A \circ (\mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)) \rangle \\ & \subseteq \langle A \circ (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle) \rangle \\ & \subseteq \langle A \circ (\mathbb{Z}X) + A \circ \langle A \circ X \rangle \rangle \\ & \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Z}(A \circ X) + \langle A \circ A \circ X \rangle \rangle \\ & \qquad \text{by Proposition 1.18(2) and (5)} \\ & \subseteq \langle \langle A \circ X \rangle + \langle A \circ X \rangle \rangle \\ & \qquad \text{by Proposition 1.18(6)} \\ & \subseteq \langle \langle A \circ X \rangle \rangle \subseteq \langle A \circ X \rangle \\ & \qquad \text{from Proposition 1.18(2)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (\mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)) \circ A \rangle \\ & \subseteq \langle (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) \circ A \rangle \\ & \subseteq \langle (\mathbb{Z}X) \circ A + \langle X \circ A \rangle \circ A \rangle \\ & \subseteq \langle \mathbb{Z}(X \circ A) + \langle X \circ A \circ A \rangle \rangle \\ & \qquad \text{by Proposition 1.18(2) and (5)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\subseteq \langle \langle X \circ A \rangle + \langle X \circ A \rangle \rangle$$

by Proposition 1.18(6)

$$\subseteq \langle \langle X \circ A \rangle \rangle \subseteq \langle X \circ A \rangle$$

by Proposition 1.18(2)

it follows that

$$\langle A \circ (\mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)) \rangle \cap \langle (\mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)) \circ A \rangle$$

$$\subseteq \langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle$$

$$\subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$$

from Lemma 2.2(i).

Hence $\mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$ is a quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ containing X . Then we deduce that $(X)_q \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$.

Since $((X)_q, +)$ is a subgroup of $(A, +)$ containing X , $\mathbb{Z}X \subseteq (X)_q$. We also have $\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle \subseteq \langle A \circ (X)_q \rangle \cap \langle (X)_q \circ A \rangle \subseteq (X)_q$ which implies that $\mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle) \subseteq (X)_q$. Therefore $(X)_q = (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$. \square

Proposition 1.4 becomes a consequence of Theorem 2.8.

Corollary 2.9. *For a nonempty subset X of a ring A ,*

$$(X)_q = \mathbb{Z}X + (AX \cap XA).$$

Theorem 2.10. *Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring.*

- (i) *If $(A, +, \circ)$ is regular, then $Q = Q \circ A \circ Q$ for every quasi-hyperideal Q of $(A, +, \circ)$.*
- (ii) *The converse of (i) holds if $(A, +, \circ)$ is strongly distributive.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $(A, +, \circ)$ is regular and let Q be a quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. Then for $x \in Q$, $x \in x \circ A \circ x \subseteq Q \circ A \circ Q$. That means $Q \subseteq Q \circ A \circ Q$. But $Q \circ A \circ Q = (Q \circ A) \circ Q \subseteq A \circ Q$ and $Q \circ A \circ Q = Q \circ (A \circ Q) \subseteq Q \circ A$, so we have

$$Q \circ A \circ Q \subseteq (A \circ Q) \cap (Q \circ A) \subseteq \langle A \circ Q \rangle \cap \langle Q \circ A \rangle \subseteq Q.$$

Hence $Q = Q \circ A \circ Q$.

(ii) Assume that $(A, +, \circ)$ is strongly distributive and $Q \circ A \circ Q = Q$ for every quasi-hyperideal Q of $(A, +, \circ)$. To show that $(A, +, \circ)$ is regular, let $a \in A$. Then $(a)_l \cap (a)_r$ is a quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. It thus follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a &\in (a)_l \cap (a)_r \\ &= ((a)_l \cap (a)_r) \circ A \circ ((a)_l \cap (a)_r) \quad \text{by assumption} \\ &\subseteq (a)_r \circ A \circ (a)_l \\ &= (\mathbb{Z}a + a \circ A) \circ A \circ (\mathbb{Z}a + A \circ a) \\ &\quad \text{from Proposition 1.16 and Lemma 2.3} \\ &\subseteq (\mathbb{Z}a) \circ A \circ (\mathbb{Z}a) + (\mathbb{Z}a) \circ A \circ (A \circ a) \\ &\quad + (a \circ A) \circ A \circ (\mathbb{Z}a) + (a \circ A) \circ A \circ (A \circ a) \\ &\quad \text{from Proposition 1.18(4)} \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z}(a \circ A \circ a) + \mathbb{Z}(a \circ A \circ A \circ a) + \mathbb{Z}(a \circ A \circ A \circ a) \\ &\quad + (a \circ A \circ a) \\ &\quad \text{from Proposition 1.18(5)} \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z}(a \circ A \circ a) + \mathbb{Z}(a \circ A \circ a) + \mathbb{Z}(a \circ A \circ a) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{Z}(a \circ A \circ a) \\ &= a \circ A \circ a + a \circ A \circ a + a \circ A \circ a + a \circ A \circ a \\ &\quad \text{from Proposition 1.16 and Proposition 1.18(6)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= a \circ A \circ a \quad \text{from Proposition 1.16 and Proposition 1.18(2).}$$

This implies that a is a regular element of $(A, +, \circ)$. Hence (ii) is proved. \square

From Theorem 2.10, we have the following corollary which is Proposition 1.5.

Corollary 2.11. *A ring A is regular if and only if $QAQ = Q$ for every quasi-ideal Q of A .*

Theorem 2.12. *Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring.*

- (i) *If $(A, +, \circ)$ is regular, then $R \circ L = R \cap L$ for every right hyperideal R and for every left hyperideal L of $(A, +, \circ)$.*
- (ii) *The converse of (i) holds if $(A, +, \circ)$ is strongly distributive.*

Proof. (i) Assume that $(A, +, \circ)$ is regular. Let R and L be a right hyperideal and a left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$, respectively. Then $R \circ L \subseteq R \cap L$. Let $a \in R \cap L$. Since $(A, +, \circ)$ is regular, $a \in a \circ y \circ a$ for some $y \in A$. Since $a \in R$ and $y \circ a \subseteq L$, $a \in a \circ y \circ a \subseteq R \circ L$. Hence $R \cap L \subseteq R \circ L$, and consequently, $R \circ L = R \cap L$.

