

REFERENCES

- [1] Reif, F. **Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics**. Singapore : McGraw-Hill, 1965.
- [2] Feynman, R. P. **Statistical Mechanics, A Set of Lectures**. Massachusetts : W. A. Benjamin, 1972.
- [3] Feynman, R. P. and Hibbs, A. R. **Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals**. Taiwan : McGraw-Hill, 1965.
- [4] Marion, J. B. **Classical Dynamics of Particles and Systems**. New York : Academic Press, 1970.
- [5] Kleinert, H. **Path Integrals in Quantum Statistics and Polymer Physics**. Singapore : World Scientific, 1990.
- [6] Einstein, A. **Investigation on the Theory of the Brownian Movement**. New York : Dover Publication, 1956.
- [7] Uhlenbeck, G.E. and Orustein, L.S. On the Theory of the Brownian motion. **Phys. Rev.** 36 (1930) : 823.
- [8] Wang, M.C. and Uhlenbeck, G.E. One the Theory of the Brownian Motion II **Rev. Mod. Phys.** 17 (1945) :323.
- [9] Chandrasekhar, S. Stochastic problems in Physics and Astronomy. **Rev. of Mod. Phy.** 15 (1943) : 1
- [10] Kubo, R., Toda, M., and Hashitsume, N. **Statistical Physics II, Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics**, 2nd Ed. Berlin : Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences 31, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [11] Frank, P. **Einstein, his life and times**. New York : Da Capo Series in Science, Da Capo Press, 1947.
- [12] Ross, S. **Stochastic Processes**, 2nd Ed. New York : John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1996.
- [13] Medhi, J. **Stochastic Processes**, 2nd Ed. New Delhi : Wiley Eastern Limited, 1994.
- [14] Doob, J. L. **Ann. Math.** 43 (1942) : 351.
- [15] Risken, H. **The Foker-Planck Equation, Methods of Solution and Applications**, 2ndEd. Berlin : Springer-Verlag, 1996.

- [16] Weiss, U. *Quantum Dissipative Systems*. Singapore : world Scientific, 1993.
- [17] Caldeira, A. O. and Leggett, A. J. Quantum Tunneling in a Dissipative System. *Ann. Phys.* **149** (1983) : 374.
- [18] Han, J. H., Ao, P., and Zhu, x.-M. Effective Mass of a Vortex in a Clean Superconductor. *Cond-mat/9903125* 8 Mar (1999).
- [19] Ao, P. and Thouless, D. J. Tunneling of a Quantized Vortex : Roles of Pinning and Dissipation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1994) : 132.
- [20] Feynman, R. P. and Vernon, F. L. *Ann. Phys. (USA)* **24** (1963) : 118.
- [21] Grabert, H., Schramm, P. and, Ingold, G. L. Quantum Brownian Motion : The Functional Integral Approach. *Physics Report (Review Section of Physics Letter)* **168**, N3 (1988) 115-207.
- [22] Spiegel, M. R. *Theory and Problem of Complex Variables*. Singapore : McGraw-Hill, 1974.
- [23] Meyer, R.E. *Introduction to Mathematical Fluid Dynamics*. New York : Wiley-Interscience, 1971.
- [24] Sonin, E. B. Magnus Force in Superfluids and Superconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* **55** (1997-I) : 485.
- [25] Tilley, D. R. and Tilley, J. *Superfluidity and Superconductivity*. New York : John Wiley & Sons, 1974.
- [26] Thouless, D. J., Ao, P., NIV, Q., Geler, M. R., and Wexler, C. Quantized Vortices in Superfluids and Superconductors. *Cond-mat/9709127* 10 sep (1997).
- [27] Thouless, D. J., Ao, P., and Nin, Q. Transverse Force on a Quantized Vortex in a Superfluid. *Phy. Rev. Lett.* **76** (1996) : 3758.
- [28] Arrhenius, S. Z. *Phys. Chem. (Leipzig)* **4** (1889) : 226.
- [29] Langer, J. S. Theory of the Condensation Point. *Ann. Phys.* **41** (1967) : 108.
- [30] Zhu, X.-M. and Sundqvist, B. From Lorentz Force on Electron to Magnus Force on Vortex, Role of Experiments. *Cond-mat/9703072* 07 Mar (1997).
- [31] Affleck, I. Quantum-Statistical metastability. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46** (1981) :388.
- [32] Grote, R. F. and Hynes, J. T. *J. Chem. Phys.* **73** (1980) : 2715.
- [33] Miller, W. H., Schwartz, S. D., and Tromp, J. W. *J. chem. Phys* **70** (1983) : 4889.

