

## CHAPTER IV

### INTEGRATION

This chapter reviews known results in integration theory. The new results concern the integration of quaternion functions and integration with respect to quaternion measures.

**4.1 Definition** Let  $[a, b]$  be an interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . A finite set of points  $P = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is called a subdivision of  $[a, b]$  if  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b$ . Let

$$\mathcal{P}[a, b] = \{P \mid P \text{ is a subdivision of } [a, b]\}$$

$$\|P\| = \max\{x_{i+1} - x_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{m}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $X$ . Let  $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable bounded function and  $E \in \mathcal{m}$ . Then there exist  $a'$  and  $b'$  such that

$$a' < f(x) < b'$$

$\forall x \in E$ . Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on  $\mathcal{m}$  and  $\mu(E) < \infty$ .

For a subdivision  $P = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  of  $[a', b']$ , let

$$L(P, f) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \mu(f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1}))$$

$$U(P, f) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_{i+1} \mu(f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1}))$$

$$\bar{I}(f) = \inf\{U(P, f) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}[a', b']\}$$

$$\underline{I}(f) = \sup\{L(P, f) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}[a', b']\}.$$

Note that  $f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1}) \cap f^{-1}[y_j, y_{j+1}) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$  and

$$E = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1}). \text{ Hence } \mu(E) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mu(f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})), \text{ so}$$

$$0 \leq U(P, f) - L(P, f) \leq \|P\| \mu(E).$$

4.2 Theorem Let  $P = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be a subdivision of  $[a, b]$ . If we add a new point,  $\bar{y}$ , in  $P$ , then we have

$$L(P, f) \leq L(P \cup \{\bar{y}\}, f), \quad U(P \cup \{\bar{y}\}, f) \leq U(P, f).$$

Proof[5] Suppose that

$$(*) \quad y_k < \bar{y} < y_{k+1}$$

for some  $k$ . Then the half-open interval  $[y_k, y_{k+1})$  is replaced by the two half-open intervals

$$[y_k, \bar{y}) \quad , \quad [\bar{y}, y_{k+1}).$$

The set  $f^{-1}[y_k, y_{k+1})$  is divided into two sets

$$f^{-1}[y_k, \bar{y}), \quad f^{-1}[\bar{y}, y_{k+1}).$$

It is obvious that

$$f^{-1}[y_k, y_{k+1}) = f^{-1}[y_k, \bar{y}) \cup f^{-1}[\bar{y}, y_{k+1})$$

and

$$f^{-1}[y_k, \bar{y}) \cap f^{-1}[\bar{y}, y_{k+1}) = \emptyset$$

so that

$$(**) \quad \mu(f^{-1}[y_k, y_{k+1})) = \mu(f^{-1}[y_k, \bar{y})) + \mu(f^{-1}[\bar{y}, y_{k+1})).$$

It is now clear that  $L(P \cup \{\bar{y}\}, f)$  is obtained from  $L(P, f)$  by replacing the term

$$y_k \mu(f^{-1}[y_k, y_{k+1}))$$

by the two terms

$$y_k \mu(f^{-1}[y_k, \bar{y})) + \bar{y} \mu(f^{-1}[\bar{y}, y_{k+1})),$$

together with  $(*)$  and  $(**)$ , it follows that

$$L(P, f) \leq L(P \cup \{\bar{y}\}, f).$$

The reasoning is analogous for  $U(P \cup \{\bar{y}\}, f) \leq U(P, f)$ . #

4.3 Corollary For any subdivisions  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  of  $[a, b]$ ,  

$$L(P_1, f) \leq U(P_2, f).$$

Proof[5] Applying Theorem 4.2, we have

$$L(P_1, f) \leq L(P_1 \cup P_2, f) \text{ and } U(P_1 \cup P_2, f) \leq U(P_2, f).$$

But  $L(P_1 \cup P_2, f) \leq U(P_1 \cup P_2, f)$ . Then  $L(P_1, f) \leq U(P_2, f)$  #

4.4 Definition Recall  $\bar{I}(f)$  and  $\underline{I}(f)$  as defined in Definition 4.1. If  $\bar{I}(f) = \underline{I}(f)$ , then we shall call  $\underline{I}(f)$  is the Lebesgue integral of  $f$  over  $E$ , with respect to  $\mu$  and we shall denote it by  $\int_E f d\mu$ . (We shall soon show that  $\int_E f d\mu$  is independent of the choice of  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a < f(x) < b$  for all  $x \in E$ )

4.5 Theorem Every bounded measurable function defined on a set of finite measure has a Lebesgue integral.

Proof[5] Let  $f$  be a bounded measurable function defined on a measurable set  $E$ . Then there exist  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$a < f(x) < b$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure and  $\mu(E) < \infty$ .

Choose any fixed  $P_0 \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ . By Corollary 4.3,

$$L(P, f) \leq U(P_0, f),$$

for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ , so  $\{L(P, f) / P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}$  is bounded above. Let  $\underline{I}(f) = \sup\{L(P, f) / P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}$ . Then  $\underline{I}(f) \leq U(P_0, f)$ .

Since  $P_0$  is arbitrary,  $\{U(P, f) / P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}$  is bounded below by  $\underline{I}(f)$ . Let  $\bar{I}(f) = \inf\{U(P, f) / P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}$ . Then  $\underline{I}(f) \leq \bar{I}(f)$ . For  $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ , we have

$$L(P, f) \leq \underline{I}(f) \leq \bar{I}(f) \leq U(P, f).$$

But, as note above,  $0 \leq U(P, f) - L(P, f) \leq \|P\| \mu(E)$ , and hence

$$0 \leq \bar{I}(f) - \underline{I}(f) \leq \|P\| \mu(E).$$

Since  $\|P\|$  can be made arbitrary small, we have

$$\bar{I}(f) = \underline{I}(f). \#$$

**4.6 Theorem** If  $P$  be a subdivision of  $[a, b] \ni \|P\| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $U(P, f)$  and  $L(P, f)$  approach the integral  $\int_E f d\mu$ .

Proof[5] Since  $L(P, f) \leq \underline{I}(f) \leq \bar{I}(f) \leq U(P, f)$  and  $f$  is a bounded positive measurable function defined on a measurable set  $E$  such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , we have  $L(P, f) \leq \int_E f d\mu \leq U(P, f)$ .

Since  $0 \leq U(P, f) - L(P, f) \leq \|P\| \mu(E)$ ,  $0 \leq \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} (U(P, f) - L(P, f)) \leq 0$ ,

hence  $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} (U(P, f) - L(P, f)) = 0$ . Since

$$0 \leq \int_E f d\mu - L(P, f) \leq U(P, f) - L(P, f),$$

we have

$$\int_E f d\mu - \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} L(P, f) = 0,$$

that is

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} L(P, f) = \int_E f d\mu.$$

Since  $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} (U(P, f) - L(P, f)) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} U(P, f) = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} L(P, f) = \int_E f d\mu$ . #

**4.7 Theorem** Let  $f$  be a measurable function defined on a measurable set  $E$  such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$  and there exist  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$a < f(x) < b$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Then  $\int_E f d\mu$  is independent of the bounds  $a$  and  $b$ .

Proof[5] Suppose that

$$a < f(x) < b^*$$

with  $b^* < b$ . Let  $P = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be a subdivision of  $[a, b]$  where we include the point  $b^*$  in  $P$ , say  $b^* = y_m$ . Since  $y_n = b$  and  $b^* < b$ , we have  $m < n$ , hence  $f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1}) = \emptyset$  if  $i \geq m$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} L(P, f) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \mu(f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} y_i \mu(f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})) \\ &= L(\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m\}, f). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} L(P, f) = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} L(\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m\}, f).$$

By Theorem 4.6,  $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} L(P, f) = \int_E f d\mu$ , hence  $\int_E f d\mu = \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} L(\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m\}, f)$ . Thus changing the number  $b$  to  $b^*$  has no effect on the value of integral. The corresponding fact is true of the number  $a$ . #

**4.8 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If the bounded measurable function  $f$  satisfies the inequalities

$$a \leq f(x) \leq b,$$

on the measurable set  $E$  such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , then

$$a \mu(E) \leq \int_E f d\mu \leq b \mu(E).$$

Proof[5] Let  $m$  be a natural number. If we set

$$\bar{a} = a - \frac{1}{m}, \quad \bar{b} = b + \frac{1}{m},$$

then it is obvious that

$$\bar{a} < f(x) < \bar{b}.$$

Let  $P = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$ . Since  $\bar{a} \leq y_i \leq \bar{b}$  for all  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mu(f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})) &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \mu(f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})) \\ &\leq \bar{b} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mu(f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})) \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\bar{a} \mu(E) \leq L(P, f) \leq \bar{b} \mu(E).$$

Since  $P$  is arbitrary,  $\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} L(P, f) = \int_E f d\mu$ , hence

$$\bar{a} \mu(E) \leq \int_E f d\mu \leq \bar{b} \mu(E).$$

Then  $(a - \frac{1}{m}) \mu(E) \leq \int_E f d\mu \leq (b + \frac{1}{m}) \mu(E)$ . Since  $m$  is arbitrary,

we have

$$a \mu(E) \leq \int_E f d\mu \leq b \mu(E). \quad \#$$

**4.9 Corollary** If the function  $f$  is constant on the measurable set  $E$  such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ ,  $f(x) = c$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu = c \mu(E).$$

**4.10 Corollary** If the function  $f$  is non negative (non positive), then its integral is non negative (non positive)

**4.11 Corollary** If  $\mu(E) = 0$ , we have

$$\int_E f d\mu = 0$$

for all bounded measurable functions  $f$  defined on  $E$ .

**4.12 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let a bounded measurable function  $f$  be defined on a measurable set  $E$  such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint measurable sets such that  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f d\mu.$$

Proof[5] Case I Assume  $E'$  and  $E''$  are disjoint measurable

sets such that  $E = E' \cup E''$ . Suppose that

$$a < f(x) < b$$

on the set  $E$ . Let  $P = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be a subdivision of  $[a, b]$ .

We define the set

$$e_i = f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1}), \quad e'_i = (f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})) \cap E',$$

$$e''_i = (f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})) \cap E'',$$

then we obviously have

$$e_i = e'_i \cup e''_i \quad \text{and} \quad e'_i \cap e''_i = \emptyset.$$

Since  $\mu(e_i) = \mu(e'_i) + \mu(e''_i)$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \mu(e_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \mu(e'_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \mu(e''_i)$$

and  $P$  is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_{E'} f d\mu + \int_{E''} f d\mu.$$

Hence if  $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n$  are pairwise disjoint measurable sets such that  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{E_i} f d\mu.$$

Case II Assume  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint measurable sets such that  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ . Since  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) = \mu(E) < \infty$ , we have  $\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$$\bigcup_{i=n+1}^{\infty} E_i = R_n.$$

Hence

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{E_i} f d\mu + \int_{R_n} f d\mu.$$

By Theorem 4.8,

$$a \mu(R_n) \leq \int_{R_n} f d\mu \leq b \mu(R_n).$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(R_n) = 0$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R_n} f d\mu = 0$ . Therefore

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f d\mu . \#$$

**4.13 Corollary** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If the bounded measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$ , both defined on  $E$ ,  $f = g$  a.e. on  $E$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E g d\mu .$$

Proof Let  $A = \{x \in E / f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ . Then  $E \setminus A = \{x \in E / f(x) = g(x)\}$ . So  $\mu(A) = 0$ . By Corollary 4.11,

$$\int_A f d\mu = \int_A g d\mu = 0.$$

Since  $f = g$  on  $E \setminus A$ ,  $\int_{E \setminus A} f d\mu = \int_{E \setminus A} g d\mu$ . Since  $E = A \cup (E \setminus A)$  and  $A \cap (E \setminus A) = \emptyset$ , by Theorem 4.12,

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_A f d\mu + \int_{E \setminus A} f d\mu = \int_A g d\mu + \int_{E \setminus A} g d\mu = \int_E g d\mu . \#$$

**4.14 Corollary** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If  $f$  is a bounded non negative measurable function on  $E$  and  $E_0 \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $E_0 \subseteq E$ , then

$$\int_{E_0} f d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu .$$

Proof Follows from Theorem 4.12 . #

Remark: The converse of Corollary 4.13 is false. For example if  $f$  is defined on  $[-1, 1]$  by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $m$  be a Lebesgue measure. Then



$$\int_{-1}^1 f d\mu = \int_{-1}^0 f d\mu + \int_0^1 f d\mu = -1 + 1 = 0,$$

but  $f \neq 0$  a.e. on  $[-1, 1]$ .

**4.15 Corollary** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is bounded measurable function such that  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ , then  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $E$ .

Proof [5] We have  $\{x \in E / f(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E / f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}$ .

Suppose  $f \neq 0$  a.e. on  $E$ . Then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mu(\{x \in E / f(x) > \frac{1}{n_0}\}) > 0.$$

Let  $\mu(\{x \in E / f(x) > \frac{1}{n_0}\}) = \lambda$ , so  $\lambda > 0$ . Let

$$A = \{x \in E / f(x) > \frac{1}{n_0}\}, B = E \setminus A.$$

So we have

$$\int_A f d\mu \geq \frac{1}{n_0} \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \int_B f d\mu \geq 0 \quad (\text{since } f \text{ non negative}).$$

Hence

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_A f d\mu + \int_B f d\mu \geq \frac{1}{n_0} \lambda > 0,$$

a contradiction. Therefore  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $E$ . #

**4.16 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If two measurable bounded functions  $f$  and  $g$  are defined on  $E$ , then

$$\int_E (f+g) d\mu = \int_E f d\mu + \int_E g d\mu.$$

Proof [5] Let  $a < f(x) < b$  and  $c < g(x) < d$  for all  $x \in E$ .

Let  $P = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be a subdivision of  $[a, b]$  and  $Q = \{z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  be a subdivision of  $[c, d]$ . We define the sets

$$e_k = \{x \in E / y_k \leq f(x) < y_{k+1}\}, e'_i = \{x \in E / z_i \leq g(x) < z_{i+1}\}$$

$$T_{i,k} = e'_i \cap e_k \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1; k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Obviously,

$$E = \bigcup_{i,k} T_{i,k},$$

and the sets  $T_{i,k}$  are pairwise disjoint. By Theorem 4.12,

$$(1) \quad \int_E (f+g) d\mu = \sum_{i,k} \int_{T_{i,k}} (f+g) d\mu.$$

On the set  $T_{i,k}$ , we have

$$y_k + z_i \leq f(x) + g(x) < y_{k+1} + z_{i+1}.$$

By Theorem 4.8, we have

$$(y_k + z_i) \mu(T_{i,k}) \leq \int_{T_{i,k}} (f+g) d\mu \leq (y_{k+1} + z_{i+1}) \mu(T_{i,k}).$$

Combining all these inequalities, we obtain

$$\sum_{i,k} (y_k + z_i) \mu(T_{i,k}) \leq \sum_{i,k} \int_{T_{i,k}} (f+g) d\mu \leq \sum_{i,k} (y_{k+1} + z_{i+1}) \mu(T_{i,k}).$$

By (1), we have

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i,k} (y_k + z_i) \mu(T_{i,k}) \leq \int_E (f+g) d\mu \leq \sum_{i,k} (y_{k+1} + z_{i+1}) \mu(T_{i,k}).$$

We evaluate the sum

$$(3) \quad \sum_{i,k} y_k \mu(T_{i,k}).$$

This sum can be written in the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_k \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mu(T_{i,k}).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mu(T_{i,k}) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} T_{i,k}\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} (e'_i \cap e_k)\right) = \mu\left(e_k \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} e'_i\right)\right) \\ &= \mu(e_k \cap E) = \mu(e_k), \end{aligned}$$

so that the sum (3) can also be written as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_k \mu(e_k).$$

But

$$L(P, f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_k \mu(e_k), \text{ so } \sum_{i,k} y_k \mu(T_{i,k}) = L(P, f).$$

The other sums in the inequality (2) are evaluated analogously, so that the inequality can be written in the form

$$L(P, f) + L(Q, g) \leq \int_E (f+g) d\mu \leq U(P, f) + U(Q, g).$$

Let  $\lambda = \max\{(y_{k+1} - y_k), (z_{i+1} - z_i) \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1; k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Since  $P$  and  $Q$  are arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} L(P, f) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} L(Q, g) \leq \int_E (f+g) d\mu \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} U(P, f) + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} U(Q, g),$$

that is

$$\int_E f d\mu + \int_E g d\mu \leq \int_E (f+g) d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu + \int_E g d\mu.$$

Thus

$$\int_E (f+g) d\mu = \int_E f d\mu + \int_E g d\mu. \quad \#$$

**4.17 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If  $f$  is a bounded measurable function defined on  $E$  and  $c$  is a finite constant, then

$$\int_E c f d\mu = c \int_E f d\mu.$$

Proof [5] If  $c = 0$ , the theorem is trivial. Consider the case  $c > 0$ . Let

$$a < f(x) < b$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Let  $P = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  be a subdivision of  $[a, b]$  and  $e_k = f^{-1}([y_k, y_{k+1}))$ . Then  $E = \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k$  and  $e_i \cap e_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ .

By Theorem 4.12,

$$\int_E c f d\mu = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{e_k} c f d\mu.$$

On the set  $e_k$ , the inequalities

$$c y_k \leq c f(x) < c y_{k+1}$$

hold, so that by Theorem 4.8,

$$cy_k \mu(e_k) \leq \int_{e_k} cf d\mu \leq cy_{k+1} \mu(e_k).$$

Combining all these inequalities, we obtain

$$cL(P, f) \leq \int_E cf d\mu \leq cU(P, f).$$

Since  $P$  is arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} L(P, f) \leq \int_E cf d\mu \leq \lim_{\|P\| \rightarrow 0} U(P, f),$$

that is

$$c \int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E cf d\mu \leq c \int_E f d\mu.$$

Hence

$$\int_E cf d\mu = c \int_E f d\mu.$$

Finally, consider the case  $c < 0$ . Here

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_E (cf + (-c)f) d\mu = \int_E cf d\mu + \int_E (-c)f d\mu \\ &= \int_E cf d\mu + (-c) \int_E f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_E cf d\mu = c \int_E f d\mu. \quad \#$$

**4.18 Corollary** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are bounded measurable functions on the set  $E$ , then

$$\int_E (f-g) d\mu = \int_E f d\mu - \int_E g d\mu.$$

**4.19 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are bounded measurable functions on the set  $E$  and  $f \leq g$  on  $E$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E g d\mu.$$



Proof[5] The function  $g(x)-f(x)$  is non negative for all  $x \in E$ , so that

$$\int_E g d\mu - \int_E f d\mu = \int_E (g-f) d\mu \geq 0. \#$$

4.20 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If  $f$  is a bounded measurable function on  $E$ , then

$$\left| \int_E f d\mu \right| \leq \int_E |f| d\mu.$$

Proof[5] Let

$$A = \{x \in E / f(x) \geq 0\}, B = \{x \in E / f(x) < 0\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f d\mu &= \int_A f d\mu + \int_B f d\mu = \int_A |f| d\mu - \int_B |f| d\mu, \\ \int_E |f| d\mu &= \int_A |f| d\mu + \int_B |f| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|a-b| \leq a+b$  for all  $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_E f d\mu \right| &= \left| \int_A |f| d\mu - \int_B |f| d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \int_A |f| d\mu + \int_B |f| d\mu = \int_E |f| d\mu. \# \end{aligned}$$

4.21 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Let a sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of bounded measurable functions, converging in measure to the bounded measurable function  $f$ , be defined on the measurable set  $E$ . If there exists a constant  $K$  such that for all  $n$  and for all  $x$  in  $E$ ,

$$|f_n(x)| < K,$$

then

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = \int_E f d\mu .$$

Proof[5] First of all, we shall show that

$$(2) \quad |f(x)| \leq K,$$

for almost all  $x \in E$ . To prove this, by Theorem 3.25, it is possible to extract a subsequence  $(f_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  from the sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which converges to  $f$  almost everywhere. Hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n_k}(x)| = |f(x)|$$

for almost all  $x \in E$ . But  $|f_n(x)| < K$  for all  $x \in E$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $|f_{n_k}(x)| < K$  for all  $x \in E$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n_k}(x)| \leq K$  for all  $x \in E$ . Hence (2) holds. Now let  $\delta$  be a positive number. Set

$$A_n(\delta) = \{x \in E / |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \delta\},$$

$$B_n(\delta) = \{x \in E / |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \delta\}.$$

Then

$$\left| \int_E f_n d\mu - \int_E f d\mu \right| \leq \int_E |f_n - f| d\mu = \int_{A_n(\delta)} |f_n - f| d\mu + \int_{B_n(\delta)} |f_n - f| d\mu .$$

By the inequality  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq |f_n(x)| + |f(x)|$ , we have that

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < 2K$$

for almost all  $x \in A_n(\delta)$ . Then there exists  $A' \in \mathcal{M}$  and

$A' \subseteq A_n(\delta)$  such that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < 2K$  for all  $x \in A'$  and

$\mu(A_n(\delta) \setminus A') = 0$ . By Theorem 4.8, we have

$$\int_{A'} |f_n - f| d\mu \leq 2K \mu(A').$$

Since  $\mu(A_n(\delta) \setminus A') = 0$ ,  $\int_{A_n(\delta) \setminus A'} |f_n - f| d\mu = 0 = 2K \mu(A_n(\delta) \setminus A')$ .