(ii) Assume that $(A, +, \circ)$ is strongly distributive and $R \circ L = R \cap L$ for every right hyperideal R and left hyperideal L of $(A, +, \circ)$. To show that $(A, +, \circ)$ is regular, let $a \in A$. By Proposition 1.16 and Lemma 2.2(ii), $a \circ A$ and $A \circ a$ are a right hyperideal and a left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$, respectively. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
a &\in (a)_r \cap (a)_l \\
&= (a)_r \circ (a)_l && \text{by assumption} \\
&\subseteq (a)_r \circ A \\
&= (\mathbb{Z}a + a \circ A) \circ A && \text{by Proposition 1.16 and Lemma 2.3} \\
&\subseteq (\mathbb{Z}a) \circ A + (a \circ A) \circ A \\
&\subseteq \mathbb{Z}(a \circ A) + a \circ A && \text{by Proposition 1.18(5)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= a \circ A + a \circ A \quad \text{by Proposition 1.16 and Proposition 1.18(6)}$$

$$= a \circ A \quad \text{by Proposition 1.16 and Proposition 1.18(2)}$$

and similarly, $a \in A \circ a$. Hence

$$a \in a \circ A \cap A \circ a$$

$$= (a \circ A) \circ (A \circ a) \quad \text{by assumption, Proposition 1.16 and Lemma 2.2(ii)}$$

$$\subseteq a \circ A \circ a,$$

so a is a regular element of $(A, +, \circ)$. Therefore (ii) is proved. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 2.12, we have

Corollary 2.13. *Let A be a ring. Then A is regular if and only if $RL = R \cap L$ for every right ideal R and for every left ideal L of A .*

Theorem 2.14. *Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring and $\emptyset \neq P \subseteq R$. Define a hyperoperation \circ on R by*

$$x \circ y = \{ xty \mid t \in P \} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

Then $(R, +, \circ)$ is a multiplicative hyperring.

Proof. From Proposition 1.15, (R, \circ) is a semihypergroup. Next, let $x, y, z \in R$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ (y + z) &= \{ xt(y + z) \mid t \in P \} \\ &= \{ xty + xtz \mid t \in P \} \\ &\subseteq \{ xty \mid t \in P \} + \{ xtz \mid t \in P \} \\ &= x \circ y + x \circ z \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain similarly that $(y + z) \circ x \subseteq y \circ x + z \circ x$. Moreover,

$$(-x) \circ y = \{ (-x)ty \mid t \in P \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{ xt(-y) \mid t \in P \} = x \circ (-y) \\
&= \{ -(xty) \mid t \in P \} \\
&= -\{ xty \mid t \in P \} = -(x \circ y).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $(R, +, \circ)$ is a multiplicative hyperring, as required. \square

Lemma 2.15. *Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, P a nonempty subset of R and $(R, +, \circ)$ the multiplicative hyperring defined from $(R, +, \cdot)$ and P where*

$$x \circ y = \{ xty \mid t \in P \} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

If K_1 and K_2 are nonempty subsets of R , then

$$\langle K_1 \circ K_2 \rangle = K_1PK_2,$$

that is, the set $\langle K_1 \circ K_2 \rangle$ in $(R, +, \circ)$ is equal to K_1PK_2 in $(R, +, \cdot)$ which is the set of all finite sums of the form $\sum a_i p_i b_i$ where $a_i \in K_1, p_i \in P$ and $b_i \in K_2$.

In particular,

$$\langle a \circ b \rangle = aPb \quad \text{for all } a, b \in R.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \langle K_1 \circ K_2 \rangle$. Then $x \in a_1 \circ b_1 + a_2 \circ b_2 + \cdots + a_m \circ b_m$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in K_1$ and $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in K_2$. It therefore follows that

$$x = a_1 p_1 b_1 + a_2 p_2 b_2 + \cdots + a_m p_m b_m \quad \text{for some } p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m \in P.$$

Consequently, $x \in K_1PK_2$.

Conversely, if $x \in K_1PK_2$, then $x = a_1 p_1 b_1 + a_2 p_2 b_2 + \cdots + a_m p_m b_m$ for some $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in K_1, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m \in P$ and $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m \in K_2$. This implies that

$$x \in a_1 \circ b_1 + a_2 \circ b_2 + \cdots + a_m \circ b_m \subseteq \langle K_1 \circ K_2 \rangle.$$

Hence the lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 2.16. *Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a ring, P a nonempty subset of R and $(R, +, \circ)$ the multiplicative hyperring where \circ is the hyperoperation defined from P by*

$$x \circ y = \{ xty \mid t \in P \} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

If Q is a quasi-ideal of $(R, +, \cdot)$, then Q is a quasi-hyperideal of the multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \circ)$.

Proof. Recall that $(R, +, \circ)$ is really a multiplicative hyperring by Theorem 2.14.

Let Q be a quasi-ideal of $(R, +, \cdot)$. Then Q is a subgroup of $(A, +)$ and $RQ \cap QR \subseteq Q$. It remains to show that $\langle R \circ Q \rangle \cap \langle Q \circ R \rangle \subseteq Q$. But

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R \circ Q \rangle &= RPQ && \text{by Lemma 2.15} \\ &= (RP)Q \\ &\subseteq RQ \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $\langle Q \circ R \rangle \subseteq QR$. It then follows that

$$\langle R \circ Q \rangle \cap \langle Q \circ R \rangle \subseteq RQ \cap QR \subseteq Q.$$

This proves that Q is a quasi-hyperideal of $(R, +, \circ)$, as required. \square

The converse of Theorem 2.16 is not true in general. If Q is a subgroup of $(R, +)$ which is not a quasi-ideal of $(R, +, \cdot)$ (that is, $RQ \cap QR \not\subseteq Q$) and $P = \{0\}$, then Q is clearly a quasi-hyperideal of $(R, +, \circ)$. The following example is not a trivial one.

Example 2.17. Let R be a ring with identity $1 \neq 0$ and $M_4(R)$ the ring of all 4×4 matrices over R . Let

$$U_4(R) = \{A \in M_4(R) \mid A \text{ is upper triangular}\}$$

and

$$P = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & x & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid x, y, z \in R \right\}.$$

Define a hyperoperation \circ on $M_4(R)$ by

$$A \circ B = \{ACB \mid C \in P\} \quad \text{for all } A, B \in M_4(R).$$

Then $U_4(R)$ is a subring of $(M_4(R), +, \cdot)$ and P is clearly an ideal of $(U_4(R), +, \cdot)$.