- [34] Hanggi, P., Talkner, P., and Borkovec, M. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62** (1990) : 251.
- [35] Waxmn, D. and Leggett, A.J. Dissipative Quantum Tunneling at Finite Temperature. *Phys. Rev. B* **32** (1985) : 4450.
- [36] Grabert, H., Olschowske, P., and Weiss, U. Quantum Decay Rates for Dissipative Systems at Finite Temperatures. *Phy. Rev. B* **36** (1987) : 1931.
- [37] Hanggi, P., Talkner, P., and Borkovec, M. Reaction-Rate Theory : fifty years after Kramers. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62** (1990) : 251.
- [38] Hakim, V. and Ambegaokar, V. *Phys. Rev. A* **32** (1985) : 423.
- [39] Caldeira, A. O. and Leggett, A. J. *Physica A* **121** (1983) : 587 ; *A* **130** (1985) : 374 (E).
- [40] Caldeira, A. O. and Leggett, A. J. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **46** (1981) : 221.
- [41] Kramers, H. A. *Physica (Utrecht)* **7** (1940) : 284.

APPENDIX A

ELIMINATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATES

From eq. (3.79), by omitting the subscript α on the environmental coordinate q_α, c_α , and m_α , we have the expression

$$\oint Dq(\tau) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^U \left(\frac{m}{2} (\dot{q}^2 + \omega^2 q^2) - cqx \right) d\tau \right\}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\oint Dq(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq' \int_{q(0)=q'}^{q(U)=q'} Dq(\tau)$.

To evaluate the sum over all close paths in eq. (A.1), we first consider

$$\int_{q(0)=q'}^{q(U)=q'} Dq(\tau) \exp \left\{ \int_0^U \left(\frac{m}{2} (\dot{q}^2 + \omega^2 q^2) - cqx \right) d\tau \right\}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Eq. (A.2) is the standard form of the path integration of forced harmonic oscillator. From refs. [2] or [3], eq. (A.2) is equal to

$$\left(\frac{m\omega}{2\pi\hbar \sinh(\omega U)} \right)^{1/2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \Phi^E [x(\tau), q'] \right), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^E [x(\tau), q'] &= \frac{m\omega}{\sinh(\omega U)} [\cosh(\omega U) - 1] q'^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{cq'}{\sinh(\omega U)} \left[\int_0^U (\sinh[\omega(U-\tau)] + \sinh(\omega\tau)) x(\tau) d\tau \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{c^2}{m\omega \sinh(\omega U)} \int_0^U \int_0^\tau \sinh[\omega(U-\tau)] \sinh \omega\tau' x(\tau) x(\tau') d\tau' d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

From eqs. (A.1) –(A.3), it is clear that

$$\oint Dq(\tau) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^U \left(\frac{m}{2} (\dot{q}^2 + \omega^2 q^2) - cqx \right) d\tau \right\} = \left(\frac{m\omega}{2\pi\hbar \sinh(\omega U)} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \Phi^E [x(\tau), q']} dq'. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