Hence

$$\int_{A_n(\delta) \setminus A'} |f_n - f| d\mu + \int_{A'} |f_n - f| d\mu \leq 2K \mu(A') + 2K \mu(A_n(\delta) \setminus A'),$$

so we have

$$(3) \quad \int_{A_n(\delta)} |f_n - f| d\mu \leq 2K\mu(A_n(\delta)).$$

By Theorem 4.8 again, we have

$$(4) \quad \int_{B_n(\delta)} |f_n - f| d\mu \leq \delta\mu(B_n(\delta)) \leq \delta\mu(E).$$

Combining (3) with (4), we find that

$$(5) \quad \left| \int_E f_n d\mu - \int_E f d\mu \right| \leq 2K\mu(A_n(\delta)) + \delta\mu(E).$$

Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given, and select a  $\delta > 0$  so small that

$$\delta\mu(E) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Having fixed this  $\delta$ , the definition of convergence in measure implies that

$$\mu(A_n(\delta)) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and therefore there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$2K\mu(A_n(\delta)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . From (5), we have  $\left| \int_E f_n d\mu - \int_E f d\mu \right| < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . This proves the theorem. #

Remark: It is clear that Theorem 4.21 retains its validity if the inequality

$$|f_n(x)| < K$$

is satisfied only almost everywhere on  $E$ . The proof remains the same.

**4.22 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f$  is a bounded measurable function on  $X$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f d\mu$$

for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Proof Since  $X = E \cup (X \setminus E)$  which is a disjoint union of measurable sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ , by Theorem 4.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \chi_E f d\mu &= \int_E \chi_E f d\mu + \int_{X \setminus E} \chi_E f d\mu \\ &= \int_E f d\mu + \int_{X \setminus E} 0 d\mu \\ &= \int_E f d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\chi_E f = 0$  on  $X \setminus E$ , by Theorem 4.8, we have  $\int_{X \setminus E} 0 d\mu = 0$ . #

4.23 Definition Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $s$  is a simple measurable function on  $X$ , of the form

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are distinct values of  $s$  and  $A_i = s^{-1}(\alpha_i)$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and if  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  is such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , we define

$$\int_E s d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap E).$$

4.24 Definition Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Suppose  $f: E \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is a bounded function (we do not assume that  $f$  is measurable).

Let  $A = \left\{ \int_E s d\mu / s \text{ is a simple measurable function such that } s \leq f \right\}$ . Let  $s \equiv 0$  on  $E$ , so  $0 \leq s \leq f$  and  $s$  is a simple measurable function. Then  $A \neq \emptyset$ . We define the lower Lebesgue integral  $\int_E f d\mu$  by

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int_E s d\mu / s \text{ is a simple measurable function } \exists s \leq f \right\}.$$



Let  $B = \left\{ \int_E s d\mu / s \text{ is a simple measurable function such that } s \geq f \right\}$ . Since  $f$  is bounded, there exists  $b$  such that  $f(x) \leq b$  for all  $x \in E$ . Let  $s = b \chi_E$ , so  $s \geq f$  and  $s$  is a simple measurable function. Then  $B \neq \emptyset$ . We define the upper Lebesgue integral  $\int_E f d\mu$  by

$$\int_E f d\mu = \inf \left\{ \int_E s d\mu / s \text{ is a simple measurable function } s \geq f \right\}.$$

If  $\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f d\mu$ , we say that  $f$  is integrable and denote it by  $\int_E f d\mu$ .

**4.25 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Let  $f: E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a bounded function. Then

(1)  $f$  is integrable if and only if  $f$  is measurable a.e. on  $E$ .

(2) If  $f$  is measurable, then the definition of  $f$  integrable above = the definition of  $f$  integrable as already given using partition.

Proof of (1) [7] First, assume that  $f$  is integrable.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f d\mu &= \sup \left\{ \int_E s d\mu / s \text{ is a simple measurable function such that } s \leq f \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_E \varphi d\mu / \varphi \text{ is a simple measurable function such that } \varphi \geq f \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. Then there exists a simple measurable function  $s_n$  such that  $s_n \leq f$  and  $\int_E s_n d\mu > \int_E f d\mu - \frac{1}{2n}$  and there exists a simple measurable function  $\varphi_n$  such that  $\varphi_n \geq f$  and

$\int_E \varphi_n d\mu < \int_E f d\mu + \frac{1}{2n}$ . Therefore  $\int_E \varphi_n d\mu - \int_E s_n d\mu < \frac{1}{n}$  and  $s_n(x) \leq f(x) \leq \varphi_n(x)$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$\varphi^* = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_n \quad \text{and} \quad s^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s_n.$$

By Theorem 3.10, we have  $\varphi^*$  and  $s^*$  are measurable, and

$$s^*(x) \leq f(x) \leq \varphi^*(x)$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Let  $\Delta = \{x \in E / s^*(x) < \varphi^*(x)\}$ . Then

$$\Delta = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \Delta_m$$

where  $\Delta_m = \{x \in E / s^*(x) < \varphi^*(x) - \frac{1}{m}\}$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Delta_m$  is contained in the set  $\{x \in E / s_n(x) < \varphi_n(x) - \frac{1}{m}\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m} \mu(\{x \in E / s_n(x) < \varphi_n(x) - \frac{1}{m}\}) &\leq \int_{\{x \in E / s_n(x) < \varphi_n(x) - \frac{1}{m}\}} (\varphi_n - s_n) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_E (\varphi_n - s_n) d\mu \\ &< \frac{1}{n} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  which implies that

$$\mu(\{x \in E / s_n(x) < \varphi_n(x) - \frac{1}{m}\}) < \frac{m}{n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\mu(\Delta_m) < \frac{m}{n}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $n$  is arbitrary,  $\mu(\Delta_m) = 0$ , and so  $\mu(\Delta) = 0$ . Thus  $s^* = \varphi^*$  a.e. on  $E$  and  $s^* = f$  a.e. on  $E$ .

Since  $s^*$  is measurable, we have  $f$  is measurable a.e. on  $E$ .

Conversely, assume that  $f$  is measurable a.e. on  $E$ . Then there exists  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $A \subseteq E$  and  $\mu(A) = 0$  and  $f$  is measurable on  $E \setminus A$ . Since  $f$  is bounded, there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $f(x) < M$  for all  $x \in E$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be given.

Then the sets

$$E_k = \left\{ x \in E \setminus A / \frac{(k-1)M}{n} \leq f(x) < \frac{kM}{n} \right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

are measurable, disjoint, and have union  $E \setminus A$ . Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu(E_k) = \mu(E \setminus A).$$

The simple functions defined by

$$\Psi_n(x) = \frac{M}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k \chi_{E_k}(x) + M \chi_A(x)$$

and

$$\varphi_n(x) = \frac{M}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1) \chi_{E_k}(x)$$

satisfy

$$\varphi_n(x) \leq f(x) \leq \Psi_n(x)$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Let

$$\alpha = \sup \left\{ \int_E \varphi d\mu / \varphi \text{ is a simple measurable function } \ni \varphi \leq f \right\},$$

$$\beta = \inf \left\{ \int_E \psi d\mu / \psi \text{ is a simple measurable function } \ni \psi \geq f \right\}.$$

It is clear that  $0 \leq \beta - \alpha$ . Thus

$$\beta \leq \int_E \Psi_n d\mu = \frac{M}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k \mu(E_k)$$

and

$$\alpha \geq \int_E \varphi_n d\mu = \frac{M}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (k-1) \mu(E_k),$$

which implies that

$$0 \leq \beta - \alpha \leq \frac{M}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(E_k) = \frac{M}{n} \mu(E \setminus A).$$

Since  $n$  is arbitrary, we have

$$\beta - \alpha = 0.$$

Proof of (2) Assume  $f$  is a bounded measurable function. Then there exists  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$a < f(x) < b$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Let

$\alpha = \sup \left\{ \int_E s d\mu / s \text{ is a simple measurable function } \ni s \leq f \right\}.$

$\beta = \sup \{ L(P, f) / P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b] \}.$

Claim I that  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . To prove this, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given.

Then there exists a subdivision  $P = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  of  $[a, b]$  such that

$$\beta - \varepsilon < L(P, f) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \mu(f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})).$$

Since  $E = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})$  which is a disjoint union, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \chi_{f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})}$$

is a simple measurable function and  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \chi_{f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})} \leq f$ .

Hence  $\beta - \varepsilon < \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_i \mu(f^{-1}[y_i, y_{i+1})) \leq \alpha$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we have claim I.

Next, claim II that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . To prove this, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists a simple measurable function

$$s_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \chi_{E_i} \text{ on } E \text{ such that } s_0 \leq f \text{ and } \alpha - \varepsilon < \int_E s_0 d\mu =$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \mu(E_i). \text{ Assume } \alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1} \text{ for all } i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \text{ Then}$$

$P_0 = \{\alpha_0 - 1, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, b\}$  is a subdivision of  $[\alpha_0 - 1, b]$

and  $\alpha_0 - 1 < f(x) < b$  for all  $x \in E$ . Since  $\alpha_0 \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ ,  $f^{-1}[\alpha_0 - 1, \alpha_0) = \emptyset$ . Then

$$L(P_0, f) = (\alpha_0 - 1) \mu(f^{-1}[\alpha_0 - 1, \alpha_0)) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \mu(f^{-1}[\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}))$$

(let  $\alpha_n = b$ )

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \mu(f^{-1}[\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}))$$

$$= \int_E s d\mu$$

where

$$s' = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \chi_{f^{-1}[\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})}$$

is a simple measurable function. Subclaim that  $s_0 \leq s'$  on  $E$ .

To prove this, let  $x \in E$ . Then  $x \in E_i$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

Then  $s_0(x) = \alpha_i \leq f(x)$ , so there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\alpha_i \leq \alpha_j \leq f(x) < \alpha_{j+1}. \text{ Hence } x \in f^{-1}[\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}) \text{ and } \alpha_i \leq \alpha_j.$$

Thus we have subclaim. Hence  $\int_E s_0 d\mu \leq \int_E s' d\mu$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \mu(E_i) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i \mu(f^{-1}[\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1})) = L(P_0, f). \text{ Since}$$

$$\beta = \sup\{L(P, f) / P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\} = \sup\{L(P, f) / P \in \mathcal{P}[\alpha_0 - 1, b]\},$$

we have  $\alpha - \varepsilon \leq L(P_0, f) \leq \beta$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $\alpha \leq \beta$ .

By claim I and II, we have  $\alpha = \beta$ . This shows that (2)

is proved. #

**4.26 Definition** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a measurable unbounded function on  $E$  and let  $N$  be a natural number. If the function  $[f(x)]_N$  is defined in the following manner:

$$[f(x)]_N = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } f(x) \leq N, \\ N & \text{if } f(x) > N. \end{cases}$$

Then  $[f(x)]_N$  is a bounded measurable function. Since

$$[f(x)]_1 \leq [f(x)]_2 \leq [f(x)]_3 \leq \dots,$$

we have

$$\int_E [f]_1 d\mu \leq \int_E [f]_2 d\mu \leq \int_E [f]_3 d\mu \leq \dots$$

Then we define

$$\int_E f d\mu = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_E [f]_N d\mu \quad (\text{may be infinite}).$$

Thus, every non negative measurable function has a Lebesgue

integral. Those functions having a finite Lebesgue integral will be called summable.

It is not difficult to see that for a bounded measurable non negative function  $f$ , the new definition of the integral coincides with that given earlier, because for sufficiently large  $N$ , we have

$$[f]_N \equiv f.$$

It follows that every bounded measurable non negative function is summable.

4.27 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If a function  $f$  is summable on  $E$ , then it is finite almost everywhere on  $E$ .

Proof[5] Set  $A = \{x \in E / f(x) = \infty\}$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. Then on the set  $A$ ,  $[f]_N = N$ , so that

$$\int_E [f]_N d\mu \geq \int_A [f]_N d\mu = N\mu(A),$$

and if  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then  $\int_E [f]_N d\mu \rightarrow \infty$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , which contradicts the hypothesis that  $f$  is summable. #

4.28 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) = 0$ . Then every non negative function  $f$  is summable on  $E$  and

$$\int_E f d\mu = 0.$$

Proof Obvious. #

**4.29 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If the non negative measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$  are equivalent on  $E$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E g d\mu .$$

Proof[5] There exists  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $f = g$  on  $A$  and  $\mu(E \setminus A) = 0$ . Then  $[f]_N = [g]_N$  on  $A$  for all  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ , and so for  $N \in \mathcal{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E [f]_N d\mu &= \int_A [f]_N d\mu + \int_{E \setminus A} [f]_N d\mu \\ &= \int_A [f]_N d\mu \\ &= \int_A [g]_N d\mu + \int_{E \setminus A} [g]_N d\mu \\ &= \int_E [g]_N d\mu . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $N$  is arbitrary,  $\int_E f d\mu = \int_E g d\mu$  . #

**4.30 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If  $f$  is a non negative measurable function on  $E$  and  $E_0 \in \mathcal{M}$  is such that  $E_0 \subseteq E$ , then

$$\int_{E_0} f d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu .$$

In particular, it follows that if the function  $f$  is summable on  $E$  then it is summable on every measurable subset of  $E$ .

Proof It follows from Corollary 4.14 . #

**4.31 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  are non negative measurable functions on  $E$ . If  $f \leq g$  on  $E$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E g d\mu .$$

Proof[5] It follows from Theorem 4.19 and fact that

$$[f]_N \leq [g]_N$$

for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  . #

4.32 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$  . If  $f$  is a non negative measurable function  $f$  and  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ , then  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $E$ .

Proof[5] Since

$$0 \leq \int_E [f]_N d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu$$

for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  , we have  $\int_E [f]_N d\mu = 0$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  . Let

$$A = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \{x \in E / [f(x)]_N \neq 0\} .$$

By Corollary 4.15,  $[f]_N = 0$  a.e. on  $E$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  , hence  $\mu(A) = 0$  . Let  $x_0 \in E \setminus A$ , so  $[f(x_0)]_N = 0$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  .

Since  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [f(x)]_N = f(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ ,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [f(x_0)]_N = f(x_0) = 0$  . #

4.33 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$  . If  $f$  and  $g$  are non negative measurable functions on  $E$ , then

$$\int_E (f+g) d\mu = \int_E f d\mu + \int_E g d\mu .$$

Proof[5] Since, for each  $n$  ,

$$[f]_N + [g]_N \leq f+g$$

on  $E$ , it is clear that



$$\int_E [f]_N d\mu + \int_E [g]_N d\mu \leq \int_E (f+g) d\mu .$$

Taking the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$(1) \quad \int_E f d\mu + \int_E g d\mu \leq \int_E (f+g) d\mu .$$

In order to verify the inverse inequality, we shall show that

$$(2) \quad [(f+g)(x)]_N \leq [f(x)]_N + [g(x)]_N$$

for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $x_0 \in E$  and let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . If

$$f(x_0) \leq N, \quad g(x_0) \leq N,$$

then

$$[(f+g)(x_0)]_N \leq f(x_0) + g(x_0) = [f(x_0)]_N + [g(x_0)]_N .$$

If at least one of the numbers  $f(x_0)$  and  $g(x_0)$  is greater than  $N$ , then

$$[(f+g)(x_0)]_N = N \leq [f(x_0)]_N + [g(x_0)]_N .$$

This establishes (2). Hence

$$\int_E [f+g]_N d\mu \leq \int_E [f]_N d\mu + \int_E [g]_N d\mu .$$

Taking the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we get that

$$(3) \quad \int_E (f+g) d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu + \int_E g d\mu .$$

Combining (1) and (3), we obtain the theorem. #

**4.34 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If  $f$  is a non negative measurable function on  $E$  and if  $k$  is a finite non negative number, then

$$\int_E k f d\mu = k \int_E f d\mu .$$

Proof[5] The threorem is trivial for  $k = 0$ . Assume  $k > 0$ . If  $k = \frac{1}{m}$ , where  $m$  is a natural number, then again,

by Theorem 4.33,

$$\int_E f d\mu = m \int_E \frac{1}{m} f d\mu$$

so

$$\int_E \frac{1}{m} f d\mu = \frac{1}{m} \int_E f d\mu .$$

From this, the validity of the theorem for every non negative rational value of  $k$  follows. Finally, let  $k$  be a positive irrational number. Take positive rational numbers  $r$  and  $s$  such that  $r < k < s$ . By Theorem 4.31,

$$\int_E r f d\mu \leq \int_E k f d\mu \leq \int_E s f d\mu .$$

Hence

$$r \int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E k f d\mu \leq s \int_E f d\mu .$$

Taking the limit as  $r \rightarrow k$ , we obtain

$$k \int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E k f d\mu ,$$

and taking the limit  $s \rightarrow k$ , we obtain  $\int_E k f d\mu \leq k \int_E f d\mu .$

Hence  $\int_E k f d\mu = k \int_E f d\mu . \#$

4.35 Theorem Let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x_0) = f(x_0)$$

at the point  $x_0$ . Then for every integer  $N$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f_n(x_0)]_N = [f(x_0)]_N .$$

Proof[5] Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. If  $f(x_0) > N$ , for every sufficiently large  $n$ , we will have  $f_n(x_0) > N$  and for these  $n$

$$[f_n(x_0)]_N = N = [f(x_0)]_N .$$

In exactly the same way if  $f(x_0) < N$ , for sufficiently

large  $n$ , we will have  $f_n(x_0) < N$ , and hence

$$[f_n(x_0)]_N = f_n(x_0) \rightarrow f(x_0) = [f(x_0)]_N.$$

It remains to consider the case when  $f(x_0) = N$ .

In this case, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $n_0$  such that

$$f_n(x_0) > N - \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ , and hence

$$N - \varepsilon < [f_n(x_0)]_N \leq N$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ , that is

$$|[f_n(x_0)]_N - [f(x_0)]_N| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Thus, the theorem holds in all case. #

**4.36 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . If  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of non negative measurable functions converging to the function  $f$  almost everywhere on  $E$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu \leq \sup \left\{ \int_E f_n d\mu / n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

Proof By Theorem 4.35, we have for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$[f_n(x)]_N \rightarrow [f(x)]_N$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , almost everywhere on  $E$ . By Theorem 3.17 and the definition of convergence in measure, the sequence  $([f_n]_N)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in measure to  $[f]_N$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Inasmuch as each of the functions  $[f_n]_N$  is bounded by the number  $N$ , we can apply Theorem 4.21 on passage to the limit under the integral sign, so that

$$\int_E [f]_N d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E [f_n]_N d\mu.$$

But for all  $n$ ,

$$\int_E [f_n]_N d\mu \leq \int_E f_n d\mu \leq \sup \left\{ \int_E f_n d\mu / n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

so that upon taking the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\int_E [f]_N d\mu \leq \sup \left\{ \int_E f_n d\mu / n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Taking the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the theorem #

**4.37 Corollary** If, under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.36, the limit

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu,$$

exists, then

$$(2) \quad \int_E f d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu.$$

Proof[5] The inequality under consideration is trivial if the limit (1) equals  $\infty$ . Suppose then that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = l' < \infty.$$

Then, for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$ ,

$$\int_E f_n d\mu < l' + \varepsilon.$$

Applying Theorem 4.36 to the sequence of functions  $f_{n_0}, f_{n_0+1}, \dots$ , we obtain

$$\int_E f d\mu \leq l' + \varepsilon,$$

and this implies (2), since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary. #

**4.38 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Let an increasing sequence of non negative measurable functions

$$f_1 \leq f_2 \leq f_3 \leq \dots$$

be defined on  $E$ . If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in E$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = \int_E f d\mu .$$

Proof[5] First of all, the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu$$

exists and, by the preceding corollary,

$$\int_E f d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu .$$

On the other hand, we have  $f_n \leq f$  for every  $n$  for every  $x \in E$ , whence

$$\int_E f_n d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu ,$$

and this implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu .$$

This completes the proof. #

**4.39 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Let  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of non negative measurable functions be defined on  $E$ . If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(x) = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in E$ , then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_E u_k d\mu = \int_E f d\mu .$$

Proof[5] For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k(x)$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Hence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an increasing sequence of non negative measurable functions and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . By Theorem 4.38, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f d\mu &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E \sum_{k=1}^n u_k d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \int_E u_k d\mu \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_E u_k d\mu \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

**4.40 Corollary** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.39, suppose that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_E u_k d\mu < \infty.$$

Then

$$(1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k(x) = 0$$

almost everywhere on  $E$ .