Since $U_4(R)$ contains the identity matrix of $M_4(R)$, we have

$$M_4(R)U_4(R) \cap U_4(R)M_4(R) = M_4(R) \not\subseteq U_4(R).$$

Thus $U_4(R)$ is not a quasi-ideal of $(M_4(R), +, \cdot)$. By Lemma 2.15,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle M_4(R) \circ U_4(R) \rangle \cap \langle U_4(R) \circ M_4(R) \rangle \\ &= M_4(R)PU_4(R) \cap U_4(R)PM_4(R) \\ &= M_4(R)(PU_4(R)) \cap (U_4(R)P)M_4(R) \\ &\subseteq M_4(R)P \cap PM_4(R) \quad \text{since } P \text{ is an ideal of } U_4(R). \end{aligned}$$

But an element of $M_4(R)P$ is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_1 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_4 & y_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

and an element of $PM_4(R)$ is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & y_4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

so each element of $M_4(R)P \cap PM_4(R)$ is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is upper triangular. Hence

$$\langle M_4(R) \circ U_4(R) \rangle \cap \langle U_4(R) \circ M_4(R) \rangle \subseteq U_4(R)$$

which implies that $U_4(R)$ is a quasi-hyperideal of $(M_4(R), +, \circ)$.

Note that if “4” is replaced by “ n ” which is greater than 4, the above result is still true.

สถาบันวิทยบริการ
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CHAPTER III

MULTIPLICATIVE HYPERRINGS HAVING THE INTERSECTION PROPERTY OF QUASI-HYPERIDEALS

The main purpose of this chapter is to generalize Proposition 1.7 – Proposition 1.10 by characterizing when quasi-hyperideals of multiplicative hyperrings have the intersection property and when multiplicative hyperrings have the intersection property of quasi-hyperideals.

The first theorem of this chapter is a generalization of Proposition 1.7. We first give a lemma which follows directly from Proposition 1.18(3) and Lemma 2.2(iii)

Lemma 3.1. *If S is a subhyperring of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$, then $S + \langle A \circ S \rangle$ and $S + \langle S \circ A \rangle$ are respectively a left hyperideal and a right hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ containing S .*

Theorem 3.2. *If Q is a quasi-hyperideal of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$, and either $Q \subseteq \langle Q \circ A \rangle$ or $Q \subseteq \langle A \circ Q \rangle$, then*

$$Q = (Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle) \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle).$$

In this case, Q has the intersection property.

Proof. Suppose that Q is a quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. Let $D = (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle) \cap (Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle)$. By Lemma 2.2(i), $Q \subseteq D$. Now assume that $Q \subseteq \langle A \circ Q \rangle$. Then $Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle = \langle A \circ Q \rangle$ by Lemma 2.2(ii), and so $D = (Q +$

$\langle Q \circ A \rangle \cap \langle A \circ Q \rangle$. Next, we will show that $D \subseteq Q$. Let $d \in D$. Then $d \in Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle$ and $d \in \langle A \circ Q \rangle$. Thus $d = k + c$ for some $k \in Q$ and $c \in \langle Q \circ A \rangle$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} c &= d + (-k) \\ &\in \langle A \circ Q \rangle + Q \\ &= \langle A \circ Q \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $c \in \langle A \circ Q \rangle \cap \langle Q \circ A \rangle \subseteq Q$, and therefore $d = k + c \in Q + Q \subseteq Q$. Hence $D \subseteq Q$. Similarly, $Q \subseteq \langle Q \circ A \rangle$ implies that $D \subseteq Q$. We then conclude that $D = Q$. By Lemma 3.1, Q has the intersection property. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 3.2, we have

Corollary 3.3. *Let Q be a quasi-ideal of a ring A . If $Q \subseteq QA$ or $Q \subseteq AQ$, then*

$$Q = (Q + AQ) \cap (Q + QA).$$

In this case, Q has the intersection property (since $Q + AQ$ and $Q + QA$ are a left ideal and a right ideal of A , respectively.)

We note that if $(A, +, \circ)$ is a unitary multiplicative hyperring, then for every quasi-hyperideal Q of $(A, +, \circ)$, $Q = Q \circ u \subseteq Q \circ A \subseteq \langle Q \circ A \rangle$ where u is the unitary element of $(A, +, \circ)$, so by Theorem 3.2, $Q = (Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle) \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle)$. We then deduce that every unitary multiplicative hyperring has the intersection property of quasi-hyperideals. Moreover, if $(A, +, \circ)$ is a regular multiplicative hyperring, then for every quasi-hyperideal Q of $(A, +, \circ)$, $Q \subseteq Q \circ A \circ Q \subseteq Q \circ A \subseteq \langle Q \circ A \rangle$, so $Q = (Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle) \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle)$ by Theorem 3.2. Hence a regular multiplicative hyperring has the intersection property of quasi-hyperideals.

The following two theorems give some equivalent conditions for a quasi-hyperideal of a multiplicative hyperring to have the intersection property.

Theorem 3.4. *Let Q be a quasi-hyperideal of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$.*

Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) Q has the intersection property.
- (ii) $(Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle) \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle) = Q$.
- (iii) $\langle A \circ Q \rangle \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle) \subseteq Q$.
- (iv) $\langle Q \circ A \rangle \cap (Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle) \subseteq Q$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that Q has the intersection property. Then there exist a left-hyperideal L and a right-hyperideal R of $(A, +, \circ)$ such that $Q = L \cap R$. Then $Q \subseteq L$ and $Q \subseteq R$ and so $\langle A \circ Q \rangle \subseteq \langle A \circ L \rangle \subseteq \langle L \rangle = L$ and $\langle Q \circ A \rangle \subseteq \langle R \circ A \rangle \subseteq \langle R \rangle = R$. Then $Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle \subseteq L$ and $Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle \subseteq R$. Consequently, $(Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle) \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle) \subseteq L \cap R = Q$. But $Q \subseteq (Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle) \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle)$ by Lemma 2.2(i), so (ii) holds.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) This follows from Lemma 3.1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) This is obvious since $\langle A \circ Q \rangle \subseteq Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that $\langle A \circ Q \rangle \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle) \subseteq Q$. By Lemma 2.2(i), $Q \subseteq (Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle) \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle)$. To prove the reverse inclusion, let $x \in (Q + \langle A \circ Q \rangle) \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle)$. Thus $x = t_1 + c$ and $x = t_2 + d$ for some $t_1, t_2 \in Q, c \in \langle A \circ Q \rangle$ and $d \in \langle Q \circ A \rangle$. Since Q is a subgroup of $(A, +)$, $c = -t_1 + x = -t_1 + t_2 + d = (-t_1 + t_2) + d \in Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle$. Now, we have that $c \in \langle A \circ Q \rangle \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle)$. By (iii), $c \in Q$. This implies that $x = t_1 + c \in Q$. Then (ii) holds.

