INFLUENCE OF LENGTH OF STAY ON PATIENT SATISFACTION WITH HOSPITAL CARE



Mr. Sanjaya Singh Thapa

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of Master of Public Health Program in Health Systems Development

College of Public Health Sciences

Chulalongkorn University

Academic Year 2007

Copyright of Chulalongkorn University

Thesis Title	INFLUENCE OF LENGTH OF STAY (LOS) ON PATIENT
(*)	SATISFACTION WITH HOSPITAL CARE
Ву	Sanjaya Singh Thapa
Field of Study	Health Systems Development
Thesis Advisor	Associate Professor Sathirakorn Pongpanich, M.A, Ph.D.
Accept b	by College of Public Health Sciences, Chulalongkorn University in
Partial Fulfillme	ent of the Requirement for the Master's Degree
(Pro	Dean of College of Public Health Sciences fessor Surasak Taneepanichsakul, M.D.)
THESIS COMN	MITTEE
Ró	hut Sulgurile Chapman Chairperson
(Rob	pert Sedwick Chapman, M.D, M.P.H.)
6	Thesis Advisor
(Ass	ociate Professor Sathirakorn Pongpanich, M.A, Ph.D)
••••	Vanha Anambel External Member
(Nan	ita Auamkul, M.D, M.P.H.)

.

PH 072479: MAJOR HEALTH SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT

KEY WORDS: PATTIENT SATISFACTION, LENGTH OF HOSPITAL STAY

SANJAYA THAPA: INFLUENCE OF LENGTH OF STAY (LOS) ON

PATIENT SATISFACTION WITH HOSPITAL CARE. THESIS ADVISOR:

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR SATHIRAKORN PONGPANICH, M.A, Ph.D,

99 pp.

A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted from 22nd February 2008 to 22nd March 2008 at Bhumibol Adulyadej Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand. The study was conducted in a hospital setting for the primary purpose of identifying patient satisfaction by different length of stay at a hospital. This study was analyzed using 228 subjects who consented to participate in the study. 23 nurses in the hospital provided the questionnaires in various departments on the day of discharge which included basic demographic information and their satisfaction with the facility, care and the communication skills of personal during their time as patients in the hospital.

Even though the overall satisfaction was high among all 3 groups (group 1 = 95.83%, group 2 = 94.44%, group 3 = 95.31%) of length of stay, the results of the study illustrated that variables such as advice on illness, physicians' responsiveness, physician's attention to take care and physicians' manner were less satisfied with longer length of stay patients compared to shorter length of stay patients. Whereas variables such as results of laboratory and x-ray, cleanliness of room, staffs coordination and cooperation and nurses manner were less satisfied with shorter length of stay patients compared to longer length of stay patients.

I hope this information gathered from this study which were the "true voices" of the patients of the hospital be heard and met for improvements and better patient satisfaction which are influenced by different length of stay (LOS).

Field of Study Health Systems Development Student's signature Academic year 2007 Advisor's signature

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Working on my thesis has been an exciting, challenging, interesting and a very enjoyable journey of learning process. This has been made possible by many people to whom I extend my deep sense of appreciation.

It is with great pleasure I extend my appreciation to the thesis committee members for their valued assistance in completion of this thesis. My sincere gratitude towards Dr. Robert S Chapman, chairperson, Dr. Nanta Auamkul, committee member, and Dr. Sathirakorn Pongpanich, thesis adviser, for their patient guidance, suggestion and supervision in the development and completion of my thesis.

Dr. Viroj Tangcharoensathien, Director, International Health Policy Program, Thailand, Sir, I am grateful for allowing me to use the patient satisfaction questionnaires from your prior study.

I express my thanks to the office staffs at The College of Public Health Sciences, Chulalongkorn University for all their assistance and kindness. My sincere gratitude to the management staff and nurses at Bhumibol Adulyadej Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand for accepting to conduct my thesis and for all the assistance. It would be unfair not to thank my colleagues of the MPH program (Academic Year 2007) for sharing their experiences and knowledge during the time of study.