Proof [5] Since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_E u_k d\mu = \int_E f d\mu$ ,  $f$  is summable,

hence, by Theorem 4.27,  $f$  is finite almost everywhere on  $E$ , so  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(x)$  converges almost everywhere on  $E$ . This implies that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u_k(x) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $E$ . #

**4.41 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$  and  $E$  be the union of a family of pairwise disjoint measurable sets  $E_k$ :

$$E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k.$$

For every non negative measurable function  $f$  defined on  $E$ , we have

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_k} f d\mu.$$

Proof[5] Introduce the functions  $u_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), letting

$$u_k(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in E_k, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in E \setminus E_k. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(x),$$

for all  $x \in E$  and hence, by Theorem 4.39,

$$(*) \quad \int_E f d\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_E u_k d\mu.$$

We now evaluate the integral  $\int_E u_k d\mu$ . To do this, we note that

$$[u_k(x)]_N = \begin{cases} [f(x)]_N & \text{if } x \in E_k, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in E \setminus E_k. \end{cases}$$

This implies that

$$\int_E [u_k]_N d\mu = \int_{E_k} [f]_N d\mu.$$

Taking the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we find that

$$\int_E u_k d\mu = \int_{E_k} f d\mu,$$

which, together with (\*), proves the theorem. #

4.42 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Suppose  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mu(E) = \infty$ . If  $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(F_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  are both disjoint sequences of members of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j, \quad \mu(E_i) < \infty, \quad \mu(F_j) < \infty$$

for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  and if  $f$  is a non negative measurable function defined on  $E$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{F_j} f d\mu.$$

Proof We have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (E_i \cap F_j)} f d\mu =$   
 $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i \cap F_j} f d\mu$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{F_j} f d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (E_i \cap F_j)} f d\mu =$   
 $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i \cap F_j} f d\mu$ . Since  $\int_{E_i \cap F_j} f d\mu \geq 0$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  
 $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i \cap F_j} f d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i \cap F_j} f d\mu$ , hence we have  
the theorem, #

4.43 Definition Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Then for each  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , we can choose a disjoint countable collection  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of members of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, \quad \mu(E_n) < \infty, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $f$  be a non negative measurable function defined on  $X$ .

We define  $\int_E f d\mu$  by

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n} f d\mu.$$

If  $\int_E f d\mu < \infty$ , then  $f$  is called summable.

4.44 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f$  is a summable function on a measurable set  $E$ , then  $f$  is finite almost everywhere.

Proof By Definition 4.43, there exists a disjoint countable collection  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of members of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, \quad \mu(E_n) < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $\int_E f d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n} f d\mu < \infty$ , so  $\int_{E_n} f d\mu < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .



By Theorem 4.27,  $f$  is finite a.e. on  $E_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence  $f$  is finite a.e. on  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = E$ . #

**4.45 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If the non negative measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$  are equivalent on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E g d\mu .$$

**Proof** There exists a disjoint countable collection  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of members of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, \quad \mu(E_n) < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .$$

By Theorem 4.29,  $\int_{E_n} f d\mu = \int_{E_n} g d\mu$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that the theorem is proved. #

**4.46 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f$  is a non negative measurable function on a measurable set  $E$  and if  $E_0 \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $E_0 \subseteq E$ , then

$$\int_{E_0} f d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu .$$

**Proof** There exists a disjoint countable collection  $(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of members of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, \quad \mu(E_n) < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .$$

Hence  $E_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (E_0 \cap E_n)$  which is a disjoint union. By

Theorem 4.30,  $\int_{E_0 \cap E_n} f d\mu \leq \int_{E_n} f d\mu$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_0 \cap E_n} f d\mu \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n} f d\mu ,$$

which implies that

$$\int_{E_0} f d\mu \leq \int_E f d\mu . \#$$

4.47 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  be non negative measurable function on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $f \leq g$  on  $E$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E g d\mu .$$

Proof Follows from Theorem 4.31 and Definition 4.43. #

4.48 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f$  is a non negative measurable function on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ , then  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $E$ .

Proof There exists a disjoint countable collection

$(E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of members of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n, \quad \mu(E_n) < \infty, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $0 = \int_E f d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n} f d\mu$ ,  $\int_{E_n} f d\mu = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

By Theorem 4.32,  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $E_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = E$ . #

4.49 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f = 0$  on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ .

Proof Follows from Corollary 4.9 and Definition 4.43. #

4.50 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f$  is a non negative measurable function defined on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and if  $k$  is a finite non negative number,

then

$$\int_E k f d\mu = k \int_E f d\mu .$$

Proof Follows from Theorem 4.34 and Definition 4.43. #

**4.51 Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let

$(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an increasing sequence of non negative measurable functions

$$f_1 \leq f_2 \leq f_3 \leq \dots$$

defined on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x),$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = \int_E f d\mu .$$

Proof If  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , then this theorem is true by Theorem 4.38. Assume  $\mu(E) = \infty$ , so there exists a disjoint countable collection  $(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of members of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, \quad \mu(E_i) < \infty, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We shall show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu .$$

This proves the theorem. To prove this, first suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \infty$ . Let  $M > 0$ , so there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu > M$$

for all  $m \geq N_1$ . If there exists  $m_0 \in \{N_1, N_1+1, N_1+2, \dots\}$

such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu \geq$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \infty, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \infty$ . Now assume that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu < \infty$

for all  $m \geq N_1$ , so there exists  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$M + \alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu.$$

Then there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu - (M + \alpha) \right| < \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

for all  $n \geq N_2$ . Hence

$$(1) \quad M < -\frac{\alpha}{2} + M + \alpha < \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu$$

for all  $n \geq N_2$ . But

$$(2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu$$

for all  $n \geq N_2$ . From (1) and (2) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \infty.$$

Finally, suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu < \infty$ . By

Theorem 4.38, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f d\mu < \infty$ , i.e.,  $\int_E f d\mu < \infty$ .

By hypotheses we have that  $0 \leq f_n(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x) \leq f(x)$  for all

$n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $0 \leq \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu \leq \int_{E_i} f_{n+1} d\mu \leq \int_{E_i} f d\mu < \infty$  for all

$i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, let

$$a_{in} = \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu$$

for all  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $0 \leq a_{in} \leq a_{i(n+1)}$  for all  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$b_{in} = a_{in} - a_{i(n-1)}$$

for all  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$  (let  $a_{i0} = 0$ ). Hence  $0 \leq b_{in}$  for all  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$

and  $\sum_{n=1}^m b_{in} = a_{im}$ . By Theorem 1.33,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{in} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{in}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{in} &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{in} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^m b_{in} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{im} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu, \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{in} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^m b_{in} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{im} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu. \text{ Thus} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu. \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

**4.52 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of non negative measurable functions defined on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in E$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = \int_E f d\mu.$$

**Proof** There exists a disjoint countable collection

$(E_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of members of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, \quad \mu(E_i) < \infty, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Theorem 4.39, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \int_{E_i} f d\mu$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f d\mu = \int_E f d\mu.$$

By Theorem 1.33, we get that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_i} f_n d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_E f_n d\mu.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_E f_n d\mu = \int_E f d\mu. \quad \#$$

**4.53 Corollary** Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.52, suppose that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_E f_n d\mu < \infty.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = 0$$

almost everywhere on  $E$ .

Proof It is similar to the proof of Corollary 4.40. #

**4.54 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let a measurable set  $E$  be the union of a family of pairwise disjoint measurable sets  $E_n$ :

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$$

For every non negative measurable function  $f$  defined on  $E$ , we have

$$\int_E f d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n} f d\mu.$$

Proof For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a family of pairwise disjoint measurable set  $E_{ni}$  such that

$$E_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{ni}, \quad \mu(E_{ni}) < \infty, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence

$$E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{ni} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(E_{ni}) < \infty \quad \text{for all } n, i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Definition 4.43, we have

$$\int_{E_n} f d\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{ni}} f d\mu.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n} f d\mu &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{ni}} f d\mu \\ &= \int_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{ni}} f d\mu \\ &= \int_E f d\mu. \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

**4.55 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\delta$ -finite positive measure on a  $\delta$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f$  is a non negative measurable function defined on  $X$  and  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f d\mu.$$

**Proof** Since  $X = E \cup (X \setminus E)$ , by Theorem 4.54, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \chi_E f d\mu &= \int_E \chi_E f d\mu + \int_{X \setminus E} \chi_E f d\mu \\ &= \int_E f d\mu + \int_{X \setminus E} 0 d\mu \\ &= \int_E f d\mu. \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

**4.56 Fatou's Lemma** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\delta$ -finite positive measure on a  $\delta$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f_n: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is measurable for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\int_X \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu.$$

Proof[9] For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$g_k(x) = \inf \{f_k(x), f_{k+1}(x), \dots\} = \inf_{i \geq k} f_i(x) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Then  $g_k \leq f_k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so for each  $k$

$$(*) \quad \int_X g_k d\mu \leq \int_X f_k d\mu.$$

Also, we have

$$g_1 \leq g_2 \leq g_3 \leq \dots,$$

and each  $g_k$  is measurable and by definition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{i \geq n} f_i(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Then, by Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_n d\mu = \int_X (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{i \geq n} f_i(x)) d\mu.$$

From (\*), we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \int_X g_n d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \int_X f_n d\mu.$$

Hence

$$\int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf f_n d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \int_X f_n d\mu. \quad \#$$

**4.57 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is measurable,

$$\int_X f d\mu < \infty \quad \text{and}$$

$$(1) \quad \varphi(E) = \int_E f d\mu$$

for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a finite positive measure on  $\mathcal{M}$  and if  $g: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is measurable, then

$$(2) \quad \int_X g d\varphi = \int_X g f d\mu.$$

Proof[9] Let  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  be disjoint members of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Then



$$\chi_E f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_{E_j} f,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(E) &= \int_E f d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f d\mu = \int_X \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \chi_{E_j} f d\mu = \\ & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_X \chi_{E_j} f d\mu \quad (\text{by Theorem 4.52}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_j} f d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi(E_j). \end{aligned}$$

Also,  $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is a positive measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Since  $\varphi(X) = \int_X f d\mu < \infty$ ,  $\varphi$  is a finite positive measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Next, (1) shows that (2) holds whenever  $g = \chi_E$  for some  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , i.e.,  $\int_X g d\varphi = \int_X \chi_E d\varphi = \int_E d\varphi = \varphi(E) = \int_E f d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f d\mu = \int_X g f d\mu$ .

Assume  $g$  is a simple measurable function. Then

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{E_i}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are distinct values of  $g$  and  $E_i = g^{-1}(\alpha_i)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X g d\varphi &= \int_X \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{E_i} d\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_X \chi_{E_i} d\varphi = \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_X \chi_{E_i} f d\mu = \int_X \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{E_i} \right) f d\mu = \int_X g f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $g$  is a measurable function. By Theorem 3.15, there exists a non decreasing sequence  $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of simple measurable functions such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . By Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X s_n d\varphi = \int_X g d\varphi$ . Since  $(s_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a non decreasing sequence and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s_n f)(x) = (gf)(x) \forall x \in X$ , by Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X s_n f d\mu = \int_X g f d\mu.$$

Hence

$$\int_X g d\psi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X s_n d\psi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X s_n f d\mu = \int_X g f d\mu \quad \#$$

4.58 Definition Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be a measurable function. For any measurable set  $E$ , we define

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f^+ d\mu - \int_E f^- d\mu$$

provided that at least one of the integrals on the right is finite. Let  $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be a measurable function. Then  $g = g_1 + ig_2 + jg_3 + kg_4$  for some real measurable functions  $g_i, i \leq 4$ .

For any measurable set  $E$ , we define

$$(*) \int_E g d\mu = \int_E g_1 d\mu + i \int_E g_2 d\mu + j \int_E g_3 d\mu + k \int_E g_4 d\mu$$

if  $\left| \int_E g_i d\mu \right| < \infty$  for all  $i \leq 4$ . Thus (\*) defines the integral on the left as a quaternion number.

4.59 Definition Let  $\mu$  be a quaternion measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Then  $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2 + j\mu_3 + k\mu_4$  for some real measures  $\mu_i, i \leq 4$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a real measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be measurable since  $|\lambda(X)| < \infty, \lambda^+(X) < \infty$  and  $\lambda^-(X) < \infty$ . We define integration with respect to a real measure  $\lambda$  by defining

$$\int_E f d\lambda = \int_E f d\lambda^+ - \int_E f d\lambda^- \quad (E \in \mathcal{M})$$

provided that at least one of the integrals on the right is finite.

Then we define integration with respect to a

quaternion measure  $\mu$  as before by defining

$$(*) \quad \int_E f d\mu = \int_E f d\mu_1 + i \int_E f d\mu_2 + j \int_E f d\mu_3 + k \int_E f d\mu_4 \quad (E \in \mathcal{M}).$$

if  $\left| \int_E f d\mu_{i'} \right| < \infty$  for all  $i' \leq 4$ . Thus (\*) defines the integral on the left as a quaternion number.

If  $f: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is measurable, then we define integration with respect to a quaternion measure  $\mu$  by defining

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f^+ d\mu - \int_E f^- d\mu \quad (E \in \mathcal{M})$$

if  $\int_E f^+ d\mu$  and  $\int_E f^- d\mu$  exist, so  $\int_E f d\mu$  is a quaternion number.

If  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is measurable, then there exist real measurable functions  $f_{i'}$ ,  $i' \leq 4$  such that

$$f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4.$$

We define the left integral of  $f$  with respect to a quaternion measure  $\mu$  by defining

$$\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f_1 d\mu + i \int_E f_2 d\mu + j \int_E f_3 d\mu + k \int_E f_4 d\mu \quad (E \in \mathcal{M})$$

if  $\int_E f_{i'} d\mu$  exists for all  $i' \leq 4$ , so  $\int_E f d\mu$  is a quaternion number.

Also, we define the right integral of  $f$  with respect to a quaternion measure  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\left[ \int_E (d\mu) f \right]$ , by defining

$$\left[ \int_E (d\mu) f \right] = \int_E f d\mu_1 + i \int_E f d\mu_2 + j \int_E f d\mu_3 + k \int_E f d\mu_4 \quad (E \in \mathcal{M}).$$

if  $\int_E f d\mu_{i'}$  exists for all  $i' \leq 4$ , so  $\left[ \int_E (d\mu) f \right]$  is a quaternion number.

Remarks: (1) Let  $\mu$  be a real measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $f$  be a quaternion measurable function defined on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $\int_E f d\mu$  and  $[\int_E (d\mu)f]$  exist, then

$$\int_E f d\mu = [\int_E (d\mu)f] .$$

(2) Let  $\mu$  be a quaternion measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$  and  $f$  a real measurable function defined on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $\int_E f d\mu$  and  $[\int_E (d\mu)f]$  exist, then

$$\int_E f d\mu = [\int_E (d\mu)f] .$$

(3) Let  $\mu$  be a quaternion measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$  and  $f$  a quaternion measurable function defined on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\int_E f d\mu$  and  $[\int_E (d\mu)f]$  exist. Then they may not be equal.

For example:

Let  $\mu'$  be a real measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Define  $\mu = i\mu'$ , so  $\mu$  is a quaternion measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $f'$  be a bounded real measurable function defined on  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Define  $f = jf'$ , so  $f$  is a bounded quaternion measurable function on  $E$ . We have

$$\int_E f d\mu = j \int_E f' d\mu = j(i \int_E f' d\mu') = -k \int_E f' d\mu'$$

and

$$[\int_E (d\mu)f] = i \int_E f d\mu' = i(j \int_E f' d\mu') = k \int_E f' d\mu',$$

so

$$\int_E f d\mu \neq [\int_E (d\mu)f] .$$

In this chapter, from now, an arbitrary measure means a  $\sigma$ -finite positive or a quaternion measure.

4.60 Definition Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Define

$$L^1(\mu) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / f \text{ is measurable and } \int_X |f| d\mu < \infty\}.$$

The members of  $L^1(\mu)$  are called Lebesgue integrable functions with respect to  $\mu$ .

4.61 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Then  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  iff  $\int_E f d\mu$  ( $[\int_E (d\mu)f]$ ), exists for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Proof Let  $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$  for some real measurable functions  $f_i$ ,  $i \leq 4$ , and let  $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2 + j\mu_3 + k\mu_4$  for some real measures  $\mu_i$ ,  $i \leq 4$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . To show that  $\int_E f_1 d\mu \in \mathbb{H}$ .

It suffices to show that  $\int_E f_1 d\mu_1$ ,  $\int_E f_1 d\mu_2$ ,  $\int_E f_1 d\mu_3$  and  $\int_E f_1 d\mu_4$  belong to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Claim that  $\int_E f_1 d\mu_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It suffices to prove that  $\int_E f_1^+ d\mu_1^+$ ,  $\int_E f_1^- d\mu_1^+$ ,  $\int_E f_1^+ d\mu_1^-$  and  $\int_E f_1^- d\mu_1^-$  belong to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $f_1^+ = |f_1^+| \leq |f_1| = \sqrt{(f_1)^2} \leq \sqrt{f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_3^2 + f_4^2} = |f|$ , we have  $f_1^+ \leq |f|$ . Similarly  $f_1^- \leq |f|$ .