Similarly, we can prove that (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). □

The following theorem strengthens Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be a nonempty subset of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$.*

Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) $(X)_q$ has the intersection property.
- (ii) $(\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle) \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) = (X)_q$.
- (iii) $\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) \subseteq (X)_q$.
- (iv) $\langle X \circ A \rangle \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle) \subseteq (X)_q$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $(X)_q$ has the intersection property, there exist a left-hyperideal L and a right-hyperideal R of $(A, +, \circ)$ such that $(X)_q = L \cap R$. Then $X \subseteq L$ and so $\mathbb{Z}X \subseteq L$ and $\langle A \circ X \rangle \subseteq \langle A \circ L \rangle \subseteq L$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle \subseteq L$. Similarly, we have $\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle \subseteq R$. Therefore, $(\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle) \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) \subseteq L \cap R = (X)_q$. By Theorem 2.8, $(X)_q = \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$, so $(X)_q = \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle) \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle) \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle)$. Then (ii) holds.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) It is true because of Lemma 2.2(iii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) This implication is clear.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that $\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) \subseteq (X)_q$. By Theorem 2.8, $(X)_q = \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$. Then $(X)_q \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle) \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle)$. By Theorem 2.8, we remain to show that $\mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle) \supseteq (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle) \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle)$. Let $t \in (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle A \circ X \rangle) \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle)$. Then $t = t_1 + q_1$ and $t = t_2 + q_2$ for some $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}X, q_1 \in \langle A \circ X \rangle$ and $q_2 \in \langle X \circ A \rangle$. Then $q_1 = -t_1 + t = -t_1 + (t_2 + q_2) = (-t_1 + t_2) + q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle$. Hence $q_1 \in \langle A \circ X \rangle \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) \subseteq (X)_q$. This implies that $t = t_1 + q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}X + (X)_q = (X)_q$. Therefore (ii) holds.

Similarly, we can prove that (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). □

Proposition 1.8 and Proposition 1.9 are special cases of Theorem 3.4 and The-

orem 3.5, respectively .

Corollary 3.6. *Let Q be a quasi-hyperideal of a ring A . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) Q has the intersection property.
- (ii) $(AQ + Q) \cap (QA + Q) = Q$.
- (iii) $AQ \cap (Q + QA) \subseteq Q$.
- (iv) $QA \cap (Q + AQ) \subseteq Q$.

Corollary 3.7. *Let X be a nonempty subset of a ring A . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) $(X)_q$ has the intersection property.
- (ii) $(\mathbb{Z}X + AX) \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + XA) = (X)_q$.
- (iii) $AX \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + XA) \subseteq (X)_q$.
- (iv) $XA \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + AX) \subseteq (X)_q$.

The following theorem gives some equivalent conditions for a multiplicative hyperring to have the intersection property of quasi-hyperideals.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $(A, +, \circ)$ be a multiplicative hyperring and let (i),(ii) and (iii) be the statements given as follows.*

- (i) $(A, +, \circ)$ has the intersection property of quasi-hyperideals.
- (ii) For any finite nonempty subset X of A ,

$$\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle) (= (X)_q).$$

- (iii) For a finite subset $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of A and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$, if

$$y \in \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \circ x_i \right) \cap \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \circ a'_i \right)$$

for some $a'_i \in A$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $y \in (X)_q$.

Then (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii), and if $(A, +, \circ)$ is strongly distributive, then (iii) \Rightarrow (ii).

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose that $(A, +, \circ)$ has the intersection property of quasi-hyperideals and let X be a finite nonempty subset of A . Then $(X)_q$ has the intersection property. Therefore (ii) holds by Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 3.5.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Assume that (ii) true. Let Q be any quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. We need to show that $\langle A \circ Q \rangle \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle) \subseteq Q$. Let $y \in \langle A \circ Q \rangle \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle)$. Then $y \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \circ q_i$ and $y \in q + \sum_{j=1}^m q'_j \circ a'_j$ for some $a_i, a'_j \in A, q, q_i, q'_j \in Q$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider $X = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n, q, q'_1, \dots, q'_m\}$. Then $X \subseteq Q$ and $|X| < \infty$. By assumption, $\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} y \in \langle A \circ X \rangle \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) &\subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle) \\ &\subseteq Q + (\langle A \circ Q \rangle \cap \langle Q \circ A \rangle) \\ &\subseteq Q + Q \subseteq Q. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\langle A \circ Q \rangle \cap (Q + \langle Q \circ A \rangle) \subseteq Q$. By Theorem 3.4, Q has the intersection property. Hence (i) is proved.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Assume (ii) holds. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$ and let $y \in (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \circ x_i) \cap (\sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \circ a'_i)$ for some $a'_i \in A, k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. But $(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \circ x_i) \cap (\sum_{i=1}^n k_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \circ a'_i) \subseteq \langle A \circ X \rangle \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) \subseteq (X)_q$, so $y \in (X)_q$

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that $(A, +, \circ)$ is strongly distributive and (iii) holds. Let X be a finite nonempty subset of A , say $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, and $y \in \langle A \circ X \rangle \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle)$. Then $y \in \langle A \circ X \rangle$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle$. Since $(A, +, \circ)$ is strongly distributive, by Proposition 1.19, $0 \in 0 \circ x_i$ and $0 \in x_i \circ 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. It then follows that

$$y \in \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \circ x_i \quad \text{and} \quad y \in \sum_{i=1}^n l_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \circ a'_i$$

for some $a_i, a'_i \in A$ and $l_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Therefore

$$y \in \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \circ x_i \right) \cap \left(\sum_{i=1}^n l_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \circ a'_i \right)$$

which implies that by (iii) that $y \in (X)_q$. This proves that $\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + \langle X \circ A \rangle) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + (\langle A \circ X \rangle \cap \langle X \circ A \rangle)$. Hence (ii) holds. \square

A direct consequence of Theorem 3.8 is Proposition 1.10.