Finally, I am profoundly grateful to my parents and family who have continually given their love and support during my study at Chulalongkorn University.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
ABSTRACTiii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS iv
TABLE OF CONTENTSv
LIST OF TABLESix
LIST OF TABLESx
CHAPTER I INRODUCTION1
1. Background and Significance of the problem1
2. Health Status in Thailand
3. Present Health Care System in Thailand
4. Health Care Finance in Thailand
5. Rationale of the Research6
6. Research Objective6
7. Conceptual framework for the study7
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW9
1. Introduction9
2. Academic Background
3. Relative Background11
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD14
1. Research Design
2. Study population
3. Study Site

*	Page
4. Sample Size	17
5. Data Collection Method	17
6. Data Analysis	19
7. Interviewer Training	21
8. Confidentiality Protection	22
9. Validity/Reliability of the Questionnaires	22
CHAPTER IV RESRACH RESULT	24
1. Introduction	24
2. Demographic Features	24
2.1 Gender	24
2.2 Age	25
2.3 Martial status	26
2.4 Education	27
2.5 Occupation	28
2.6 Responsible person or agency paying medical services	30
2.8 Length of Stay (LOS)	31
2.9 Inpatient department admitted to in hospital	31
2.10 What disease treatment did you receive at the Hospital?	32
3. Assessment of services offered at the hospital	33
3.1 Why did you choose this hospital?	33
3.2 Did you get advices on your illness and what you should do?	34
3.3 Did you get the results of laboratory examination or x-ray?	35

Page
3.4 Did you get the explanation about your treatment or operation?36
3.5 Did you get advices before discharged?
3.6 Did you know the physicians who treat you?
4. Patient assessment of general services at the hospital
4.1 Cleanliness of room
4.2 Convenience of room
4.3 Staffs' coordination and cooperation
4.4 Physicians' ability
4.5 Physicians physical examination
4.6 Physicians' responsiveness
4.7 Physicians' attention to take care
4.8 Physicians' manner
4.9 Nurses' ability
4.10 Nurses' responsiveness
4.11 Nurses' attention to take care
4.12 Nurses' manners
4.13 Others staff's manner
4.14 Overall satisfaction with hospital care
5. Final patient assessment of hospital services
5.1 Will you recommend this hospital to friends and family in future? 53
5.2 Will you come back to this same hospital in future if you get ill? 54
5.3 Suggest three most important quality of services need improvement in 55

	Page
this hospital.	55
6. Statistical Analysis	56
CHAPTER V DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATION	AND
STUDY LIMITATION	57
1. Discussion	57
2. Conclusion	69
3. Recommendation	72
4. Study Limitations	73
REFERENCE	74
APPENDICES	77
Appendix A Questionnaire (English Version)	78
Appendix B Questionnaire (Thai Version)	81
Appendix C Consent Form (English Version)	86
Appendix D Consent Form (Thai Version)	87
Appendix E Data Table	88
Appendix F Schedule Activity	98
CURRICULUM VITAE	99

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 1: Thailand Health Outcomes	4
Table 2: Thailand Health Facilities	5
Table 3: Thailand Health Human Resources	5
Table 4: Statistical Analysis	56

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1: Conceptual Framework	7
Figure 2: Respondent Gender	25
Figure 3: Respondent Age	26
Figure 4: Respondent Martial Status	27
Figure 5: Respondent Education	28
Figure 6: Respondent Occupation	29
Figure 7: Respondent Income	29
Figure 8: Responsible person or agency paying for medical services	30
Figure 9: Length of Stay (LOS)	31
Figure 10: Inpatient department admitted to in hospital	32
Figure 11: Treatment received for at the hospital	33
Figure 12: Why did you choose this hospital?	34
Figure 13: Did you get advices on your illness and what you should do?	35
Figure 14: Did you get the results of lab examination or x-ray?	36
Figure 15: Did you get explanation about your treatment plan or operation?	37
Figure 16: Did you get advices before discharge?	38
Figure 17: Did you know the physicians who treat you?	39
Figure 18: Cleanliness of room	40
Figure 19: Convenience of room	41
Figure 20: Staffs' coordination and cooperation	42
Figure 21: Physicians' ability	43

r	age
Figure 22: Physicians' physical examination	44
Figure 23: Physicians' responsiveness	45
Figure 24: Physicians' attention to take care	46
Figure 25: Physicians' manner	47
Figure 26: Nurses' ability	48
Figure 27: Nurses' responsiveness	49
Figure 28: Nurses' attention to take care	50
Figure 29: Nurses' manners	51
Figure 30: Others staffs' manner	52
Figure 31: Overall satisfaction with hospital care	53
Figure 32: Will you recommend this hospital to relatives or friends if they get ill?.	54
Figure 33: Will you come back to this hospital if you get ill again?	55