Since  $\mu_1^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|\mu_1| + \mu_1)$  and  $|\mu_1|(E) \geq |\mu_1(E)|$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $|\mu_1^+(E)| = |\frac{1}{2}(|\mu_1|(E) + \mu_1(E))| \leq \frac{1}{2}(|\mu_1|(E) + |\mu_1(E)|) \leq \frac{1}{2}(|\mu_1|(E) + |\mu_1|(E)) = |\mu_1|(E)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Hence

$\mu_1^+ \leq |\mu_1|$ . Similarly,  $\mu_1^- \leq |\mu_1|$ . For each  $E \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu|(E) &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E'_i)| / (E'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is a partition of } E \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\mu_1(E'_i) + i\mu_2(E'_i) + j\mu_3(E'_i) + k\mu_4(E'_i)| / \right. \\ &\quad \left. (E'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is a partition of } E \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\mu_1(E'_i)| / (E'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is a partition of } E \right\} \\ = |\mu_1|(E).$$

Hence  $\mu_1^+ \leq |\mu_1| \leq \mu$  and  $\mu_1^- \leq |\mu_1| \leq \mu$ . Then

$$\int_E f_1^+ d\mu_1^+ \leq \int_E |f_1| d\mu_1^+ \leq \int_E |f_1| d\mu < \infty. \text{ Similarly, we have}$$

$$\int_E f_1^- d\mu_1^+, \int_E f_1^+ d\mu_1^- \text{ and } \int_E f_1^- d\mu_1^- \text{ are finite. So we have the}$$

$$\text{claim, i.e., } \int_E f_1 d\mu_1 \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ Similarly, } \int_E f_1 d\mu_2, \int_E f_1 d\mu_3$$

and  $\int_E f_1 d\mu_4$  belong to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence

$$\int_E f_1 d\mu = \int_E f_1 d\mu_1 + i \int_E f_1 d\mu_2 + j \int_E f_1 d\mu_3 + k \int_E f_1 d\mu_4 \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Similarly, we have  $\int_E f_2 d\mu, \int_E f_3 d\mu$  and  $\int_E f_4 d\mu$  belong to  $\mathbb{H}$ .

$$\text{Hence } \int_E f d\mu = \int_E f_1 d\mu + i \int_E f_2 d\mu + j \int_E f_3 d\mu + k \int_E f_4 d\mu \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Conversely, let  $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$  for some real measurable functions  $f_i, i \leq 4$ ;  $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2 + j\mu_3 + k\mu_4$  for some real measures  $\mu_i, i \leq 4$ , and assume that  $\int_E f d\mu$  exists for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . For  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

$$|\mu|(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)| / (E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is a partition of } E \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|\mu_1(E_n)| + |\mu_2(E_n)| + |\mu_3(E_n)| + |\mu_4(E_n)|) / \right. \\ \left. (E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is a partition of } E \right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^4 \left[ \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu_i(E_n)| / (E_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is a partition of } E \right\} \right]$$

$$= |\mu_1|(E) + |\mu_2|(E) + |\mu_3|(E) + |\mu_4|(E).$$

$$\text{Hence } \int_X |f| d|\mu| \leq \int_X |f| d(|\mu_1| + |\mu_2| + |\mu_3| + |\mu_4|)$$

$$= \int_X |f| d\mu_1 + \int_X |f| d\mu_2 + \int_X |f| d\mu_3 + \int_X |f| d\mu_4.$$

We must show that  $\int_X |f| d\mu_{i'} < \infty$  for all  $i' = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

This proves the theorem. To prove this, let  $i' \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

$$\text{since } \mu_{i'} = \mu_{i'}^+ + \mu_{i'}^-, \quad \int_X |f| d\mu_{i'} = \int_X |f| d\mu_{i'}^+ + \int_X |f| d\mu_{i'}^-.$$

$$\text{since } |f| = \sqrt{f_1^2 + f_2^2 + f_3^2 + f_4^2} \leq |f_1| + |f_2| + |f_3| + |f_4|,$$

$$\int_X |f| d\mu_{i'}^+ \leq \int_X |f_1| d\mu_{i'}^+ + \int_X |f_2| d\mu_{i'}^+ + \int_X |f_3| d\mu_{i'}^+ + \int_X |f_4| d\mu_{i'}^+.$$

$$\text{since } |f_1| = f_1^+ + f_1^-, \quad \int_X |f_1| d\mu_{i'}^+ = \int_X f_1^+ d\mu_{i'}^+ + \int_X f_1^- d\mu_{i'}^+.$$

But  $\int_X f_1^+ d\mu_{i'}^+$  and  $\int_X f_1^- d\mu_{i'}^+$  are finite because  $\int_X f d\mu$  exists,

so  $\int_X |f_1| d\mu_{i'}^+ < \infty$ . Similarly,  $\int_X |f_2| d\mu_{i'}^+$ ,  $\int_X |f_3| d\mu_{i'}^+$

and  $\int_X |f_4| d\mu_{i'}^+$  are finite. Hence  $\int_X |f| d\mu_{i'}^+ < \infty$ . Similarly,

$$\int_X |f| d\mu_{i'}^- < \infty. \quad \text{Thus } \int_X |f| d\mu_{i'} < \infty. \quad \#$$

**4.62 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $f, g: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  be measurable and  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\int_E f d\mu$  and  $\int_E g d\mu$  exist. Then

$$(a) \text{ If } f \leq g, \text{ then } \int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E g d\mu.$$

$$(b) \text{ For all } c \in \mathbb{R}, \int_E c f d\mu = c \int_E f d\mu.$$

$$(c) \text{ If } \mu(E) = 0, \text{ then } \int_E f d\mu = 0.$$

$$(d) \int_E f d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f d\mu.$$

Proof of (a)[9] Since  $f \leq g$ ,  $0 \leq f^+ \leq g^+$  and  $0 \leq g^- \leq f^-$ .



By Theorem 4.47,  $\int_E f^+ d\mu \leq \int_E g^+ d\mu$  and  $\int_E g^- d\mu \leq \int_E f^- d\mu$ , so  $-\int_E f^- d\mu \leq -\int_E g^- d\mu$ . It follows that  $\int_E f d\mu \leq \int_E g d\mu$ .

Proof of (b)[9] Case  $c \geq 0$  Since  $(cf)^+ = cf^+$  and  $(cf)^- = cf^-$ , by Theorem 4.50, we have  $c \int_E f d\mu = c(\int_E f^+ d\mu - \int_E f^- d\mu) = \int_E cf^+ d\mu - \int_E cf^- d\mu = \int_E (cf)^+ d\mu - \int_E (cf)^- d\mu = \int_E cf d\mu$ .

Case  $c < 0$  Since  $-c > 0$ ,  $(cf)^+ = ((-c)(-f))^+ = (-c)(-f)^+ = (-c)f^-$  and similarly,  $(cf)^- = (-c)f^+$ . Then, by Theorem 4.50,  $c \int_E f d\mu = c(\int_E f^+ d\mu - \int_E f^- d\mu) = (-c)(\int_E f^- d\mu - \int_E f^+ d\mu) = \int_E (-c)f^- d\mu - \int_E (-c)f^+ d\mu = \int_E (cf)^+ d\mu - \int_E (cf)^- d\mu = \int_E cf d\mu$ .

Proof of (c)[9] By Theorem 4.28, we have  $\int_E f^+ d\mu = 0 = \int_E f^- d\mu$ , hence  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ .

Proof of (d)[9] Since  $(\chi_E f)^+ = \chi_E f^+$  and  $(\chi_E f)^- = \chi_E f^-$ , by Theorem 4.55,  $\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f^+ d\mu - \int_E f^- d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f^+ d\mu - \int_X \chi_E f^- d\mu = \int_X (\chi_E f)^+ d\mu - \int_X (\chi_E f)^- d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f d\mu$ . #

**4.63 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then the following hold:

- (a) If  $f = 0$  on  $E$ , then  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ .
- (b) If  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ .
- (c)  $\int_E f d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f d\mu$ .



Proof Since  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,  $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$  for some real measurable functions  $f_1, \dots, f_4$ . To prove (a), let  $f = 0$  on  $E$ . Then  $f_1 = 0$  on  $E$  for all  $1 \leq 4$ , so by Theorem 4.49 it follows that  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ . To prove (b), let  $\mu(E) = 0$ . By Theorem 4.62 (c),  $\int_E f_1 d\mu = 0$  for all  $1 \leq 4$ , hence  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$ . To prove (c), we have  $\chi_E f = \chi_E f_1 + i(\chi_E f_2) + j(\chi_E f_3) + k(\chi_E f_4)$  and for each  $1 \leq 4$ ,  $\chi_E f_1: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . By Theorem 4.62(d) we have  $\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f_1 d\mu + i \int_E f_2 d\mu + j \int_E f_3 d\mu + k \int_E f_4 d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f_1 d\mu + i \int_X \chi_E f_2 d\mu + j \int_X \chi_E f_3 d\mu + k \int_X \chi_E f_4 d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f d\mu$ . #

**4.64 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a quaternion measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , then the following hold:

- (a) If  $f = 0$  on  $E$ , then  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$  ( $[\int_E (d\mu) f] = 0$ ).
- (b)  $\int_E f d\mu = \int_X \chi_E f d\mu$  ( $[\int_E (d\mu) f] = [\int_X (d\mu) (\chi_E f)]$ ).

Proof Let  $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$  for some real measurable functions  $f_1, \dots, f_4$  and  $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2 + j\mu_3 + k\mu_4$  for some real measures  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_4$ .

To prove (a), let  $f = 0$  on  $E$ . Claim that  $\int_E f_1 d\mu = 0$  for all  $1 \leq 4$ . Fix  $t \leq 4$ . By Theorem 4.63 (a),  $\int_E f_t d\mu_1^+ = 0 = \int_E f_t d\mu_1^-$  for all  $1 \leq 4$ . Hence  $\int_E f_t d\mu_1 = \int_E f_t d\mu_1^+ - \int_E f_t d\mu_1^- = 0$  for all  $1 \leq 4$ . Hence  $\int_E f_t d\mu = \int_E f_t d\mu_1 + i \int_E f_t d\mu_2 + j \int_E f_t d\mu_3 + k \int_E f_t d\mu_4 = 0$ . So we have the claim. Hence  $\int_E f d\mu = \int_E f_1 d\mu + i \int_E f_2 d\mu + j \int_E f_3 d\mu + k \int_E f_4 d\mu = 0$ .

To prove (b), we have  $\chi_{E^f} = \chi_{E^f_1} + i(\chi_{E^f_2}) + j(\chi_{E^f_3}) + k(\chi_{E^f_4})$ .

Claim  $\int_X (\chi_{E^f_1}) d\mu = \int_E f_1 d\mu$  for all  $i \leq 4$ . Fix  $t \leq 4$ . By

Theorem 4.63 (c),  $\int_X \chi_{E^f_t} d\mu_1^+ = \int_E f_t d\mu_1^+$  and  $\int_X \chi_{E^f_t} d\mu_1^- =$

$\int_E f_t d\mu_1^-$  for all  $i \leq 4$ . Hence  $\int_X \chi_{E^f_t} d\mu_1' = \int_X \chi_{E^f_t} d\mu_1^+ -$

$\int_X \chi_{E^f_t} d\mu_1^- = \int_E f_t d\mu_1^+ - \int_E f_t d\mu_1^- = \int_E f_t d\mu_1'$  for all  $i \leq 4$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \int_X \chi_{E^f_t} d\mu &= \int_X \chi_{E^f_t} d\mu_1 + i \int_X \chi_{E^f_t} d\mu_2 + j \int_X \chi_{E^f_t} d\mu_3 + \\ &\quad k \int_X \chi_{E^f_t} d\mu_4 \\ &= \int_E f_t d\mu_1 + i \int_E f_t d\mu_2 + j \int_E f_t d\mu_3 + k \int_E f_t d\mu_4 \\ &= \int_E f_t d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

So we have the claim. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \chi_{E^f} d\mu &= \int_X \chi_{E^f_1} d\mu + i \int_X \chi_{E^f_2} d\mu + j \int_X \chi_{E^f_3} d\mu + k \int_X \chi_{E^f_4} d\mu \\ &= \int_E f_1 d\mu + i \int_E f_2 d\mu + j \int_E f_3 d\mu + k \int_E f_4 d\mu \\ &= \int_E f d\mu. \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.65 Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem for a Quaternion

Measure. Let  $\mu$  be a quaternion measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an increasing sequence of non negative measurable functions

$$f_1 \leq f_2 \leq f_3 \leq \dots$$

defined on  $X$ . If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$$

and  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu .$$

Proof By assumption we have  $0 \leq f_n \leq f$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $|f_n| \leq |f|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that  $f_n \in L^1(\mu)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2 + j\mu_3 + k\mu_4$  for some real measures  $\mu_{i'}$ ,  $i' \leq 4$ . So  $\mu_{i'}^+$  and  $\mu_{i'}^-$  are bounded positive measures,  $i' \leq 4$ . By Theorem 4.51,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu_{i'}^+ = \int_X f d\mu_{i'}^+, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu_{i'}^- = \int_X f d\mu_{i'}^-$$

for all  $i' \leq 4$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d(\mu_{i'}^+ - \mu_{i'}^-) = \int_X f d(\mu_{i'}^+ - \mu_{i'}^-)$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu_{i'} = \int_X f d\mu_{i'}$$

for all  $i' \leq 4$ . By Theorem 1.31,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_X f_n d\mu_{1+i} + \int_X f_n d\mu_{2+j} + \int_X f_n d\mu_{3+k} + \int_X f_n d\mu_4 \right) \\ &= \int_X f d\mu_{1+i} + \int_X f d\mu_{2+j} + \int_X f d\mu_{3+k} + \int_X f d\mu_4 . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu . \quad \#$$

**4.66 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f, g \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $f, g: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , then  $f+g \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $\int_X (f+g) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu + \int_X g d\mu$ .

Proof Since  $|f+g| \leq |f| + |g|$ ,  $\int_X |f+g| d|\mu| \leq \int_X (|f| + |g|) d|\mu| = \int_X |f| d|\mu| + \int_X |g| d|\mu| < \infty$ , hence  $f+g \in L^1(\mu)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a real measure such that  $f, g \in L^1(\varphi)$ . Then  $f+g \in L^1(\varphi)$ . Hence  $\int_X (f+g) d\varphi = \int_X (f+g) d\varphi^+ - \int_X (f+g) d\varphi^- =$

$$\int_X f d\varphi^+ + \int_X g d\varphi^+ - \int_X f d\varphi^- - \int_X g d\varphi^- = \left( \int_X f d\varphi^+ - \int_X f d\varphi^- \right) +$$

$$\left( \int_X g d\varphi^+ - \int_X g d\varphi^- \right) = \int_X f d\varphi + \int_X g d\varphi. \quad \text{Since } \mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2 + j\mu_3$$

+k\mu\_4 for some real measures \mu\_i for all i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.

$$\int_X (f+g) d\mu = \int_X (f+g) d\mu_1 + i \int_X (f+g) d\mu_2 + j \int_X (f+g) d\mu_3 + k \int_X (f+g) d\mu_4$$

$$= \int_X f d\mu_1 + \int_X g d\mu_1 + i \left( \int_X f d\mu_2 + \int_X g d\mu_2 \right) +$$

$$j \left( \int_X f d\mu_3 + \int_X g d\mu_3 \right) + k \left( \int_X f d\mu_4 + \int_X g d\mu_4 \right)$$

$$= \int_X f d\mu + \int_X g d\mu. \quad \#$$

**4.67 Theorem** Let \mu be a quaternion measure on a \sigma-algebra \mathcal{M} in X. Let f\_n: X \to [0, \infty] be measurable functions for all n \in \mathbb{N}. If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$$

for all x \in X and f \in L^1(\mu), then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu.$$

Proof By hypotheses we have f\_n \leq f for all n \in \mathbb{N}, so f\_n \in L^1(\mu) for all n \in \mathbb{N}. For each k \in \mathbb{N}, let

$$g_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^k f_n(x)$$

for all x \in X. Hence (g\_k)\_{k \in \mathbb{N}} is an increasing sequence of non negative measurable functions and \lim\_{k \to \infty} g\_k(x) = f(x) for

all x \in X. By Lebesgue's Monotone Convergence Theorem, we

have

$$\int_X f d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_X g_k d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_X \sum_{n=1}^k f_n d\mu = \lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^k \int_X f_n d\mu$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_X f_n d\mu. \quad \#$$

4.68 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f, g \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $f, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ , then  $f+g \in L^1(\mu)$  and

$$\int_X (f+g) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu + \int_X g d\mu .$$

$$\left( \int_X (d\mu)(f+g) \right) = \left[ \int_X (d\mu) f \right] + \left[ \int_X (d\mu) g \right] .$$

Proof Since  $|f+g| \leq |f| + |g|$ ,  $\int_X |f+g| d\mu \leq$

$$\int_X (|f| + |g|) d\mu = \int_X |f| d\mu + \int_X |g| d\mu < \infty , \text{ hence } f+g \in L^1(\mu) .$$

Let  $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$  and  $g = g_1 + ig_2 + jg_3 + kg_4$  for some real measurable functions  $f'_i, g'_i$  for all  $i \leq 4$ . Let  $h_1 = f_1 + g_1$ , so  $h_1$  is a real measurable function and  $h_1^+ - h_1^- = f_1^+ - f_1^- + g_1^+ - g_1^-$ , hence  $h_1^+ + f_1^- + g_1^- = h_1^- + f_1^+ + g_1^+$ . By Theorem 4.66, we get that

$$\int_X h_1^+ d\mu + \int_X f_1^- d\mu + \int_X g_1^- d\mu = \int_X h_1^- d\mu + \int_X f_1^+ d\mu + \int_X g_1^+ d\mu .$$

It follows that  $\int_X h_1 d\mu = \int_X f_1 d\mu + \int_X g_1 d\mu$ , i.e.,  $\int_X (f_1 + g_1) d\mu = \int_X f_1 d\mu + \int_X g_1 d\mu$ . Similarly, we have  $\int_X (f'_i + g'_i) d\mu = \int_X f'_i d\mu + \int_X g'_i d\mu$  for all  $i = 2, 3, 4$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X (f+g) d\mu &= \int_X (f_1 + g_1) d\mu + i \int_X (f_2 + g_2) d\mu + j \int_X (f_3 + g_3) d\mu + \\ &\quad k \int_X (f_4 + g_4) d\mu \\ &= \left( \int_X f_1 d\mu + \int_X g_1 d\mu \right) + i \left( \int_X f_2 d\mu + \int_X g_2 d\mu \right) + \\ &\quad j \left( \int_X f_3 d\mu + \int_X g_3 d\mu \right) + k \left( \int_X f_4 d\mu + \int_X g_4 d\mu \right) \\ &= \int_X f d\mu + \int_X g d\mu . \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

Remarks: Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ .

(1) If  $f_i \in L^1(\mu)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then

$$\int_X (f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n) d\mu = \int_X f_1 d\mu + \dots + \int_X f_n d\mu.$$

$$\left( \int_X (d\mu) (f_1 + f_2 + \dots + f_n) \right) = \left( \int_X (d\mu) f_1 \right) + \dots + \left( \int_X (d\mu) f_n \right).$$

(2) Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ .

Then

$$\int_{A \cup B} f d\mu = \int_A f d\mu + \int_B f d\mu.$$

$$\left( \int_{A \cup B} (d\mu) f \right) = \left( \int_A (d\mu) f \right) + \left( \int_B (d\mu) f \right).$$

Proof of (2) Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $\chi_{A \cup B} f = \chi_A f + \chi_B f$ .