Corollary 3.9. *The following statements for a ring A are equivalent.*

- (i) *Every quasi-ideal of A has the intersection property.*
- (ii) *For any finite nonempty subset X of A ,*

$$AX \cap (\mathbb{Z}X + XA) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}X + (AX \cap XA) (= (X)_q).$$

- (iii) *For any finite subset $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of A and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$, if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i x_i + k_i x_i + x_i a'_i) = 0,$$

for some $a'_i \in A$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \in (X)_q$.

สถาบันวิทยบริการ
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

CHAPTER IV

MINIMAL QUASI-HYPERIDEALS OF MULTIPLICATIVE HYPERRINGS

In the last chapter, minimal quasi-hyperideals of multiplicative hyperrings are studied. The first aim is to generalize Proposition 1.11 to Proposition 1.14 by considering minimal quasi-hyperideals of multiplicative hyperrings. The second purpose is to investigate minimal quasi-ideals of the multiplicative hyperring defined from the ring of all upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over a division ring R as in Theorem 2.14 by using P to be the set of all strictly upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over a division ring R .

Theorem 4.1. *A nonzero quasi-hyperideal Q of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ is a minimal quasi-hyperideal if and only if $(x)_q = Q$ for all $x \in Q \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that Q is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ and let $x \in Q \setminus \{0\}$. Since $(x)_q$ is a nonzero quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ contained in Q , by the minimality of Q , $(x)_q = Q$.

Conversely, assume that $(x)_q = Q$ for all $x \in Q \setminus \{0\}$. Let Q' be a nonzero quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ contained in Q . Then there exists a nonzero element in Q' , say y , so $(y)_q = Q$. Thus $Q = (y)_q \subseteq Q'$. Hence $Q = Q'$. Therefore Q is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. \square

We obtain that Proposition 1.11 is an immediate consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 4.2. *A nonzero quasi-ideal Q of a ring A is a minimal quasi-ideal of A if and only if $(x)_q = Q$ for all $x \in Q \setminus \{0\}$.*

Next, a necessary and sufficient condition for a quasi-hyperideal of a multiplicative hyperring to be minimal in terms of principal left hyperideals and principal right hyperideals are given as follows:

Theorem 4.3. *A quasi-hyperideal Q of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ is minimal if and only if for any elements $x, y \in Q \setminus \{0\}$,*

$$(x)_l = (y)_l \quad \text{and} \quad (x)_r = (y)_r.$$

Proof. Assume that Q is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. Let $x, y \in Q \setminus \{0\}$. Then by Lemma 2.6, $(x)_l \cap Q$ is a nonzero quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ contained in Q . By the minimality of Q , we have that $Q = (x)_l \cap Q$. Then $Q \subseteq (x)_l$, this implies that $y \in (x)_l$. Therefore $(y)_l \subseteq (x)_l$. By a similar argument, we obtain $(x)_l \subseteq (y)_l$. Hence $(x)_l = (y)_l$. Dually, we can show that $(x)_r = (y)_r$.

Conversely, assume that for all $x, y \in Q \setminus \{0\}$, $(x)_l = (y)_l$ and $(x)_r = (y)_r$. Let Q' be a nonzero quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ such that $Q' \subseteq Q$. Let $x \in Q \setminus \{0\}$.

Case 1: $\langle A \circ Q' \rangle \cap Q \neq \{0\}$ and $\langle Q' \circ A \rangle \cap Q \neq \{0\}$. Let $q \in (\langle A \circ Q' \rangle \cap Q) \setminus \{0\}$ and $s \in (\langle Q' \circ A \rangle \cap Q) \setminus \{0\}$. By assumption, $(x)_l = (q)_l$ and $(x)_r = (s)_r$, so $x \in (q)_l$ and $x \in (s)_r$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x \in (q)_l &= \mathbb{Z}q + \langle A \circ q \rangle && \text{by Lemma 2.3} \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z} \langle A \circ Q' \rangle + \langle A \circ \langle A \circ Q' \rangle \rangle && \text{since } q \in \langle A \circ Q' \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{Z} \langle A \circ Q' \rangle + \langle A \circ A \circ Q' \rangle && \text{by Proposition 1.18(2)} \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z} \langle A \circ Q' \rangle + \langle A \circ Q' \rangle \\ &\subseteq \langle A \circ Q' \rangle + \langle A \circ Q' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.2(ii) and Proposition 1.18(3)

$$= \langle A \circ Q' \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x \in (s)_r &= \mathbb{Z}s + \langle s \circ A \rangle && \text{by Lemma 2.3} \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z} \langle Q' \circ A \rangle + \langle \langle Q' \circ A \rangle \circ A \rangle && \text{since } s \in \langle Q' \circ A \rangle \\ &= \mathbb{Z} \langle Q' \circ A \rangle + \langle Q' \circ A \circ A \rangle && \text{by Proposition 1.18(2)} \\ &\subseteq \mathbb{Z} \langle Q' \circ A \rangle + \langle Q' \circ A \rangle \\ &\subseteq \langle Q' \circ A \rangle + \langle Q' \circ A \rangle \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.2(ii) and Proposition 1.18(3)

$$= \langle Q' \circ A \rangle,$$

so $x \in \langle A \circ Q' \rangle \cap \langle Q' \circ A \rangle \subseteq Q'$.

Case 2: $\langle A \circ Q' \rangle \cap Q = \{0\}$. Let $y \in Q' \setminus \{0\}$. Then $y \in Q \setminus \{0\}$ and $(x)_l = (y)_l$, so $x \in (y)_l = \mathbb{Z}y + \langle A \circ y \rangle$. Thus $x = ny + t$ for some $t \in \langle A \circ y \rangle$, so $x - ny = t \in \langle A \circ Q' \rangle \cap Q = \{0\}$. Hence $x - ny = 0$. Thus $x = ny \in Q'$.

Case 3: $\langle Q' \circ A \rangle \cap Q = \{0\}$. Dually to Case 2, one can prove that $x \in Q'$.