Then, by Theorem 4.64 (b) and Theorem 4.68,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A \cup B} f d\mu &= \int_X \chi_{A \cup B} f d\mu = \int_X (\chi_A f + \chi_B f) d\mu \\ &= \int_X \chi_A f d\mu + \int_X \chi_B f d\mu \\ &= \int_A f d\mu + \int_B f d\mu. \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

**4.69 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Suppose  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and

$$(1) \quad \varphi(E) = \int_E f d\mu \quad (E \in \mathcal{M}).$$

Then  $\varphi$  is a quaternion measure on  $\mathcal{M}$  and if  $g \in L^1(\mu)$ , then

$$(2) \quad \int_X g d\varphi = \int_X g f d\mu \quad \left( \int_X (d\varphi) g \right) = \int_X f g d\mu.$$

Proof Assume  $f: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ , so  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  belong to  $L^1(\mu)$  and  $\varphi(E) = \int_E f d\mu = \int_E f^+ d\mu - \int_E f^- d\mu$ . By Theorem 4.57,  $\int_E f^+ d\mu$  and  $\int_E f^- d\mu$  are finite positive measures. Then

$\varphi$  is a real measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $g \in L^1(\mu)$  be such that

$g: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ . Since  $gf = (g^+ - g^-)(f^+ - f^-) = g^+f^+ - g^-f^+ - g^+f^- + g^-f^-$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X gfd\mu &= \int_X g^+f^+d\mu - \int_X g^-f^+d\mu - \int_X g^+f^-d\mu + \int_X g^-f^-d\mu \\ &= \int_X g^+d\varphi_1 - \int_X g^-d\varphi_1 - \int_X g^+d\varphi_2 + \int_X g^-d\varphi_2 \end{aligned}$$

(by Theorem 4.57, let  $\varphi_1(E) = \int_E f^+d\mu$ ,  $\varphi_2(E) = \int_E f^-d\mu$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ )

$$= \int_X g^+d\varphi_1 - \int_X g^-d\varphi_2$$

$$= \int_X gd\varphi \quad (\text{since } \varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2).$$

Next, let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ . Then  $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$  for some real measurable functions  $f_i$ ,  $i \leq 4$ . Then  $\varphi(E) = \int_E fd\mu = \int_E f_1d\mu + i \int_E f_2d\mu + j \int_E f_3d\mu + k \int_E f_4d\mu$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . For each  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , let  $\int_E f_1d\mu = \varphi_1(E)$ ,  $\int_E f_2d\mu = \varphi_2(E)$ ,  $\int_E f_3d\mu = \varphi_3(E)$  and  $\int_E f_4d\mu = \varphi_4(E)$ . so  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$  and  $\varphi_4$  are real measures on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $\varphi(E) = \varphi_1(E) + i\varphi_2(E) + j\varphi_3(E) + k\varphi_4(E)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , i.e.,  $\varphi$  is a quaternion measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

Let  $g \in L^1(\mu)$ . Then  $g = g_1 + ig_2 + jg_3 + kg_4$  for some real measurable functions  $g_i$ ,  $i \leq 4$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} gf &= (g_1f_1 - g_2f_2 - g_3f_3 - g_4f_4) + i(g_1f_2 + g_2f_1 + g_3f_4 - g_4f_3) + \\ &\quad j(g_1f_3 + g_3f_1 + g_4f_2 - g_2f_4) + k(g_1f_4 + g_4f_1 + g_2f_3 - g_3f_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X gfd\mu &= \int_X (g_1f_1 - g_2f_2 - g_3f_3 - g_4f_4)d\mu + i \int_X (g_1f_2 + g_2f_1 + g_3f_4 - g_4f_3)d\mu \\ &\quad + j \int_X (g_1f_3 + g_3f_1 + g_4f_2 - g_2f_4)d\mu + k \int_X (g_1f_4 + g_4f_1 + g_2f_3 - g_3f_2)d\mu \\ &= \left( \int_X g_1f_1d\mu - \int_X g_2f_2d\mu - \int_X g_3f_3d\mu - \int_X g_4f_4d\mu \right) \\ &\quad + i \left( \int_X g_1f_2d\mu + \int_X g_2f_1d\mu + \int_X g_3f_4d\mu - \int_X g_4f_3d\mu \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +j \left( \int_X g_1 f_3 d\mu + \int_X g_3 f_1 d\mu + \int_X g_4 f_2 d\mu - \int_X g_2 f_4 d\mu \right) \\
& +k \left( \int_X g_1 f_4 d\mu + \int_X g_4 f_1 d\mu + \int_X g_2 f_3 d\mu - \int_X g_3 f_2 d\mu \right) \\
& = \left( \int_X g_1 d\varrho_1 - \int_X g_2 d\varrho_2 - \int_X g_3 d\varrho_3 - \int_X g_4 d\varrho_4 \right) \\
& +i \left( \int_X g_1 d\varrho_2 + \int_X g_2 d\varrho_1 + \int_X g_3 d\varrho_4 - \int_X g_4 d\varrho_3 \right) \\
& +j \left( \int_X g_1 d\varrho_3 + \int_X g_3 d\varrho_1 + \int_X g_4 d\varrho_2 - \int_X g_2 d\varrho_4 \right) \\
& +k \left( \int_X g_1 d\varrho_4 + \int_X g_4 d\varrho_1 + \int_X g_2 d\varrho_3 - \int_X g_3 d\varrho_2 \right) .
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X g d\varphi &= \int_X g_1 d\varphi + i \int_X g_2 d\varphi + j \int_X g_3 d\varphi + k \int_X g_4 d\varphi \\
&= \int_X g_1 d\varrho_1 + i \int_X g_1 d\varrho_2 + j \int_X g_1 d\varrho_3 + k \int_X g_1 d\varrho_4 \\
&+i \left( \int_X g_2 d\varrho_1 + i \int_X g_2 d\varrho_2 + j \int_X g_2 d\varrho_3 + k \int_X g_2 d\varrho_4 \right) \\
&+j \left( \int_X g_3 d\varrho_1 + i \int_X g_3 d\varrho_2 + j \int_X g_3 d\varrho_3 + k \int_X g_3 d\varrho_4 \right) \\
&+k \left( \int_X g_4 d\varrho_1 + i \int_X g_4 d\varrho_2 + j \int_X g_4 d\varrho_3 + k \int_X g_4 d\varrho_4 \right) \\
&= \left( \int_X g_1 d\varrho_1 - \int_X g_2 d\varrho_2 - \int_X g_3 d\varrho_3 - \int_X g_4 d\varrho_4 \right) \\
&+i \left( \int_X g_1 d\varrho_2 + \int_X g_2 d\varrho_1 + \int_X g_3 d\varrho_4 - \int_X g_4 d\varrho_3 \right) \\
&+j \left( \int_X g_1 d\varrho_3 - \int_X g_2 d\varrho_4 + \int_X g_3 d\varrho_1 + \int_X g_4 d\varrho_2 \right) \\
&+k \left( \int_X g_1 d\varrho_4 + \int_X g_2 d\varrho_3 - \int_X g_3 d\varrho_2 + \int_X g_4 d\varrho_1 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_X g d\varphi = \int_X g f d\mu \quad \#$$

**4.70 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f, g \in L^1(\mu)$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}$ , then  $\alpha f + \beta g \in L^1(\mu)$  and

$$(1) \quad \int_X (\alpha f + \beta g) d\mu = \alpha \int_X f d\mu + \beta \int_X g d\mu .$$

$$\left( \int_X (d\mu) (f\alpha + g\beta) \right) = \left[ \int_X (d\mu) f \right] \alpha + \left[ \int_X (d\mu) g \right] \beta .$$



Proof We have  $\alpha f + \beta g$  is measurable. Since  $|\alpha f + \beta g| \leq |\alpha||f| + |\beta||g|$ ,  $\int_X |\alpha f + \beta g| d\mu \leq \int_X |\alpha||f| d\mu + \int_X |\beta||g| d\mu < \infty$  hence  $\alpha f + \beta g \in L^1(\mu)$ .

To prove (1), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\int_X (f+g) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu + \int_X g d\mu \text{ and } \int_X \alpha f d\mu = \alpha \int_X f d\mu .$$

By Theorem 4.68, we have  $\int_X (f+g) d\mu = \int_X f d\mu + \int_X g d\mu$  .

Let  $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$  for some real measurable functions

$f_1, 1 \leq 4$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\int_X \alpha f d\mu = \int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu + i \int_X \alpha f_2 d\mu +$

$j \int_X \alpha f_3 d\mu + k \int_X \alpha f_4 d\mu$  . Claim that  $\int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu = \alpha \int_X f_1 d\mu$  .

To prove this, let  $\mu_1, 1 \leq 4$  be real measures such that

$\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2 + j\mu_3 + k\mu_4$  . Then

$$\int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu_1 = \int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu_1^+ - \int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu_1^- = \alpha \int_X f_1 d\mu_1^+ - \alpha \int_X f_1 d\mu_1^-$$

(by Theorem 4.62 (b)) =  $\alpha \int_X f_1 d\mu_1$  . Similarly,  $\int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu_2 =$

$\alpha \int_X f_1 d\mu_2$ ,  $\int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu_3 = \alpha \int_X f_1 d\mu_3$  and  $\int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu_4 = \alpha \int_X f_1 d\mu_4$  .

Hence  $\int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu = \int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu_1 + i \int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu_2 + j \int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu_3 + k \int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu_4$

$$= \alpha \int_X f_1 d\mu_1 + \alpha i \int_X f_1 d\mu_2 + \alpha j \int_X f_1 d\mu_3 + \alpha k \int_X f_1 d\mu_4 =$$

$$\alpha \left( \int_X f_1 d\mu_1 + i \int_X f_1 d\mu_2 + j \int_X f_1 d\mu_3 + k \int_X f_1 d\mu_4 \right) = \alpha \int_X f_1 d\mu .$$

So we have the claim. Similarly,  $\int_X \alpha f_1' d\mu = \alpha \int_X f_1' d\mu$

for all  $1' = 2, 3, 4$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \alpha f d\mu &= \int_X \alpha f_1 d\mu + i \int_X \alpha f_2 d\mu + j \int_X \alpha f_3 d\mu + k \int_X \alpha f_4 d\mu \\ &= \alpha \int_X f_1 d\mu + \alpha i \int_X f_2 d\mu + \alpha j \int_X f_3 d\mu + \alpha k \int_X f_4 d\mu \\ &= \alpha \int_X f d\mu . \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha = \alpha_1 + i\alpha_2 + j\alpha_3 + k\alpha_4$  for some  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $i \leq 4$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X ifd\mu &= \int_X (if_1 - f_2 + kf_3 - jf_4) d\mu \\ &= i \int_X f_1 d\mu - \int_X f_2 d\mu + k \int_X f_3 d\mu - j \int_X f_4 d\mu \\ &= i \left( \int_X f_1 d\mu + i \int_X f_2 d\mu + j \int_X f_3 d\mu + k \int_X f_4 d\mu \right) \\ &= i \int_X f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have  $\int_X jfd\mu = j \int_X f d\mu$  and  $\int_X kfd\mu = k \int_X f d\mu$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \alpha f d\mu &= \int_X (\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2 + j\alpha_3 + k\alpha_4) f d\mu \\ &= \int_X \alpha_1 f d\mu + \int_X i\alpha_2 f d\mu + \int_X j\alpha_3 f d\mu + \int_X k\alpha_4 f d\mu \\ &= \alpha_1 \int_X f d\mu + i \int_X \alpha_2 f d\mu + j \int_X \alpha_3 f d\mu + k \int_X \alpha_4 f d\mu \\ &= \alpha_1 \int_X f d\mu + i\alpha_2 \int_X f d\mu + j\alpha_3 \int_X f d\mu + k\alpha_4 \int_X f d\mu \\ &= \alpha \int_X f d\mu. \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

**4.71 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ , then

$$\left| \int_X f d\mu \right| \leq \int_X |f| d\mu.$$

Proof Let  $h = \int_X f d\mu$ , then  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ . Let

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h = 0, \\ \frac{|h|}{h} & \text{if } h \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(*) \quad \alpha h = |h| \quad \text{and} \quad |\alpha| = 1.$$

Let  $\alpha f = g_1 + ig_2 + jg_3 + kg_4$  for some real measurable functions

$$g_i, \quad i \leq 4. \quad \text{Then} \quad \int_X g_1 d\mu + i \int_X g_2 d\mu + j \int_X g_3 d\mu + k \int_X g_4 d\mu =$$

$$\int_X \alpha f d\mu = \alpha \int_X f d\mu = \left| \int_X f d\mu \right| \quad (\text{From } (*)). \quad \text{Hence}$$

$$0 \leq \left| \int_X f d\mu \right| = \int_X g_1 d\mu \leq \int_X |\alpha f| d\mu \quad (\text{since } g_1 \leq |\alpha f| \text{ and}$$

Theorem 4.62 (a)) =  $\int_X |f| d\mu$ . Therefore

$$\left| \int_X f d\mu \right| \leq \int_X |f| d\mu \quad \#$$

#### 4.72 Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem

Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $f_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be measurable for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . If there exists a function  $g \in L^1(\mu)$  such that

$$|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $x \in X$ , then

- (i)  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu = 0$ , and
- (iii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ .

Proof [9] Since  $|f_n| \leq g$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|f| \leq g$ .

Since  $f$  is measurable,  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . This proves (i). To

prove (ii), for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $|f_n - f| \leq |f_n| + |f| \leq 2g$ .

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n - f|(x) = 0$  for

all  $x \in X$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2g - |f_n - f|)(x) = 2g(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X 2g d\mu &= \int_X \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2g - |f_n - f|) d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (2g - |f_n - f|) d\mu \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_X 2g d\mu - \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu \right) \\ &= \int_X 2g d\mu - \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_X 2g d\mu < \infty$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu \leq 0$ . By Note 1.34.3,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu = 0$ . This proves (ii).

To prove (iii), by Theorem 4.71,  $0 \leq \left| \int_X (f_n - f) d\mu \right| \leq$

$\int_X |f_n - f| d\mu$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu = 0$ ,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_X (f_n - f) d\mu \right| = 0$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_X f_n d\mu - \int_X f d\mu \right| = 0$ , so

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ . This proves (iii). #

#### 4.73 Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem

Let  $\mu$  be a quaternion measure on a  $\delta$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $f_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be measurable for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . If there is a function  $g \in L^1(\mu)$  such that

$$|f_n(x)| \leq g(x)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $x \in X$ , then

$$(i) f \in L^1(\mu),$$

$$(ii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(iii) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$$

$$\left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \int_X (d\mu) f_n \right] = \left[ \int_X (d\mu) f \right] \right).$$

Proof By Theorem 4.72 shows that (i) holds. Let

$f = a + ib + jc + kd$  for some real measurable functions  $a, b, c$  and

$d$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_n = a_n + ib_n + jc_n + kd_n$  for some real measurable functions  $a_n, b_n, c_n$  and  $d_n$ . Then for all  $x \in X$

$$a(x) + ib(x) + jc(x) + kd(x) = f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) =$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n(x) + ib_n(x) + jc_n(x) + kd_n(x)). \text{ Hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(x) = a(x),$$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n(x) = b(x)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n(x) = c(x)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(x) = d(x)$  for

all  $x \in X$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|a_n| \leq |f_n| \leq g$ ,  $|b_n| \leq |f_n| \leq g$ ,

$|c_n| \leq |f_n| \leq g$  and  $|d_n| \leq |f_n| \leq g$ . Let  $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2 + j\mu_3 + k\mu_4$  for some real measures  $\mu_l$ ,  $l \leq 4$ . By Theorem 4.72,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |a_n - a| d\mu_1^+ = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |b_n - b| d\mu_1^+ = 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |c_n - c| d\mu_1^+ = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |d_n - d| d\mu_1^+ = 0$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n - f| &= |a_n + ib_n + jc_n + kd_n - (a + ib + jc + kd)| \\ &= |(a_n - a) + i(b_n - b) + j(c_n - c) + k(d_n - d)| \\ &\leq |a_n - a| + |b_n - b| + |c_n - c| + |d_n - d|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu_1^+ = 0$ . Similarly,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu_1^- = 0$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu_1^+ - \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu_1^- \right) = 0$ .

Similarly, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu_{l'} = 0$ ,  $l' = 2, 3, 4$ . Since

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\int_X |f_n - f| d\mu = \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu_1 + i \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu_2 + j \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu_3 + k \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu_4$ , we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu = 0$ .

This proves (ii)

Next, we shall prove (iii). By Theorem 4.72, we have

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X a_n d\mu_1^+ = \int_X a d\mu_1^+$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X a_n d\mu_1^- = \int_X a d\mu_1^-$ . Then

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X a_n d\mu_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_X a_n d\mu_1^+ - \int_X a_n d\mu_1^- \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X a_n d\mu_1^+ -$

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X a_n d\mu_1^- = \int_X a d\mu_1^+ - \int_X a d\mu_1^- = \int_X a d\mu_1$ . Similarly,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X a_n d\mu_{l'} = \int_X a d\mu_{l'}$  for all  $l' = 2, 3, 4$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X a_n d\mu &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_X a_n d\mu_1 + i \int_X a_n d\mu_2 + j \int_X a_n d\mu_3 + k \int_X a_n d\mu_4 \right) \\ &= \int_X a d\mu_1 + i \int_X a d\mu_2 + j \int_X a d\mu_3 + k \int_X a d\mu_4 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_X a d\mu.$$

Similarly, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X b_n d\mu = \int_X b d\mu$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X c_n d\mu = \int_X c d\mu$

and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X d_n d\mu = \int_X d d\mu$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_X a_n d\mu + i \int_X b_n d\mu + j \int_X c_n d\mu + k \int_X d_n d\mu \right) \\ &= \int_X a d\mu + i \int_X b d\mu + j \int_X c d\mu + k \int_X d d\mu \\ &= \int_X f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (iii). #

**4.74 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ .

(a) If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $\int_E f d\mu = 0$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ,

then  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $X$ .

(b) If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $|\int_X f d\mu| = \int_X |f| d\mu$ , then there

exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$  such that  $\alpha f = |f|$  a.e. on  $X$ .

Proof of (a) Put  $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$  for some real measurable functions  $f_i$ ,  $i \leq 4$ . Let  $E = \{x \in X / f_1(x) \geq 0\}$ . Then  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , so  $0 = \int_E f d\mu = \int_E f_1 d\mu + i \int_E f_2 d\mu + j \int_E f_3 d\mu + k \int_E f_4 d\mu$ , hence  $\int_E f_1 d\mu = 0$  for all  $i \leq 4$ . But  $0 = \int_E f_1 d\mu = \int_E f_1^+ d\mu$  (since  $f_1 = f_1^+$  on  $E$ ). By Theorem 4.48,  $f_1^+ = 0$  a.e.

on  $E$ . Since  $f_1 < 0$  on  $E^c$ ,  $f_1^+ = 0$  on  $E^c$ . Then  $f_1^+ = 0$  a.e.

on  $X$ . Similarly,  $f_1^- = f_2^+ = f_2^- = f_3^+ = f_3^- = f_4^+ = f_4^- = 0$  a.e.

on  $X$ . Hence  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $X$ .

Proof of (b) Let  $h = \int_X f d\mu$ , so  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ . Let

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h = 0, \\ \frac{|h|}{h} & \text{if } h \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $|\alpha| = 1$  and  $\alpha h = |h|$ . Let  $\alpha f = g_1 + ig_2 + jg_3 + kg_4$  for some real measurable functions  $g_i, i \leq 4$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X g_1 d\mu + i \int_X g_2 d\mu + j \int_X g_3 d\mu + k \int_X g_4 d\mu &= \int_X \alpha f d\mu = \alpha \int_X f d\mu \\ &= \left| \int_X f d\mu \right| = \int_X |f| d\mu \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $\int_X (|f| - g_1) d\mu = 0$ . Since  $|f| = |\alpha f| \geq g_1$ ,  $|f| - g_1 \geq 0$ . By Theorem 4.48,  $|f| = g_1$  a.e. on  $X$ . Then  $|\alpha f| = g_1$  a.e. on  $X$ . Hence  $\alpha f = g_1$  a.e. on  $X$ . Hence  $\alpha f = |f|$  a.e. on  $X$ . #

**4.75 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Suppose  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,  $S$  is a closed set in  $\mathbb{H}$ , and the averages

$$A_E(f) = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f d\mu$$

lie in  $S$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(E) > 0$ . Then  $f(x) \in S$  for almost all  $x \in X$ .

Proof Let  $\alpha \in S^c$ . Since  $S$  is closed, there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $\overline{B(\alpha; r)} \subseteq S^c$ . Let  $E = f^{-1}(\overline{B(\alpha; r)})$ , so  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  suppose  $\mu(E) > 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |A_E(f) - \alpha| &= \left| \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f d\mu - \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E \alpha d\mu \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \left| \int_E f d\mu - \int_E \alpha d\mu \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \left| \int_E (f - \alpha) d\mu \right| \quad (\text{by Theorem 4.70 and } \mu(X) < \infty) \\ (*) &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E |f - \alpha| d\mu \quad (\text{by Theorem 4.71}). \end{aligned}$$

For each  $x \in E$ ,  $|f(x) - \alpha| \leq r$ , i.e.,  $0 \leq |f - \alpha| \leq r$  on  $E$ .

Then  $\int_E |f - \alpha| d\mu \leq \int_E r d\mu = r\mu(E)$ . From (\*), we have

$|A_E(f) - \alpha| \leq r$  which is impossible since  $A_E(f) \in S$ . Hence  $\mu(E) = 0$ .

This proves that every closed ball  $\bar{B} \subseteq S^c$ ,  $\mu(f^{-1}(\bar{B})) = 0$ . By Theorem 1.30,  $S^c$  is a countable union of closed balls contained in  $S^c$ . We have that  $\mu(f^{-1}(S^c)) = 0$  and  $f(X \setminus f^{-1}(S^c)) \subseteq S$ . #

**4.76 Theorem** Let  $X$  be a locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact Hausdorff space, and let  $\Lambda$  be a positive left(right) linear functional on  $C_c(X)$  over  $\mathbb{H}$ . Then there exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$  which contains all Borel sets in  $X$ , and there exists a unique  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  which represents  $\Lambda$  in the sense that

$$(a) \quad \Lambda f = \int_X f d\mu \quad \text{for every } f \in C_c(X), \text{ and which has}$$

the following additional properties:

$$(b) \quad \mu(K) < \infty \quad \text{for every compact set } K \subseteq X.$$

(c) For every  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have

$$\mu(E) = \inf \{ \mu(V) / E \subseteq V, V \text{ open} \}$$

(d) The relation

$$\mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(K) / K \subseteq E, K \text{ compact} \}$$

holds for every open set  $E$ , and for every  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(E) < \infty$ .

(e) If  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A \subseteq E$ , and  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Proof Uniqueness of  $\mu$  Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be  $\sigma$ -finite positive measures on  $\mathcal{M}$  for which the theorem holds. First



we shall show that  $\mu_1(K) = \mu_2(K)$  for every compact set  $K$  of  $X$ . Let  $K$  be a compact set of  $X$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given.

By (b) and (c), there exists an open set  $V \supseteq K$  such that

$$\mu_2(V) < \mu_2(K) + \varepsilon.$$

By Urysohn's Lemma, there exists  $f \in C_c(X)$  such that

$$K \subset f \subset V.$$

Then  $\chi_K \leq f \leq \chi_V$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1(K) &= \int_X \chi_K d\mu_1 \leq \int_X f d\mu_1 = \int_X f d\mu_2 \\ &\leq \int_X \chi_V d\mu_2 = \mu_2(V) < \mu_2(K) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is an arbitrary,  $\mu_1(K) \leq \mu_2(K)$ . By interchanging the roles of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ , we obtain  $\mu_2(K) \leq \mu_1(K)$ . Hence  $\mu_1(K) = \mu_2(K)$ . It follows by (d) that  $\mu_1(E) = \mu_2(E)$  for every open set  $E$  of  $X$ . Hence by (c),  $\mu_1(E) = \mu_2(E)$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ .

### Construction of $\mu$ and $\mathcal{M}$

For every open set  $V$  in  $X$ , define

$$(1) \quad \mu(V) = \sup\{\int f d\mu / f \in C_c(X) \text{ and } f \subset V\}.$$

From (1), if  $V_1, V_2$  are open sets such that  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ , then  $\mu(V_1) \leq \mu(V_2)$ . Hence, if  $E \subseteq X$  is open,

$$\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) / E \subseteq V, V \text{ open}\}.$$

For  $E \subseteq X$ , define

$$(2) \quad \mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) / E \subseteq V, V \text{ open}\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}_\mu =$  the class of all  $E \subseteq X$  such that

$$\mu(E) < \infty$$

and

$$(3) \quad \mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(K) / K \subseteq E, K \text{ compact} \}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the class of all  $E \subseteq X$  such that  $E \cap K \in \mathcal{M}_F$  for every compact set  $K$  of  $X$ . Observe that

(i) If  $A, B \subseteq X$  are such that  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ .

(ii) If  $E \subseteq X$  and  $\mu(E) = 0$ , then  $E \in \mathcal{M}_F$  and  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Thus (e) holds. By the definition of  $\mu$ , (c) holds. If  $f \leq g$  in  $C_c(X)$ , then  $\Lambda g = \Lambda f + \Lambda(g-f) \geq \Lambda f$  since  $\Lambda(g-f) \geq 0$ .

Claim I If  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  are arbitrary subsets of  $X$ , then

$$(4) \quad \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

To prove this, let  $V_1, V_2$  be open sets in  $X$ . Let  $g \in C_c(X)$  be such that  $g \ll V_1 \cup V_2$ . By Theorem 1.41, there exist  $h_1, h_2 \in C_c(X)$  such that  $h_i \ll V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $h_1(x) + h_2(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \text{support } g$ . Hence  $gh_i \ll V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  (since  $\{x \in X / g(x)h_i(x) \neq 0\} \subseteq \{x \in X / h_i(x) \neq 0\}$ ) and  $g = gh_1 + gh_2$ . Thus  $\Lambda g = \Lambda(gh_1) + \Lambda(gh_2) \leq \mu(V_1) + \mu(V_2)$  (from (1)). This proves that  $\mu(V_1 \cup V_2) \leq \mu(V_1) + \mu(V_2)$ . By induction, if  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  are open in  $X$ , then

$$\mu(V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_n) \leq \mu(V_1) + \dots + \mu(V_n).$$

If  $\mu(E_i) = \infty$  for some  $i$ , (4) holds clearly. Suppose  $\mu(E_i) < \infty$  for all  $i$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By (2), for each  $i$  there exists an open set  $V_i$  such that  $V_i \supseteq E_i$  and

$$\mu(V_i) < \mu(E_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}.$$

Put  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$ . Let  $f \in C_c(X)$  be such that  $f \ll V$ . Since  $\text{support } f \subseteq V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{support } f \subseteq V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$ . Hence

$$\Lambda f \leq \mu(V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n) \leq \mu(V_1) + \dots + \mu(V_n)$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu(E_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}) < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) + \varepsilon .$$

Hence

$$\mu(V) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) + \varepsilon .$$

But  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \subseteq V$ , so  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \mu(V)$ . Hence  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) + \varepsilon$ . This holds for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , thus  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$ , so we have claim I.

Claim II  $\mathcal{M}_F$  contains every compact set. Hence (b) holds.

To prove this, let  $K$  be a compact set. Let  $f \in C_c(X)$  be such that  $K \prec f$  (use Urysohn's Lemma). Let  $V = \{x \in X / f(x) > \frac{1}{2}\}$ .

Then  $K \subseteq V$  and  $g \leq 2f$  for all  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that  $g \prec V$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(K) &\leq \mu(V) = \sup\{\Lambda g / g \in C_c(X) \text{ such that } g \prec V\} \\ &\leq \Lambda 2f = 2\Lambda f < \infty \quad (\text{since } \Lambda f \in \mathbb{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $K$  satisfies (3). So  $K \in \mathcal{M}_F$  and we have claim II.

Claim III Every open set satisfies (3). Hence  $\mathcal{M}_F$  contains

every open set  $V$  with  $\mu(V) < \infty$ . To prove this, let  $V$  be

an open set. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\alpha < \mu(V)$ . There exists

an  $f \in C_c(X)$  such that  $f \prec V$  with  $\alpha < \Lambda f$  (since  $\alpha$  is not an

upper bounded of  $\{\Lambda f / f \in C_c(X) \text{ and } f \prec V\}$ ). If  $W$  is any

open set containing support  $f$ , then  $f \prec W$ , hence  $\Lambda f \leq \mu(W)$ .

Thus by (2),

$$\Lambda f \leq \mu(\text{support } f).$$

Then  $\mu(\text{support } f) > \alpha$ . This shows that

$$\sup\{\mu(K) / K \subseteq V, K \text{ compact}\} > \alpha$$

for all  $\alpha \in (-\infty, \mu(V))$ . Hence

$$\sup\{\mu(K) / K \subseteq V, K \text{ compact}\} \geq \mu(V).$$

But  $\sup \{ \mu(K) / K \subseteq V, K \text{ compact} \} \leq \mu(V)$ . Thus  $V$  satisfies (3) and we have claim III.

Claim IV Suppose  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  are pairwise disjoint members of  $\mathcal{M}_F$ . Then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

If  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) < \infty$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{M}_F$ . To prove this, let  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  be compact subsets of  $X$  such that  $K_1 \cap K_2 = \emptyset$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $K_1 \subseteq K_2^c$  and  $K_2^c$  is open (since a compact subset of a Hausdorff space is closed), by Theorem 1.36

there exists an open set  $V_1$  such that

$$K_1 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \bar{V}_1 \subseteq K_2^c.$$

Since  $K_2 \subseteq \bar{V}_1^c$  and  $\bar{V}_1^c$  is open, there exists an open set  $V_2$  such that

$$K_2 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \bar{V}_2 \subseteq \bar{V}_1^c (\subseteq V_1^c).$$

Then  $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ . By claim II,  $\mu(K_1 \cup K_2) < \infty$ . From (2), there exists an open set  $W$  such that  $W \supseteq K_1 \cup K_2$  and

$$\mu(W) < \mu(K_1 \cup K_2) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $W \cap V_i$  is open,  $i = 1, 2$ , from (1) there exist  $f_i \in C_c(X)$  such that  $f_i \upharpoonright W \cap V_i$  and  $\Lambda f_i > \mu(W \cap V_i) - \varepsilon$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $K_i \subseteq W \cap V_i$  and  $f_1 + f_2 \upharpoonright W$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(K_1) + \mu(K_2) &\leq \mu(W \cap V_1) + \mu(W \cap V_2) < \Lambda f_1 + \Lambda f_2 + 2\varepsilon \\ &= \Lambda(f_1 + f_2) + 2\varepsilon \leq \mu(W) + 2\varepsilon < \mu(K_1 \cup K_2) + 3\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This holds for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so

$$\mu(K_1) + \mu(K_2) \leq \mu(K_1 \cup K_2).$$

By claim I,  $\mu(K_1 \cup K_2) \leq \mu(K_1) + \mu(K_2)$ . Hence

$$\mu(K_1 \cup K_2) = \mu(K_1) + \mu(K_2).$$

By induction, if  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  are pairwise disjoint compact sets, then

$$\mu(K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_n) = \mu(K_1) + \dots + \mu(K_n).$$

If  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \infty$ , then from claim I, we are done. Assume  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) < \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $E_i \in \mathcal{M}_F$ , there exists a compact set  $H_i \subseteq E_i$  such that

$$\mu(H_i) > \mu(E_i) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots).$$

For each  $n$ , put  $K_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i$ . Then  $K_n$  is compact for all  $n$ .

Hence

$$\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \geq \mu(K_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(H_i) > \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) - \varepsilon.$$

This holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , hence

$$\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i).$$

Also,  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$  by claim I, we thus have that

$$\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$$

Assume that  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) < \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Since

$\lim_n \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i) < \infty$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(E_i) + \varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) < \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(H_i) + 2\varepsilon = \mu(K_N) + 2\varepsilon,$$

$K_N$  is compact and  $K_N \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) &\leq \sup \{ \mu(K) + 2\varepsilon / K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, K \text{ compact} \} \\ &= \sup \{ \mu(K) / K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, K \text{ compact} \} + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This is true for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) &\leq \sup \{ \mu(K) / K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, K \text{ compact} \} \\ &\leq \mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \quad (\text{from (i)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \sup\{\mu(K) / K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i, K \text{ compact}\}$ , so  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathcal{M}_F$  and we have claim IV.

Claim V - If  $E \in \mathcal{M}_F$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exist a compact set  $K$  and an open set  $V$  such that  $K \subseteq E \subseteq V$  and  $\mu(V \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ .

To prove this, let  $E \in \mathcal{M}_F$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . From (2), there exists an open set  $V$  such that  $E \subseteq V$  and

$$\mu(V) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \mu(E).$$

From (3), there exists a compact set  $K$  such that  $K \subseteq E$  and

$$\mu(E) < \mu(K) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By claim II,  $\mu(K) < \infty$ , so

$$\mu(V) < \mu(K) + \varepsilon < \infty.$$

Then  $V \setminus K$  is open and  $\mu(V \setminus K) \leq \mu(V) < \infty$ . By claim III,  $V \setminus K \in \mathcal{M}_F$ . Hence claim IV implies that

$$\mu(K) + \mu(V \setminus K) = \mu(V),$$

and thus

$$\mu(V \setminus K) < \varepsilon.$$

So we have claim V.

Claim VI If  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_F$ , then  $A \setminus B, A \cup B, A \cap B \in \mathcal{M}_F$ . To prove this, let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_F$ . Then  $\mu(A \setminus B) \leq \mu(A) < \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Claim V shows that there exist compact sets  $K_1, K_2$  and open sets  $V_1, V_2$  such that

$$K_1 \subseteq A \subseteq V_1, K_2 \subseteq B \subseteq V_2,$$

$$\mu(V_1 \setminus K_1) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(V_2 \setminus K_2) < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $A \setminus B \subseteq V_1 \setminus K_2 \subseteq (V_1 \setminus K_1) \cup (K_1 \setminus V_2) \cup (V_2 \setminus K_2)$ , by claim I,

we have

$$\mu(A \setminus B) \leq 2\varepsilon + \mu(K_1 \setminus V_2).$$

Because  $K_1 \setminus V_2$  is a compact subset of  $A \setminus B$ ,  $\mu(K_1 \setminus V_2) < \infty$ .

$$\mu(A \setminus B) \leq 2\varepsilon + \sup\{\mu(K) / K \subseteq A \setminus B, K \text{ compact}\}.$$

This is true for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A \setminus B) &\leq \sup\{\mu(K) / K \subseteq A \setminus B, K \text{ compact}\} \\ &\leq \mu(A \setminus B). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mu(A \setminus B) = \sup\{\mu(K) / K \subseteq A \setminus B, K \text{ compact}\}$ . This proves that  $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{M}_F$ .

Since  $A \cup B = (A \setminus B) \cup B$ ,  $(A \setminus B) \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $A \setminus B, B \in \mathcal{M}_F$ , it follows by claim IV that

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A \setminus B) + \mu(B).$$

But  $\mu(A \setminus B) < \infty$  and  $\mu(B) < \infty$ , so  $\mu(A \cup B) < \infty$ . By claim IV  $A \cup B = (A \setminus B) \cup B \in \mathcal{M}_F$ .

Since  $A, A \setminus B \in \mathcal{M}_F$ , we have that  $A \setminus (A \setminus B) \in \mathcal{M}_F$ . But  $A \cap B = A \setminus (A \setminus B)$ , so  $A \cap B \in \mathcal{M}_F$  and we have claim VI.

Claim VII  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $X$  which contains all Borel sets. To prove this, let  $C$  be closed. If  $K$  is a compact set, then  $C \cap K$  is compact, so  $C \cap K \in \mathcal{M}_F$  by claim II. Thus  $C \in \mathcal{M}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{M}$  contains all closed sets.

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $K$  is a compact set, then  $K, A \cap K \in \mathcal{M}_F$  and so  $A^c \cap K = K \setminus A \cap K$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_F$  by claim VI. Hence  $A^c \in \mathcal{M}$ . This proves that if  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $A^c \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Because  $\emptyset$  is closed,  $\emptyset^c = X \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $A$  is an open set, then  $A^c \in \mathcal{M}$ , so  $A = (A^c)^c \in \mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}$  contains all open sets.

Next, suppose  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $K$  be a compact set.

Put

$$B_1 = A_1 \cap K, \quad B_2 = (A_2 \cap K) \setminus B_1,$$

$$B_n = (A_n \cap K) \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_{n-1}), \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

By the definition of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $A_n \cap K \in \mathcal{M}_F$  for all  $n$ . By claim VI,  $B_n \in \mathcal{M}_F$  for all  $n$  and  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . Since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \subseteq K$ ,  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \leq \mu(K) < \infty$ . By claim IV,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \in \mathcal{M}_F$ . Since  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \cap K = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A_i \cap K) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ ,  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \cap K \in \mathcal{M}_F$ , hence  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{M}$ , hence  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open sets, so  $\mathcal{M}$  contains all Borel sets. Hence we have claim VII.

Claim VIII  $\mathcal{M}_F = \{E \in \mathcal{M} / \mu(E) < \infty\}$ . Hence (d) holds. To prove this, let  $E \in \mathcal{M}_F$ . Claim II and claim VI imply that  $E \cap K \in \mathcal{M}_F$  for all compact set  $K$ , so  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . Also, by the definition of  $\mathcal{M}_F$ ,  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , so  $\mathcal{M}_F \subseteq \{E \in \mathcal{M} / \mu(E) < \infty\}$

Suppose  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  is such that  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists an open set  $V \supseteq E$  such that  $\mu(V) < \infty$  by (2). By claim III,  $V \in \mathcal{M}_F$ . By claim V, there exists a compact set  $K$  such that  $K \subseteq V$  with  $\mu(V \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ . Since  $E \cap K \in \mathcal{M}_F$ , there is a compact set  $H \subseteq E \cap K$  such that

$$\mu(E \cap K) < \mu(H) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $E \subseteq (E \cap K) \cup (V \setminus K)$ ,  $\mu(E) \leq \mu(E \cap K) + \mu(V \setminus K)$ , hence  $\mu(E) < \mu(H) + 2\varepsilon$ . Thus

$$\mu(E) \leq \sup \{ \mu(A) / A \subseteq E, A \text{ compact} \} + 2\varepsilon.$$

This implies that

$$\mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(A) / A \subseteq E, A \text{ compact} \}.$$



Hence  $E \in \mathcal{M}_F$ , so  $\{E \in \mathcal{M} / \mu(E) < \infty\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_F$  and we have claim VIII

Claim IX  $\mu$  is a  $\delta$ -finite positive measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The countable additivity of  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  follows from claim IV and claim VIII. Then  $\mu$  is a positive measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $X$  is  $\delta$ -compact and by (b) implies that  $\mu$  is a  $\delta$ -finite positive measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . So we have claim IX.

Claim X For each  $f \in C_c(X)$ ,  $\int f d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$ . This proves (a).

To prove this, first, let  $f \in C_c(X)$  be a real-valued function. Since  $f(x) \subseteq f(\text{support } f) \cup \{0\}$  and support  $f$  is compact and  $f$  is continuous,  $f(\text{support } f) \cup \{0\}$  is compact in  $\mathbb{R}$ , so there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b > 0$  such that  $f(x) \subseteq [a, b]$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Let  $y_0, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that

$$y_0 < a < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y_n = b$$

and  $y_i - y_{i-1} < \varepsilon$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $E_i = \{x \in X / y_{i-1} < f(x) \leq y_i\} \cap \text{support } f$ , i.e.,  $E_i = f^{-1}(y_{i-1}, y_i] \cap \text{support } f$ . Since  $f$  is continuous,  $f$  is a Borel measurable function. Hence  $f^{-1}(y_{i-1}, y_i]$  is a Borel set. Hence the set  $E_i$  are disjoint Borel sets, since  $f$  is a function.  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i = \text{support } f$  and for each  $i$ ,

$$\mu(E_i) \leq \mu(\text{support } f) < \infty \quad (\text{by claim II}).$$

From (2), for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  there is an open set  $V_i \supseteq E_i$  such that

$$\mu(V_i) < \mu(E_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{n}.$$

Let  $V_i = V_i \cap f^{-1}(y_{i-1}, y_i + \varepsilon)$  for all  $i$ . Then for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $V_i \supseteq E_i$ ,

$$\mu(V_i) \leq \mu(V'_i) < \mu(E_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{n}$$

and

$$f(x) < y_i + \varepsilon$$

for all  $x \in V_i$ . Since  $\text{support } f \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$ , by Theorem 1.41, we have there exist  $h_i \in C_c(X)$  such that

$$h_i \ll V_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + \dots + h_n = 1$$

on support  $f$ . Hence

$$f = h_1 f + h_2 f + \dots + h_n f.$$

Since for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $h_i f \leq (y_i + \varepsilon) h_i$  on  $X$  and since  $y_i - \varepsilon < f(x)$  on  $E_i$  (since  $y_i - \varepsilon < y_{i-1} < f(x)$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda f &= \sum_{i=1}^n \Lambda(h_i f) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \varepsilon) \Lambda h_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \varepsilon) \mu(V_i) \quad (\text{from (1)}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \varepsilon) \mu(E_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i + \varepsilon) \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \varepsilon) \mu(E_i) + 2\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n (b + \varepsilon) \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \varepsilon) \mu(E_i) + 2\varepsilon \mu(\text{support } f) + (b + \varepsilon) \varepsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{E_i} f d\mu + \varepsilon [2\mu(\text{support } f) + (b + \varepsilon)] \\ &= \int_{\text{support } f} f d\mu + \varepsilon [2\mu(\text{support } f) + (b + \varepsilon)] \\ &= \int_X \chi_{\text{support } f} f d\mu + \varepsilon [2\mu(\text{support } f) + (b + \varepsilon)] \\ &= \int_X f d\mu + \varepsilon [2\mu(\text{support } f) + (b + \varepsilon)] \end{aligned}$$

(because  $\chi_{\text{support } f} f = f$  on  $X$ ).

Since this is true for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\Lambda f \leq \int_X f d\mu.$$

Hence  $-\Lambda f = \Lambda(-f) \leq \int_X (-f) d\mu = -\int_X f d\mu$ , so  $\Lambda f \geq \int_X f d\mu$ .

Hence  $\Lambda f = \int_X f d\mu$ .