From the above three cases, we obtain $Q = Q'$. Therefore Q is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. \square

A consequence of Theorem 4.3 is Proposition 1.12.

Corollary 4.4. *A quasi-ideal Q of a ring A is a minimal quasi-ideal of A if and only if any two nonzero elements x and y of Q ,*

$$(x)_l = (y)_l \quad \text{and} \quad (x)_r = (y)_r.$$

There are some relationships among minimal quasi-hyperideals, minimal left hyperideals and minimal right hyperideals as follows:

Theorem 4.5. *The intersection of a minimal left hyperideal L and a minimal right hyperideal R of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ is either $\{0\}$ or a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$.*

Proof. We have that $Q = R \cap L$ is a quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. Assume that $Q \neq \{0\}$. We shall show that Q is minimal. Suppose that there exists a quasi-hyperideal Q' of $(A, +, \circ)$ such that $\{0\} \neq Q' \subsetneq Q$. Then $Q' \subsetneq L$, so $\langle A \circ Q' \rangle$ is a left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ contained in L . But L is a minimal left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$, so it follows that $\langle A \circ Q' \rangle = \{0\}$ or $\langle A \circ Q' \rangle = L$. If $\langle A \circ Q' \rangle = \{0\}$, then Q' is a left hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ such that $\{0\} \neq Q' \subsetneq L$ which contradicts the minimality of L . Then $\langle A \circ Q' \rangle = L$. Similarly, one can show that $\langle Q' \circ A \rangle = R$. Hence $Q = R \cap L = \langle Q' \circ A \rangle \cap \langle A \circ Q' \rangle \subseteq Q'$, which contradicts that $Q' \subsetneq Q$. We then deduce that Q is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. \square

Proposition 1.13 is directly obtained from Theorem 4.5.

Corollary 4.6. *If L and R are a minimal left ideal and a minimal right ideal of a ring A , then either $L \cap R = \{0\}$ or $L \cap R$ is a minimal quasi-ideal of A .*

Theorem 4.7. *If a nonzero quasi-hyperideal Q of a multiplicative hyperring $(A, +, \circ)$ such that $\langle x \circ Q \rangle = Q = \langle Q \circ x \rangle$ for all $x \in Q \setminus \{0\}$, then Q is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$.*

Proof. Let Q' be a nonzero quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$ such that $Q' \subseteq Q$. This implies that Q' is a quasi-hyperideal of Q . Since $\langle Q \circ x \rangle = Q = \langle x \circ Q \rangle$ for all $x \in Q \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\langle Q \circ Q' \rangle = Q$ and $\langle Q' \circ Q \rangle = Q$. Hence $Q = \langle Q' \circ Q \rangle$

$\cap \langle Q \circ Q' \rangle \subseteq \langle Q' \circ A \rangle \cap \langle A \circ Q' \rangle \subseteq Q'$. Then $Q = Q'$. Therefore Q is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(A, +, \circ)$. \square

We have mentioned in Chapter II that for a ring A with $|A| > 1$, A is a division ring if and only if $Ax = xA = A$ for all $x \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Then the following result can be considered as a consequence of Theorem 4.7.

Corollary 4.8. *Let Q be a quasi-ideal of a ring A . If Q is a division subring of A , then Q is a minimal quasi-ideal of A .*

In the remainder, let R be a division ring, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U_n(R) = (U_n(R), +, \cdot)$ the ring of all upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over R where $+$ and \cdot are the usual addition and the multiplication of matrices, respectively. Let $SU_n(R)$ be the set of all strictly upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over R . Define a hyperoperation \circ on $U_n(R)$ by

$$A \circ B = \{ACB \mid C \in SU_n(R)\} \quad \text{for all } A, B \in U_n(R).$$

Since $SU_n(R)$ is a subring of $(U_n(R), +, \cdot)$, we have

$$A \circ B = ASU_n(R)B \quad \text{for all } A, B \in U_n(R).$$

By Theorem 2.14, $(U_n(R), +, \circ)$ is a multiplicative hyperring which may be denoted by $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$. Note that $SU_n(R)$ is an ideal of $(U_n(R), +, \cdot)$.

The main purpose of this part is to prove the following results.

- 1) If $\text{char } R = 0$, then $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$ has no minimal quasi-hyperideal.
- 2) Let $\text{char } R = p > 0$. Then the following statements hold.

- 2.1) For $A \in U_n(R)$, if $\text{rank}(A) = 1$, then $(A)_q$ is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$.

2.2) The converse of 2.1) holds if and only if $n \leq 2$.

We note that if $\text{char } R = 0$, then $m1_R \neq 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ where 1_R is the identity of R . Also, if $\text{char } R = p > 0$, then

$$\mathbb{Z}1_R = \{ 0, 1_R, 2(1_R), \dots, (p-1)(1_R) \}$$

so for $x \in R$,

$$\mathbb{Z}x = \{ 0, x, 2x, \dots, (p-1)x \}$$

and $|\mathbb{Z}x| = p$ if $x \neq 0$.

Theorem 4.9. *If $\text{char } R = 0$, then $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$ has no minimal quasi-hyperideal.*

Proof. Let $\text{char } R = 0$. To prove that $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$ has no minimal quasi-hyperideal, by Theorem 4.1, it suffices to prove that for every $A \in U_n(R) \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $B \in U_n(R) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(B)_q \subsetneq (A)_q$ in $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$.