Finally, let  $f \in C_c(X)$ . Then  $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$ ,  $f_i: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i \leq 4$ , so  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  and  $f_4$  are continuous. Since  $\text{support } f_i \subseteq \text{support } f$ ,  $i \leq 4$ , so  $\text{support } f_i$  is compact,  $i \leq 4$ . Hence  $f_i \in C_c(X)$  and are all real-valued for all  $i \leq 4$ . By the previous proof,  $\Lambda f_i = \int_X f_i d\mu$ ,  $i \leq 4$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda f &= \Lambda(f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4) = \Lambda f_1 + i \Lambda f_2 + j \Lambda f_3 + k \Lambda f_4 \\ &= \int_X f_1 d\mu + i \int_X f_2 d\mu + j \int_X f_3 d\mu + k \int_X f_4 d\mu \\ &= \int_X f d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

So we have claim X. #

**4.77 Definition** Let  $X$  be a locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact Hausdorff space and  $\mathcal{B}$  = the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Borel sets. An arbitrary measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is called a Borel measure on  $X$ . If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite positive, a Borel set  $E \subseteq X$  is called outer regular if

$$\mu(E) = \inf \{ \mu(V) / E \subseteq V, V \text{ open} \}$$

and it is called inner regular if

$$\mu(E) = \sup \{ \mu(K) / K \subseteq E, K \text{ compact} \}.$$

If every Borel set in  $X$  is both outer and inner regular,  $\mu$  is called regular. That is,  $\mu$  is regular if and only if

for all  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(E) &= \inf \{ \mu(V) / E \subseteq V, V \text{ open} \} \\ &= \sup \{ \mu(K) / K \subseteq E, K \text{ compact} \} .\end{aligned}$$

**4.78 Theorem** Let  $X$  be a locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact Hausdorff space. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu$  be as described in the statement of Theorem 4.76. If  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists a closed set  $F$  and an open set  $V$  such that  $F \subseteq E \subseteq V$  and  $\mu(V \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .

Proof Let  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$  where each  $K_n$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then  $\mu(K_n \cap E) < \infty$  for all  $n$ . Thus for each  $n$  there exists an open set  $V_n \supseteq K_n \cap E$  such that

$$\mu(V_n \setminus K_n \cap E) = \mu(V_n) - \mu(K_n \cap E) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}} .$$

Let  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$ . Then  $V$  is open,  $E \subseteq V$  and  $V \setminus E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (V_n \setminus K_n \cap E)$ , thus  $\mu(V \setminus E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(V_n \setminus K_n \cap E) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Similarly, there exists an open set  $W \supseteq E^c$  such that  $\mu(W \setminus E^c) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Let  $F = W^c$ , then  $F$  is closed and  $F \subseteq E \subseteq V$ . Since  $E \setminus F = W \setminus E^c$  and  $V \setminus F \subseteq (V \setminus E) \cup (E \setminus F)$ , we have

$$\mu(V \setminus F) \leq \mu(V \setminus E) + \mu(E \setminus F) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon . \#$$

**4.79 Lusin's Theorem** Let  $X$  be a locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact Hausdorff space. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu$  be as described in the statement of Theorem 4.76. Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is a measurable function and there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mu(A) < \infty$  and

$f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \notin A$ . Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that

$$\mu(\{x \in X / f(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, we may arrange it so that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \{|g(x)|\} \leq \sup_{x \in X} \{|f(x)|\}.$$

Proof Step I  $0 \leq f < 1$  and  $A$  is compact.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $s_n$  be defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.15, i.e.,

$$E_{n,i} = f^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{i-1}{2^n}, \frac{i}{2^n}\right)\right), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n2^n,$$

$$F_n = f^{-1}[n, \infty),$$

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n2^n} \frac{i-1}{2^n} \chi_{E_{n,i}} + n \chi_{F_n}$$

Put

$$t_1 = s_1, \quad t_n = s_n - s_{n-1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

To show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $2^n t_n$  is the characteristic

function of a set  $T_n \subseteq A$ . Since  $0 \leq f < 1$ ,  $E_{n,k} = \emptyset$  if

$2^{n+1} \leq k \leq n2^n$ , and  $F_n = \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $s_n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \frac{i-1}{2^n} \chi_{E_{n,i}}$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $s_n - s_{n-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} \frac{i-1}{2^n} \chi_{E_{n,i}} - \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} \frac{i-1}{2^{n-1}} \chi_{E_{n-1,i}}$

Let  $T_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} E_{n,2i}$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have

$$2^n t_n(x) = 2^n (s_n - s_{n-1})(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin T_n, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \in T_n, \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $E_{n,2i}$  is a measurable set for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}$ ,  $T_n$  is a measurable set, hence

$2^n t_n$  is a measurable function. That is  $2^n t_n$  is the

characteristic function of  $\Gamma_n$ . Next, we will show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Gamma_n \subseteq A$ . To prove this, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $x \in \Gamma_n$ . So  $x \in E_{n, 2^i} = f^{-1}([\frac{2i-1}{2^n}, \frac{2i}{2^n}))$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{n-1}\}$ . Then  $f(x) \in [\frac{2i-1}{2^n}, \frac{2i}{2^n})$ . Since  $\frac{2i-1}{2^n} > 0$ ,  $f(x) \neq 0$ . Then  $x \in A$ , so  $\Gamma_n \subseteq A$ .

By Theorem 3.15,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = s_n$ , we have that  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Let  $V$  be an open set,  $A \subseteq V$  and  $\bar{V}$  compact. Such a  $V$  exists. To prove this, for all  $p \in A$ , choose a nbhd  $U_p$  containing  $p$  such that  $\bar{U}_p$  is compact. Then  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{p \in A} U_p$ . Since  $A$  is compact, there exists a finite subcover, say  $U_{p_1}, U_{p_2}, \dots, U_{p_{n_0}}$  such that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} U_{p_i} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} U_{p_i}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} \bar{U}_{p_i}$$

which is compact. Now,  $\Gamma_n \subseteq V$ . Since  $\bar{V}$  is compact, we apply Theorem 4.78, given  $\epsilon > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a compact set  $K_n$  and an open set  $V_n$  such that  $K_n \subseteq \Gamma_n \subseteq V_n \subseteq V$  and  $\mu(V_n \setminus K_n) < \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}$ . By Urysohn's Lemma, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $h_n \in C_c(X)$  such that

$$K_n \subset h_n \subset V_n.$$

Define  $g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_n(x)}{2^n}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $0 \leq \frac{h_n(x)}{2^n} \leq \frac{1}{2^n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $x \in X$ , the series converges uniformly on  $X$ . By Theorem 1.32,  $g$  is continuous on  $X$ .

Since  $\{x \in X / g(x) \neq 0\} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in X / h_n(x) \neq 0\}$ ,  $\overline{\{x \in X / h_n(x) \neq 0\}} \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{V}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\bar{V}$  compact, we have support  $g$  is compact, hence  $g \in C_c(X)$ . Since for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $K_n \subseteq T_n \subseteq V_n$  and  $K_n \subset h_n \subset V_n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h_n(x) = 2^n t_n(x)$  except in  $V_n \setminus K_n$ , so for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\frac{h_n(x)}{2^n} = t_n(x)$  except in  $V_n \setminus K_n$ . Thus  $g(x) = f(x)$  except in  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (V_n \setminus K_n)$  and  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (V_n \setminus K_n)) < \varepsilon$ . Hence  $\mu(\{x \in X / f(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \varepsilon$ , since  $\{x \in X / g(x) \neq f(x)\} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (V_n \setminus K_n)$ .

Step II  $\Lambda$  is compact and  $f$  is bounded.

Case 1 Let  $f$  be real. Then there exists  $M > 0$  be such that  $|f| < M$ , so  $-1 < \frac{f}{M} < 1$ , hence  $0 \leq \frac{f^+}{M} < 1$  and  $0 \leq \frac{f^-}{M} < 1$ . By Step I, there exist  $g_1, g_2 \in C_c(X)$  such that  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are real,

$$\mu(\{x \in X / \frac{f^+}{M}(x) \neq g_1(x)\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and } \mu(\{x \in X / \frac{f^-}{M}(x) \neq g_2(x)\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since  $f(x) = f^+(x) - f^-(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and if  $f(x) = f^+(x) - f^-(x) \neq M g_1(x) - M g_2(x)$ , then  $f^+(x) \neq M g_1(x)$  or  $f^-(x) \neq M g_2(x)$

hence  $\{x \in X / f(x) \neq M(g_1 - g_2)(x)\} \subseteq \{x \in X / f^+(x) \neq M g_1(x)\} \cup \{x \in X / f^-(x) \neq M g_2(x)\}$ . Hence  $\mu(\{x \in X / f(x) \neq M(g_1 - g_2)(x)\}) < \varepsilon$

and  $M(g_1 - g_2) \in C_c(X)$ .

Case 2 Let  $f$  be quaternion. Then  $f = f_1 + i f_2 + j f_3 + k f_4$  for some real measurable functions  $f_l, l \leq 4$ . Since  $f$  is bounded,  $f_l$  is bounded for all  $l \leq 4$ . Then there exist  $g_l' \in C_c(X)$  such that  $g_l'$  is real for all  $l \leq 4$  and  $\mu(\{x \in X / f_l'(x) \neq g_l'(x)\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$  for all  $l \leq 4$ . Let  $g = g_1 + i g_2 + j g_3 + k g_4$ . Then  $g \in C_c(X)$ .



Since  $\{x \in X / f(x) \neq g(x)\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \{x \in X / f_i(x) \neq g_i(x)\}$ ,  
 $\mu(\{x \in X / f(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \varepsilon$ .

Step III  $f$  is bounded. Since  $\mu(A) = \sup\{\mu(K) / K \subseteq A, K \text{ compact}\}$ , there exists a compact set  $K \subseteq A$  such that  $\mu(A \setminus K) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Thus  $f \chi_K$  is measurable and bounded. Also,  $f \chi_K = 0$  on  $K^c$ . By Step II, there exists  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that  $\mu(\{x \in X / f \chi_K(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . If  $x \notin A$ ,  $f(x) = 0 = f \chi_K(x)$  and if  $x \in K$ , then  $f \chi_K(x) = f(x)$ . Thus

$\{x \in X / f(x) \neq g(x)\} \subseteq \{x \in X / f \chi_K(x) \neq g(x)\} \cup (A \setminus K)$ ,  
 so  $\mu(\{x \in X / f(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \varepsilon$ .

Step IV For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $B_n = \{x \in X / |f(x)| > n\}$ . Then  $A \supseteq B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq B_3 \supseteq \dots$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \emptyset$  since  $f$  is quaternion. Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n) = \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . So there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(B_{n_0}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Now  $|f| \leq n_0$  on  $B_{n_0}^c$ , so  $|f \chi_{B_{n_0}^c}| \leq n_0$  on  $X$ . Moreover,  $\mu(A \setminus B_{n_0}) < \infty$  and  $f \chi_{B_{n_0}^c} = 0$  on  $A \cup B_{n_0} = (A \setminus B_{n_0})^c$ . By Step III, there exists  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that

$$\mu(\{x \in X / f \chi_{B_{n_0}^c}(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since  $\{x \in X / f(x) \neq g(x)\} \subseteq \{x \in X / f \chi_{B_{n_0}^c}(x) \neq g(x)\} \cup B_{n_0}$ ,  
 $\mu(\{x \in X / f(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \varepsilon$ .

Next, to show that we can arrange  $g$  so that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \{|g(x)|\} \leq \sup_{x \in X} \{|f(x)|\}. \quad \text{To prove this, let } R = \sup_{x \in X} \{|f(x)|\}.$$

If  $R = \infty$ , so we are done. If  $R = 0$ , then  $f \equiv 0$  and choose



$g \equiv 0$  and we are done. Assume  $0 < R < \infty$ . Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be defined by

$$\varphi(h) = \begin{cases} h & \text{if } |h| \leq R, \\ \frac{Rh}{|h|} & \text{if } |h| > R. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{H}$ . Let  $g_1 = \varphi \circ g$ .  $g_1$  is continuous and  $\text{support } g_1 \subseteq \text{support } g$ , so  $g_1 \in C_c(X)$ . And

$$g_1(x) = \varphi(g(x)) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } |g(x)| \leq R, \\ \frac{Rg(x)}{|g(x)|} & \text{if } |g(x)| > R. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\{x \in X / f(x) \neq g_1(x)\} \subseteq \{x \in X / f(x) \neq g(x)\}$ ,  
 $\mu(\{x \in X / f(x) \neq g_1(x)\}) < \varepsilon$  and  $\sup_{x \in X} \{|g_1(x)|\} \leq R = \sup_{x \in X} \{|f(x)|\}$ . #

**4.80 Theorem (Jensen's Inequality)** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in a set  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ . If  $f$  is a real function in  $L^1(\mu)$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a < f(x) < b$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and if  $\varphi$  is convex in  $(a, b)$ , then

$$\varphi\left(\int_{\Omega} f d\mu\right) \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ f d\mu.$$

Proof Let  $t = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu$ . Then  $a < t < b$ . Let  $\beta = \sup\left\{\frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)}{t-s} / a < s < t\right\}$ . Then  $\beta \leq \frac{\varphi(u) - \varphi(t)}{u-t}$  for all  $u \in (t, b)$ . Hence  $\varphi(u) \geq \varphi(t) + \beta(u-t)$  for all  $u \in (a, b)$ .  
 ( $a < u < t \Rightarrow \beta \geq \frac{\varphi(t) - \varphi(u)}{t-u} \Rightarrow \beta(t-u) \geq \varphi(t) - \varphi(u)$ ).  
 Hence  $\varphi(f(x)) \geq \varphi(t) + \beta(f(x)-t)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Since  $\varphi$  is convex on  $(a, b)$ , by Theorem 1.45,  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $(a, b)$ . Hence  $\varphi \circ f$  is measurable. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ f d\mu &\geq \int_{\Omega} \varphi(t) d\mu + \beta \int_{\Omega} (f(x)-t) d\mu \\ &= \varphi(t) \mu(\Omega) + \beta \left( \int_{\Omega} f d\mu - t \mu(\Omega) \right) \\ &= \varphi\left(\int_{\Omega} f d\mu\right) \quad (\mu(\Omega) = 1) . \# \end{aligned}$$

Remark: Suppose  $\mathcal{Q}$  in Theorem 4.80 is  $\mathcal{Q}(x) = e^x$ . Then

$$(*) \quad \exp\left\{\int_{\Omega} f d\mu\right\} = e^{\int_{\Omega} f d\mu} \leq \int_{\Omega} e^f d\mu.$$

Assume  $\Omega = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$  is such that  $p_i \neq p_j$  if  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  and let  $\mu(\{p_i\}) = \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,

and  $f(p_i) = x_i \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then from (\*),

$$\exp\left\{\frac{1}{n}(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)\right\} \leq \frac{1}{n}(e^{x_1} + e^{x_2} + \dots + e^{x_n}).$$

It then follows that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for all  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in (0, \infty)$

$$(y_1 y_2 \dots y_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{1}{n}(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n)$$

(because for each  $y_i \in (0, \infty)$  there exists  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y_i = e^{x_i}$ )

If we take  $\mu(\{p_i\}) = \alpha_i > 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$   
 $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ , then we obtain

$$y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \dots y_n^{\alpha_n} \leq \alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2 + \dots + \alpha_n y_n$$

for all  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in (0, \infty)$ .

4.81 Definition If  $p$  and  $q$  are positive real numbers such that  $p+q = pq$  or equivalently  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then we call  $p$  and  $q$  a pair of conjugate exponents.

Observe that if  $p$  and  $q$  are positive real numbers such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1 < q < \infty$ , and  $p \rightarrow 1$  implies that  $q \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently,  $1$  and  $\infty$  are also regarded as a pair of conjugate exponents.

4.82 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $p$  and  $q$  be conjugate exponents,

$1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $f, g: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be measurable functions. Then

$$(1) \quad \int_X fg d\mu \leq \left\{ \int_X f^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_X g^q d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \int_X (f+g)^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left\{ \int_X f^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left\{ \int_X g^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Note: (1) is called the Hölder's inequality; (2) is called the Minkowski's inequality. If  $p = q = 2$ , then (1) is called as the Schwarz's inequality.

Proof [9] Let  $A = \left\{ \int_X f^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and  $B = \left\{ \int_X g^q d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$ . If

$A = 0$ ,  $f^p = 0$  a.e. on  $X$  by Theorem 4.48, so  $f = 0$  a.e. on  $X$  and hence  $fg = 0$  a.e. on  $X$  and thus (1) holds by Theorem 4.45. Similarly,  $B = 0$  implies (1) holds. If  $(A > 0$  and  $B = \infty)$  or  $(A = \infty$  and  $B > 0)$ , (1) clearly holds.

Assume  $0 < A < \infty$  and  $0 < B < \infty$ . Put

$$F = \frac{f}{A}, \quad G = \frac{g}{B}.$$

Then  $\int_X F^p d\mu = \int_X G^q d\mu = 1$ . Let  $x \in X$  be such that  $0 < F(x) < \infty$

and  $0 < G(x) < \infty$ . Then there exist  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$F(x) = e^{\frac{s}{p}}$  and  $G(x) = e^{\frac{t}{q}}$ . Since  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , the convexity of the exponential function implies that  $e^{\frac{s}{p}} + e^{\frac{t}{q}} \leq \frac{e^s}{p} + \frac{e^t}{q}$ .

Then  $F(x)G(x) \leq \frac{(F(x))^p}{p} + \frac{(G(x))^q}{q}$ . Hence for all  $x \in X$

$$F(x)G(x) \leq \frac{(F(x))^p}{p} + \frac{(G(x))^q}{q}.$$

Thus  $\int_X FG d\mu \leq \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Hence

$$\int_X fg d\mu \leq AB = \left\{ \int_X f^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_X g^q d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Thus (1) holds.

To prove (2), we write  $(f+g)^p = f(f+g)^{p-1} + g(f+g)^{p-1}$ .

From (1)

$$\int_X f(f+g)^{p-1} d\mu \leq \left\{ \int_X f^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_X (f+g)^{q(p-1)} d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

$$\int_X g(f+g)^{p-1} d\mu \leq \left\{ \int_X g^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_X (f+g)^{q(p-1)} d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Then

$$(3) \quad \int_X (f+g)^p d\mu \leq \left\{ \int_X (f+g)^{q(p-1)} d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \left\{ \int_X f^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left\{ \int_X g^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)$$

If  $\left\{ \int_X (f+g)^{q(p-1)} d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0$  or  $\left\{ \int_X f^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \infty$  or  $\left\{ \int_X g^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \infty$ ,

then (2) holds. Assume  $\left\{ \int_X (f+g)^{q(p-1)} d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} > 0$ ,  $\left\{ \int_X f^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$

and  $\left\{ \int_X g^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$ . Since the function  $x^p$  is convex in

$(0, \infty)$ , it follows that

$$\left( \frac{f+g}{2} \right)^p \leq \frac{1}{2} (f^p + g^p).$$

Then  $\frac{1}{2^p} \int_X (f+g)^p d\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_X f^p d\mu + \int_X g^p d\mu \right) < \infty$ . Thus

$$0 < \left\{ \int_X (f+g)^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

so by (3) and  $q(p-1) = p$ , we have

$$\left\{ \int_X (f+g)^p d\mu \right\}^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left\{ \int_X f^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left\{ \int_X g^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This proves (2). #

**4.83 Definition** Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is measurable, define

$$\|f\|_p = \left\{ \int_X |f|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and let

$$L^p(\mu) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / f \text{ is measurable and } \|f\|_p < \infty\},$$

we call  $\|f\|_p$  the  $L^p$ -norm of  $f$ .

4.84 Definition Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Suppose  $g: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is measurable. Let  $S = \{\alpha \in [0, \infty) / \mu(g^{-1}(\alpha, \infty)) = 0\}$ . Note that if  $a \in S$ , then  $b \in S$  for all  $b \geq a$ . Put

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \inf S & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset, \\ \infty & \text{if } S = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Claim that if  $\beta = \inf S$ , then  $\beta \in S$ . To prove this, since  $g^{-1}(\beta, \infty) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g^{-1}(\beta + \frac{1}{n}, \infty)$  and  $\mu(g^{-1}(\beta + \frac{1}{n}, \infty)) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $\mu(g^{-1}(\beta, \infty)) = 0$ , thus  $\beta \in S$ . So we have the claim. We call  $\beta$  the essential supremum of  $g$ .

If  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is measurable, we define  $\|f\|_{\infty}$  to be the essential supremum of  $|f|$ , i.e.,

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \mu(|f|^{-1}(\alpha, \infty)) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in [0, \infty), \\ \inf \{ \alpha \in [0, \infty) / \mu(|f|^{-1}(\alpha, \infty)) = 0 \}; \end{cases}$$

and  $L^{\infty}(\mu) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H} / f \text{ is measurable and } \|f\|_{\infty} < \infty\}$ .