Let $A \in U_n(R)$ and $A \neq 0$. Then $2A \in U_n(R)$. Since $\text{char } R = 0$ and $A \neq 0$, we have $2A \neq 0$. Since $(A)_q$ is a subgroup of $(U_n(R), +)$, $2A \in (A)_q$ which implies that $(2A)_q \subseteq (A)_q$. Suppose that $(2A)_q = (A)_q$. By Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.15,

$$\begin{aligned} (A)_q &= \mathbb{Z}A + (\langle A \circ U_n(R) \rangle \cap \langle U_n(R) \circ A \rangle) \\ &= \mathbb{Z}A + (ASU_n(R)U_n(R) \cap U_n(R)SU_n(R)A), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (2A)_q &= \mathbb{Z}(2A) + ((2A)SU_n(R)U_n(R) \cap U_n(R)SU_n(R)(2A)) \\ &= 2(\mathbb{Z}A + (ASU_n(R)U_n(R) \cap U_n(R)SU_n(R)A)) \\ &= 2(A)_q. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A \in (A)_q = (2A)_q = 2(A)_q$ and $SU_n(R)$ is an ideal of $U_n(R)$, we have from (1) that there exist $m \in \mathbb{Z}, B \in U_n(F)$ and $C \in SU_n(F)$ such that

$$A = 2(mA + CA) = 2mA + 2CA$$

which implies that

$$(1 - 2m)A = 2CA. \quad (2)$$

Since A is upper triangular, $A_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $i > j$. Since $A \neq 0, A_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{i, i + 1, \dots, n\}$. Let

$$k = \max\{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid A_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for some } j \in \{i, i + 1, \dots, n\}\}, \quad (3)$$

and let $l \in \{k, k + 1, \dots, n\}$ be such that $A_{kl} \neq 0$. From (2), we have

$$((1 - 2m)A)_{kl} = (2CA)_{kl}.$$

It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 2m)A_{kl} &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n C_{kj} A_{jl} \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^k C_{kj} A_{jl} + 2 \sum_{j=k+1}^n C_{kj} A_{jl} \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^k C_{kj} A_{jl} \quad \text{from(3)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Since C is strictly upper triangular, $C_{k1} = C_{k2} = \dots = C_{kk} = 0$. Thus we have from (4) that

$$(1 - 2m)A_{kl} = 0.$$

But $\text{char } R = 0$ and $A_{kl} \neq 0$, so $1 - 2m = 0$. Thus $2m = 1$ which is a contradiction.

Hence $(2A)_q \subsetneq (A)_q$.

This proves that $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$ has no minimal quasi-hyperideal, as required. \square

Lemma 4.10. For $A \in U_n(R)$, if $\text{rank}(A) = 1$, then

$$U_n(R)SU_n(R)A \cap ASU_n(R)U_n(R) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. Let $A \in U_n(R)$ be such that $\text{rank}(A) = 1$. Then $A \neq 0$ and $A_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $i > j$, so $A_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{i, i+1, \dots, n\}$. Let

$$k = \max\{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid A_{ij} \neq 0 \text{ for some } j \in \{i, i+1, \dots, n\}\},$$

and

$$l = \min\{j \in \{k, k+1, \dots, n\} \mid A_{kj} \neq 0\}.$$

It thus follows from the properties of k, l and the fact that $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & x_1 A_{kl} & x_1 A_{k,l+1} & \dots & x_1 A_{kn} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & x_2 A_{kl} & x_2 A_{k,l+1} & \dots & x_2 A_{kn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & x_{k-1} A_{kl} & x_{k-1} A_{k,l+1} & \dots & x_{k-1} A_{kn} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & x_k A_{kl} & x_k A_{k,l+1} & \dots & x_k A_{kn} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1} \in R$ where $x_k = 1$. Let $B \in \langle U_n(R) \circ A \rangle \cap \langle A \circ U_n(R) \rangle$. Since $SU_n(R)$ is an ideal of $(U_n(R), +, \cdot)$, by Lemma 2.15, we have $B = CA = AD$ for some $C, D \in SU_n(R)$. Then

$$CA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & \sum_{j=2}^k (C_{1j}x_j)A_{kl} & \sum_{j=2}^k (C_{1j}x_j)A_{k,l+1} & \dots & \sum_{j=2}^k (C_{1j}x_j)A_{kn} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \sum_{j=3}^k (C_{2j}x_j)A_{kl} & \sum_{j=3}^k (C_{2j}x_j)A_{k,l+1} & \dots & \sum_{j=3}^k (C_{2j}x_j)A_{kn} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & C_{k-1,k}x_k A_{kl} & C_{k-1,k}x_k A_{k,l+1} & \dots & C_{k-1,k}x_k A_{kn} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Since $A \in U_n(R)$ and $D \in SU_n(R)$, $A_{i1} = A_{i2} = \dots = A_{i,l-1} = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $D_{ll} = D_{l+1,l} = \dots = D_{nl} = 0$. Then $(AD)_{il} = \sum_{t=1}^{l-1} (A_{it}D_{tl}) + \sum_{t=l}^n (A_{it}D_{tl}) = 0 + 0 = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. But $CA = AD$, so $(CA)_{il} = (AD)_{il} = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since $A_{kl} \neq 0$ and $(CA)_{il} = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have from (1) that

$$\sum_{j=2}^k (C_{1j}x_j) = 0$$

$$\sum_{j=3}^k (C_{2j}x_j) = 0$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\sum_{j=k-1}^k (C_{k-2,j}x_j) = 0$$

$$C_{k-1,k}x_k = 0.$$

which implies by (1) that $CA = 0$. But $B = CA$, so $B = 0$. This proves that $U_n(R)SU_n(R)A \cap ASU_n(R)U_n(R) = \{0\}$, as desired. \square

Corollary 4.11. For $A \in U_n(R)$, if $\text{rank}(A) = 1$, then

$$(A)_q = \mathbb{Z}A \quad \text{in } (U_n(R), +, SU_n(R)).$$

Proof. Let $A \in U_n(R)$ be such that $\text{rank}(A) = 1$. By Lemma 4.10, $U_n(R)SU_n(R)A \cap ASU_n(R)U_n(R) = \{0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (A)_q &= \mathbb{Z}A + (\langle A \circ U_n(R) \rangle \cap \langle U_n(R) \circ A \rangle) && \text{by Theorem 2.8} \\ &= \mathbb{Z}A + (U_n(R)SU_n(R)A \cap ASU_n(R)U_n(R)) && \text{by Lemma 2.15} \\ &= \mathbb{Z}A. && \text{by Lemma 4.10} \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\text{char } R = p > 0$. Then for $A \in U_n(R) \setminus \{0\}$, $(A)_q = \mathbb{Z}A$ in $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$, then $(A)_q = \{0, A, 2A, \dots, (p-1)A\}$, $|(A)_q| = p$ and $(A)_q$ is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$.*

Proof. Since $\text{char } R = p$,

$$\mathbb{Z}1_R = \{0, 1_R, 2(1_R), \dots, (p-1)(1_R)\},$$

and so

$$\mathbb{Z}A = (\mathbb{Z}1_R)A = \{0, A, 2A, \dots, (p-1)A\}$$

where 1_R is the identity of R . Then $(A)_q = \{0, A, 2A, \dots, (p-1)A\}$. Because $A \neq 0$, $A_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since $\text{char } R = p$ and $A_{ij} \neq 0$, we have that $0, A_{ij}, 2A_{ij}, \dots, (p-1)A_{ij}$ are all distinct. Hence $|(A)_q| = p$. Let $B \in (A)_q$ and $B \neq 0$. Then $(B)_q$ is an additive subgroup of $(A)_q$ and $(B)_q \neq \{0\}$. But $|(B)_q| \mid |(A)_q|$, so $|(B)_q| = p$. Hence $(B)_q = (A)_q$. Therefore $(A)_q$ is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$. \square

The following theorem is obtained directly from Corollary 4.11 and Lemma 4.12.