Observe that if  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is measurable, then  $|f(x)| \leq \lambda$  for almost all  $x$  iff  $\lambda \geq \|f\|_{\infty}$ , hence  $|f(x)| \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$  for almost all  $x$ .

4.85 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . If  $p$  and  $q$  are conjugate exponents,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and

$f \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $g \in L^q(\mu)$ , then  $fg \in L^1(\mu)$  and

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

Proof [9] Case  $1 < p < \infty$  Then the theorem follows by applying Theorem 4.82 to the function  $|f|$  and  $|g|$ .

Case  $p = \infty$  Then  $q = 1$ . We have  $|f(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$  for almost all  $x$ , so  $|f(x)g(x)| = |f(x)||g(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty |g(x)|$  for almost all  $x$ . Then there exists  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mu(A) = 0$  and  $|f(x)g(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty |g(x)|$  for all  $x \in A^c$ . Hence  $\int_{A^c} |fg| d\mu \leq$

$$\int_{A^c} \|f\|_\infty |g| d\mu. \text{ Since } X = A \cup A^c \text{ and } \mu(A) = 0,$$

$$\int_X |fg| d\mu \leq \int_X \|f\|_\infty |g| d\mu = \|f\|_\infty \int_X |g| d\mu. \text{ Hence}$$

$$fg \in L^1(\mu) \text{ and } \|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_1.$$

Case  $p = 1$  Then  $q = \infty$ . Similar to case  $p = \infty$ , we have  $fg \in L^1(\mu)$  and  $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty$ . #

4.86 Theorem Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Suppose  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $g \in L^p(\mu)$ . Then  $f+g \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $\|f+g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$ .

Proof [9] Case  $1 < p < \infty$  Then the theorem follows from Minkowski's inequality and  $|f+g|^p \leq (|f|+|g|)^p$ .

Case  $p = 1$  Then  $\|f\|_1 = \int_X |f| d\mu < \infty$  and  $\|g\|_1 = \int_X |g| d\mu < \infty$ , so  $\|f+g\|_1 = \int_X |f+g| d\mu \leq \int_X (|f|+|g|) d\mu =$

$$\int_X |f| d\mu + \int_X |g| d\mu = \|f\|_1 + \|g\|_1 < \infty.$$

Case  $p = \infty$  Since  $|f+g| \leq |f| + |g|$ ,  $|f(x)+g(x)| \leq |f(x)| + |g(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty$  for almost all  $x$ . Hence  $\|f+g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|g\|_\infty < \infty$ . #

**4.87 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Assume  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$ . Then  $\alpha f \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $\|\alpha f\|_p = |\alpha| \|f\|_p$ .

Proof [9] Case  $1 \leq p < \infty$  Then  $\|\alpha f\|_p = \left\{ \int_X |\alpha f|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$   
 $= |\alpha| \left\{ \int_X |f|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = |\alpha| \|f\|_p < \infty$ .

Case  $p = \infty$  Since  $|f(x)| \leq \|f\|_\infty$  for almost all  $x$ ,  $|\alpha f(x)| \leq |\alpha| \|f\|_\infty$  for almost all  $x$ . Thus

$$(*) \quad \|\alpha f\|_\infty \leq |\alpha| \|f\|_\infty.$$

If  $\alpha = 0$ , it is clear that  $\|\alpha f\|_\infty = 0 = |\alpha| \|f\|_\infty$ . Assume  $\alpha \neq 0$ . From  $(*)$   $\|f\|_\infty = \left\| \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \alpha f \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{|\alpha|} \|\alpha f\|_\infty$ , so

$$|\alpha| \|f\|_\infty \leq \|\alpha f\|_\infty. \text{ Hence } \|\alpha f\|_\infty = |\alpha| \|f\|_\infty. \#$$

Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $f, g, h \in L^p(\mu)$ , then  $\|f-h\|_p = \|(f-g)+(g-h)\|_p \leq \|f-g\|_p + \|g-h\|_p$  (by Theorem 4.86). Define

$$d : L^p(\mu) \times L^p(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ by}$$

$$d(f, g) = \|f-g\|_p.$$

Then for all  $f, g, h \in L^p(\mu)$ , we have  $0 \leq d(f, g) < \infty$ ,  $d(f, f) = 0$ ,  $d(f, g) = d(g, f)$  (since  $\|f-g\|_p = |(-1)| \|g-f\|_p$ ) and  $d(f, h) \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h)$ .

Next, to show that  $d(f, g) = 0$  iff  $f = g$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ .

First, assume  $d(f, g) = 0$ . If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then

$\|f-g\|_p = \left\{ \int_X |f-g|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = 0$ , so  $f = g$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . If  $p = \infty$  then  $\|f-g\|_\infty = 0$ , so  $|f(x)-g(x)| = 0$  for almost all  $x$ , with respect to  $\mu$ . Then  $f = g$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . This proves that if  $d(f,g) = 0$ , then  $f = g$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ .

Finally, assume  $f = g$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . Then  $|f-g| = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $|f-g|^p = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ , so  $\int_X |f-g|^p d\mu = 0$  which implies that  $\|f-g\|_p = 0$ . If  $p = \infty$ ,  $\mu(|f-g|^{-1}(0, \infty]) = \mu(\{x \in X / |f-g|(x) \neq 0\}) = 0$ . Hence  $\|f-g\|_\infty = 0$ . This proves that if  $f = g$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ , then  $d(f,g) = 0$ .

Define the relation  $\sim$  on  $L^p(\mu)$  by

$$f \sim g \iff d(f,g) = 0.$$

Thus  $f \sim g \iff f = g$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . So  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $L^p(\mu)$  which partitions  $L^p(\mu)$  into equivalence classes.

**4.88 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $f, g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  are measurable such that  $f = g$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ , then  $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_p$ .

Proof Case  $1 \leq p < \infty$  Then  $|f| = |g|$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ , hence  $|f|^p = |g|^p$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . Thus  $\int_X |f|^p d\mu = \int_X |g|^p d\mu$  which implies that  $\|f\|_p = \|g\|_p$ .

Case  $p = \infty$  Since  $|f| = |g|$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ , there exists  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mu(E) = 0$  and  $|f| = |g|$  on  $E^c$ . Then for  $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$ ,



$$\begin{aligned} \mu(|f|^{-1}(\alpha, \infty]) &= \mu((|f|^{-1}(\alpha, \infty]) \cap E^c) = \\ \mu((|g|^{-1}(\alpha, \infty]) \cap E^c) &= \mu(|g|^{-1}(\alpha, \infty]). \text{ Thus} \\ \|f\|_\infty &= \|g\|_\infty. \quad \# \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $F$  and  $G$  are two equivalence classes, choose  $f \in F$ ,  $g \in G$ , define

$$d(F, G) = d(f, g) = \|f - g\|_p.$$

If  $f \sim f_1$  and  $g \sim g_1$ , then  $d(f, g) = d(f_1, g_1)$  (Because  $f = f_1$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  and  $g = g_1$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ , then  $f - g = f_1 - g_1$  a.e.  $[\mu]$  which implies that  $\|f - g\|_p = \|f_1 - g_1\|_p$ ). Hence  $d$  is well-defined.

Now, the set of all equivalence classes is a metric space with metric  $d$ .

When  $L^p(\mu)$  is regarded as a metric space, then the space which really under consideration is therefore not a space whose elements are functions, but a space whose elements are equivalence classes of functions.

**4.89 Definition** Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$  and  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence in  $L^p(\mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0,$$

then we say that  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $f$  in  $L^p(\mu)$  or  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is  $L^p$ -convergent to  $f$ .

Observe that if  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $f$  and  $g$  in

$L^p(\mu)$ , then  $f = g$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ .

Proof  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - g\|_p$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

There exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|f_{n_0} - f\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $\|f_{n_0} - g\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Then  $0 \leq \|f - g\|_p \leq \|f - f_{n_0}\|_p + \|f_{n_0} - g\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$ . Since

$\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $\|f - g\|_p = 0$ , i.e.,  $f = g$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . #

If for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$\|f_n - f_m\|_p < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n \geq N$ , then  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(\mu)$ .

If every Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(\mu)$  converges to an element in  $L^p(\mu)$ , then  $L^p(\mu)$  is said to be a complete metric space.

**4.90 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Then  $L^p(\mu)$  is a complete metric space for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Proof[9] Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(\mu)$ .

Case  $1 \leq p < \infty$  Claim that there is a subsequence  $(f_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$

of  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\|f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}\|_p < \frac{1}{2^i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

There exists  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|f_n - f_{n_1}\|_p < \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $n \geq n_1$

and there exists  $n'_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|f_n - f_m\|_p < \frac{1}{2^2}$  for all

$n, m \geq n'_2$ . Choose  $n_2 = n'_2 + n_1$ . Then  $\|f_n - f_{n_2}\|_p < \frac{1}{2^2}$  for all

$n \geq n_2$  and  $n_2 > n_1$ . There exists  $n'_3 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$\|f_n - f_m\|_p < \frac{1}{2^3}$  for all  $n, m \geq n'_3$ . Choose  $n_3 = n'_3 + n_2$ . Then

$\|f_n - f_{n_3}\|_p < \frac{1}{2^3}$  for all  $n \geq n_3$  and  $n_3 > n_2$ . By this process, for all  $i \geq 2$  there exists  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|f_n - f_{n_i}\|_p < \frac{1}{2^i}$  for all  $n \geq n_i$  and  $n_i > n_{i-1}$ . Fix  $i \geq 2$ . There exists  $n_{i+1} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\|f_n - f_{n_{i+1}}\|_p < \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}$  for all  $n \geq n_{i+1}$  and  $n_{i+1} > n_i$ . So we have  $\|f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}\|_p < \frac{1}{2^i}$ . Hence we have the claim. Put

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}|$$

and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$g_k = \sum_{i=1}^k |f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}|.$$

By Minkowski's inequality, we have that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_k\|_p &= \left\{ \int_X |g_k|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left\{ \int_X \left( \sum_{i=1}^k |f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}| \right)^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k \left\{ \int_X |f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \sum_{i=1}^k \|f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}\|_p \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2^i} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \|g\|_p^p &= \int_X |g|^p d\mu = \int_X g^p d\mu = \int_X (\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k)^p d\mu \\ &= \int_X (\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k^p) d\mu = \int_X (\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k^p) d\mu \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_k^p d\mu \quad (\text{by Fatou's Lemma}) \\ &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|g_k\|_p^p \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|g\|_p \leq 1$ . Then we see that  $g(x) < \infty$  a.e.  $[\mu]$

(Because if  $\mu(\{x \in X / g(x) = \infty\}) \neq 0$ , then

$\mu(\{x \in X / |g(x)|^p = \infty\}) \neq 0$  which implies that  $\int_X |g|^p d\mu = \infty$ , so  $\|g\|_p = \infty$ , a contradiction).

Hence the series

$$(1) \quad f_{n_1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f_{n_{i+1}}(x) - f_{n_i}(x))$$

converges absolutely to a finite value for almost all  $x \in X$ .

Then there exists  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  such that the series (1) converges on  $E^c$  and  $\mu(E) = 0$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  be defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_{n_1}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (f_{n_{i+1}}(x) - f_{n_i}(x)) & \text{if } x \in E^c, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in E. \end{cases}$$

Since  $f_{n_1} + \sum_{i=1}^k (f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}) = f_{n_{k+1}}$ , it follows that  $f(x) =$

$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_i}(x)$ , for all  $x \in E^c$ . Then  $f(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_i}(x)$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ .

Claim that  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0$ . To prove:

this, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$\|f_n - f_m\|_p < \varepsilon$  for all  $m, n > N$ . Fix  $m > N$ , by Fatou's Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |f - f_m|^p d\mu &= \int_X \left| \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_i} - f_m \right|^p d\mu \\ &= \int_X \left( \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n_i} - f_m| \right)^p d\mu \\ &= \int_X \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n_i} - f_m|^p d\mu \\ &= \int_X \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n_i} - f_m|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_{n_i} - f_m|^p d\mu \leq \varepsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|f - f_m\|_p^p \leq \varepsilon^p$ , so  $\|f - f_m\|_p \leq \varepsilon < \infty$ . Then  $f - f_m \in L^p(\mu)$ .

Since  $f_m \in L^p(\mu)$ ,  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ . Since  $\|f - f_m\|_p \leq \varepsilon$ , this shows that  $\|f - f_m\|_p \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $m \geq N$ . So we have the claim.

Case  $p = \infty$  For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$A_k = \{x \in X / |f_k(x)| > \|f_k\|_{\infty}\}$$

and for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let

$$B_{m,n} = \{x \in X / |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| > \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty\}.$$

Then  $\mu(A_k) = 0 = \mu(B_{m,n})$  for all  $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let

$$E = \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{m,n} \right).$$

Then  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mu(E) = 0$ , and also for all  $k, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|f_k(x)| \leq \|f_k\|_\infty$$

for all  $x \in E^c$  and

$$(2) \quad |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \|f_m - f_n\|_\infty$$

for all  $x \in E^c$ . Since  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence and by (2), we have for all  $x \in E^c$ ,  $(f_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{H}$ . Thus there exists  $f: E^c \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  such that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in E^c$ . Hence  $f$  is measurable on

$E^c$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0$  for all  $x \in E^c$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given,

from (2) we get that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $m, n \geq N$  and for all  $x \in E^c$ . Let

$m > N$  be fixed. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_m(x) - f_n(x)| = |f_m(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$  for

all  $x \in E^c$ , so  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon + |f_m(x)| \leq \varepsilon + \|f_m\|_\infty < \infty$  for all

$x \in E^c$ . Thus  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $E^c$  and  $f$  is bounded on  $E^c$ .

Define  $\bar{f}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  by

$$\bar{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in E^c, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in E. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{f}$  is measurable and  $\bar{f}$  is bounded, so  $\bar{f} \in L^\infty(\mu)$ . Next,

we shall show that  $f_n \rightarrow \bar{f}$  in  $L^\infty(\mu)$ . To prove this, let

$\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|f_n(x) - \bar{f}(x)|$

$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for all  $x \in E^c$  for all  $n \geq N$ , so  $\mu(|f_n - \bar{f}|^{-1}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \infty]) =$

$\mu((|f_n - \bar{f}|^{-1}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \infty]) \cap E^c) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Then  $\|f_n - \bar{f}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$

for all  $n \geq N$ . This prove that  $f_n \rightarrow \bar{f}$  on  $L^\infty(\mu)$ . #

The proof of Theorem 4.90 contains the following result:

**4.91 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be an arbitrary measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(\mu)$ , with limit  $f$ , then  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a subsequence which converges pointwise almost everywhere to  $f(x)$ .

**4.92 Theorem** Let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be the class of all quaternion simple functions  $s$  on  $X$  such that

$$\mu(\{x \in X / s(x) \neq 0\}) < \infty.$$

If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{Y}$  is dense in  $L^p(\mu)$ .

Proof For  $s \in \mathcal{Y}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|s\|_p &= \left\{ \int_X |s|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left\{ \int_{\{x / s(x)=0\}} |s|^p d\mu + \int_{\{x / s(x) \neq 0\}} |s|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left\{ \int_{\{x / s(x) \neq 0\}} |s|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

because  $|s|$  is bounded and  $\mu(\{x / s(x) \neq 0\}) < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq L^p(\mu)$ . Let  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ .

Case 1  $f \geq 0$ . By Theorem 3.15, there exists a simple measurable functions  $s_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) on  $X$  such that

$$0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq s_3 \leq \dots \leq f$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(x) = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Since  $s_n \leq f$ ,  $s_n \in L^p(\mu)$ . Claim that  $s_n \in \mathcal{Y}$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . To prove this, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $s_n \equiv 0$ , then  $s_n \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Assume  $s_n \not\equiv 0$ . Let  $A = \{x \in X / s_n(x) \neq 0\}$ . By the definition of simple measurable function, let

$$s_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \chi_{A_i} + 0 \chi_{A^c}$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$  are positive distinct values of  $s_n$ ,  $\alpha_i \neq 0$  and  $A_i = s_n^{-1}(\alpha_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Suppose  $\mu(A) = \infty$ . so there is  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\mu(A_j) = \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_n\|_p^p &= \int_X |s_n|^p d\mu = \int_A |s_n|^p d\mu \\ &= \int_A \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \chi_{A_i} \right)^p d\mu \geq \int_A \alpha_j^p \chi_{A_j} d\mu \\ &= \alpha_j^p \mu(A_j) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to  $s_n \in L^p(\mu)$ . Hence  $\mu(A) < \infty$ , so  $s_n \in \mathcal{Y}$  and we have the claim. Since  $|f - s_n|^p \leq f^p$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f(x) - s_n(x))^p = 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $f^p \in L^1(\mu)$ ,

by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - s_n\|_p^p &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f - s_n|^p d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X (f - s_n)^p d\mu \\ &= \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f - s_n)^p d\mu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - s_n\|_p = 0$ .

Case 2  $f$  is real. Then  $f = f^+ - f^-$ , so there exist sequences

$(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(s'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f^+ - s_n\|_p = 0$

and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f^- - s'_n\|_p = 0$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$0 \leq \|f - (s_n - s'_n)\|_p \leq \|f^+ - s_n\|_p + \|f^- - s'_n\|_p,$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - (s_n - s'_n)\|_p = 0 \text{ and } s_n - s'_n \in \mathcal{Y} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Case 3  $f$  is quaternion. Then  $f = f_1 + if_2 + jf_3 + kf_4$  for some real measurable functions  $f_i, i \leq 4$ . By Case 2, there exist sequences  $(s_n^{(1)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (s_n^{(2)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (s_n^{(3)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(s_n^{(4)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_1 - s_n^{(1)}\|_p &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_2 - s_n^{(2)}\|_p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_3 - s_n^{(3)}\|_p \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_4 - s_n^{(4)}\|_p = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s_n^{(1)} + is_n^{(2)} + js_n^{(3)} + ks_n^{(4)} \in \mathcal{G}$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|f - (s_n^{(1)} + is_n^{(2)} + js_n^{(3)} + ks_n^{(4)})\|_p \\ &\leq \|f_1 - s_n^{(1)}\|_p + \|f_2 - s_n^{(2)}\|_p + \|f_3 - s_n^{(3)}\|_p + \|f_4 - s_n^{(4)}\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - (s_n^{(1)} + is_n^{(2)} + js_n^{(3)} + ks_n^{(4)})\|_p = 0$ . #

Note: If  $\mu(K) < \infty$  for all compact sets  $K$  of  $X$ , then  $C_c(X) \subseteq L^p(\mu)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Proof Let  $f \in C_c(X)$ . Then support  $f$  is compact, so there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $|f| < M$ , hence  $|f|^p < M^p < \infty$ . Thus  $\int_X |f|^p d\mu = \int_{\text{support } f} |f|^p d\mu \leq M^p \mu(\text{support } f) < \infty$ . Hence  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ . #

4.93 Theorem Let  $X$  be a locally compact,  $\sigma$ -compact

Hausdorff space and let  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $X$  with the properties stated in Theorem 4.76. Then for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $C_c(X)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mu)$ .

Proof [9] Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{s: X \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \mid \text{simple measurable} / \mu(\{x \in X / s(x) \neq 0\}) < \infty\}$ . By Theorem 4.92,  $\mathcal{G}$  is dense in  $L^p(\mu)$ .



Let  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists  $s \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\|f-s\|_p < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Let  $A = \{x \in X / s(x) \neq 0\}$ . Then  $\mu(A) < \infty$  and  $s = 0$  on  $A^c$ . By Lusin's Theorem, there exists  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that

$$\mu(\{x \in X / s(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{4(\sup_{x \in X} |s(x)| + 1)} \right)^p$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in X} |g(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in X} |s(x)|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g-s\|_p &= \left\{ \int_X |g-s|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left\{ \int_{\{x / s(x) \neq g(x)\}} |g-s|^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{\{x / s(x) \neq g(x)\}} (|g|+|s|)^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{\{x / s(x) \neq g(x)\}} (2 \sup_{x \in X} |s(x)|)^p d\mu \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &< (2 \sup_{x \in X} |s(x)|) \frac{\varepsilon}{4(\sup_{x \in X} |s(x)| + 1)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|f-g\|_p \leq \|f-s\|_p + \|g-s\|_p \quad \cdot \#$$