Theorem 4.13. *Let $\text{char } R = p > 0$ and $A \in U_n(R)$. If $\text{rank}(A) = 1$, then in $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$, $(A)_q$ is a minimal quasi-hyperideal.*

Theorem 4.14. *Let $\text{char } R = p > 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *For $A \in U_n(R)$, if $(A)_q$ is a minimal quasi-hypereideal of $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$, then $\text{rank}(A) = 1$.*
- (ii) *$n \leq 2$.*

Proof. To prove (i) implies (ii) by contrapositive, assume that $n > 2$. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $A \in U_n(R)$ and $\text{rank}(A) = 2$. To show that $U_n(R)SU_n(R)A \cap ASU_n(R)U_n(R) = \{0\}$, let $B \in U_n(R)SU_n(R)A \cap ASU_n(R)U_n(R)$. Since $SU_n(R)$ is an ideal of $(U_n(R), +, \cdot)$, $B = CA = AD$ for some $C, D \in SU_n(R)$. But

$$\begin{aligned} CA &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & C_{12} & C_{13} & \dots & C_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & C_{23} & \dots & C_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & C_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & C_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
AD &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D_{12} & D_{13} & \dots & D_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & D_{23} & \dots & D_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & D_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & D_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

and $B = CA = AD$, so we have that $B = 0$. This proves that $U_n(R)SU_n(R)A \cap ASU_n(R)U_n(R) = \{0\}$. By Theorem 2.8, Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 4.12, $(A)_q$ is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$.

Conversely, to prove that (ii) implies (i), assume that $n \leq 2$ and let $A \in U_n(R)$ be such that $(A)_q$ is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(U_n(R), +, SU_n(R))$. Then $A \neq 0$. If $n = 1$, then $\text{rank}(A) = 1$.

Next, assume that $n = 2$. To prove that $\text{rank}(A) = 1$, suppose not. Since

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix},$$

we have that $a_{11} \neq 0$ and $a_{22} \neq 0$. Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{22}^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{11}^{-1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

we have that $B \in U_2(R)SU_2(R)A \cap ASU_2(R)U_2(R)$. But $(A)_q = \mathbb{Z}A + (U_2(R)SU_2(R)A \cap ASU_2(R)U_2(R))$ by Theorem 2.8 and Lemma 2.15, so $B \in (A)_q$ and hence $(B)_q \subseteq (A)_q$. Since $\text{rank}(B) = 1$, by Corollary 4.11, $(B)_q = \mathbb{Z}B$ in $(U_2(R), +, SU_2(R))$, so

$$(B)_q = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Then $A \notin (B)_q$ since $a_{11} \neq 0$ and $a_{22} \neq 0$. Therefore $\{0\} \subsetneq (B)_q \subsetneq (A)_q$. It is a contradiction since $(A)_q$ is a minimal quasi-hyperideal of $(U_2(R), +, SU_2(R))$.

This proves that $\text{rank}(A) = 1$, as required. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Chinram, R., and Kemprasit, Y. The intersection property of quasi-ideals in generalized rings of strictly upper triangular matrices. **East-West J. Math.** 3 (2001): 201–210.
- [2] Corsini, P. **Prolegomena of hypergroup theory**. Aviani Editore. 1993.
- [3] Kemprasit, Y. Multiplicative interval semigroups on \mathbb{R} admitting hyperring structure. **Italian J. Pure and Appl. Math.**, N. 11(2002): 205–212.
- [4] Kemprasit, Y., and Chinram, R. Generalized rings of linear transformations having the intersection property of quasi-ideals. **Vietnam J. Math.** 30 (2002): 283–288.
- [5] Kemprasit, P., and Juntarakhajorn, P. Quasi-ideals of rings of strictly upper triangular matrices over a field. **Italian J. Pure and Appl. Math.**, N. 12(2002): 97–104.
- [6] Moucheng, Z.; Yuqun, C.; and Yonghau, L. The intersection property of quasi-ideals in rings and semigroup rings. **SEA Bull. Math.** 20 (1996): 117–122.
- [7] Olson, D.M., and Ward, V.K. A note on a multiplicative hyperrings. **Italian J. Pure and Appl. Math.**, N. 1(1997): 77–84.
- [8] Rota, R. Sugli Iperanelli Moltiplicativi. **Rend. di Mat.**, Series VII(4) 2 (1982): 711–724.
- [9] Rota, R. Congruenze Sugli Iperanelli Moltiplicativi. **Rend. di Mat.**, Series VII(1) 3 (1983): 17–31.
- [10] Steinfeld, O. On ideal-quotients and prime ideals. **Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.** 4 (1953): 289–298.
- [11] Steinfeld, O. **Quasi-ideals in rings and semigroups**. Akadémiai Kiadó,

Budapest, 1978.

[12] Stewart, P.N. Quasi-ideal in rings. **Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.**
38 (1981): 231–235.

[13] Wilnert, H.J. On quasi-ideals in rings. **Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.**
43 (1984): 85–99.



สถาบันวิทยบริการ
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย

VITA

Miss Jongkol Tumsoun was born on October 18, 1977 in Roi-et. She got a Bachelor of Science in mathematics in 2000 from Chulalongkorn University. Then she got the Ministry Development Staff Project scholarship in 2000 to further her study in mathematics. For her master degree program, she has studied mathematics at the Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University. According to the scholarship requirement, she will be a lecturer at the Faculty of Education, Chulalongkorn University.



สถาบันวิทยบริการ
จุฬาลงกรณ์มหาวิทยาลัย