By using eq. (A.4) and the formulae $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(ax^2 + bx + c) dx = \sqrt{\pi/-a} \exp(c - b^2/4a)$ and $\cosh(\omega U) - 1 = 2 \sinh^2(\omega U/2)$, eq. (A.5) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \oint Dq(\tau) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^U \left(\frac{m}{2} (\dot{q}^2 + \omega^2 q^2) - cqx \right) d\tau \right\} = \\
& \frac{1}{2 \sinh \left(\frac{\omega U}{2} \right)} \exp \left\{ \frac{c^2}{4m\omega\hbar \sinh(\omega U) [\cosh(\omega U) - 1]} \right. \\
& \times \int_0^U \int_0^U \left\{ \sinh[\omega(U-\tau)] + \sinh(\omega\tau) \right\} \left\{ \sinh[\omega(U-\tau')] + \sinh(\omega\tau') \right\} x(\tau)x(\tau') d\tau d\tau' \\
& \left. + \frac{c^2}{m\omega\hbar \sinh(\omega U)} \int_0^U \int_0^\tau \sinh[\omega(U-\tau)] \sinh(\omega\tau') x(\tau)x(\tau') d\tau d\tau' \right\}. \tag{A.6}
\end{aligned}$$

In the exponent of eq. (A.6), we can change $\int_0^U d\tau \int_0^U d\tau'$ in the first term to $2 \int_0^U d\tau \int_0^\tau d\tau'$ since the integrand is invariant under the interchange between τ and τ' . After this change, by using some hyperbolic function properties (it depends on one's experiences), one can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \oint Dq(\tau) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^U \left(\frac{m}{2} (\dot{q}^2 + \omega^2 q^2) - cqx \right) d\tau \right\} = \frac{1}{2 \sinh(\omega_a U/2)} \times \\
& \exp \left\{ \frac{c^2}{2m\omega\hbar} \int_0^U \int_0^\tau \frac{\cosh[\omega((\tau-\tau')-U/2)]}{\sinh\left(\frac{\omega U}{2}\right)} x(\tau)x(\tau') d\tau d\tau' \right\} \tag{A.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Since, from eq. (A.7), the double integration $\int_0^U d\tau \int_0^\tau d\tau'$ in the exponent implies that $\tau > \tau'$, $(\tau - \tau')$ can be replaced by $|\tau - \tau'|$. After this replacement, the integrand of the exponent of eq. (A.7) is now invariant under the interchange between τ and τ' so the double integration $\int_0^U d\tau \int_0^\tau d\tau'$ can be changed to $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^U d\tau \int_0^U d\tau'$. Now, eq. (A.7) becomes (recalling the subscript α on q_α, c_α , and m_α)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \oint Dq(\tau) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^U \left(\frac{m_\alpha}{2} (\dot{q}_\alpha^2 + \omega_\alpha^2 q_\alpha^2) - c_\alpha q_\alpha x \right) d\tau \right\} = \frac{1}{2 \sinh(\omega_\alpha U/2)} \times \\
& \exp \left\{ \frac{c_\alpha^2}{4m_\alpha \omega_\alpha \hbar} \int_0^U \int_0^U \frac{\cosh(\omega_\alpha [|\tau - \tau'| - U/2])}{\sinh(\omega_\alpha U/2)} x(\tau)x(\tau') d\tau d\tau' \right\} \tag{A.8}
\end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX B

ELIMINATION OF THE COORDINATE x OF A VORTEX

Since $\gamma_n = \gamma_{-n}$, $x_{-n} = x_n^*$ (since $x(\tau)$ must be real), and $v_0 = 0$, eq. (4.31) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\frac{U}{2\hbar} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_n |x_n|^2 - \frac{UM\Omega}{\hbar} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} v_n y_n x_{-n} &= -\frac{U}{2\hbar} \gamma_0 x_0^2 - \frac{U}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n |x_n|^2 - \frac{UM\Omega}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n y_n x_{-n} \\
 &\quad - \frac{UM\Omega}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{-n} y_{-n} x_n \\
 &= -\frac{Uk_x}{2\hbar} x_0^2 - \frac{U}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n |x_n|^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{UM\Omega}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (v_n y_n x_{-n} + v_{-n} y_{-n} x_n).
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Now, let us define $x'_n = \text{Re } x_n$, $y'_n = \text{Re } y_n$, $x''_n = \text{Im } x_n$, and $y''_n = \text{Im } y_n$. By these definitions and the properties $v_{-n} = -v_n$, $x_{-n} = x_n^*$, and $y_{-n} = y_n^*$, eq. (B.1) can be expressed, after completing the square, in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\frac{U}{2\hbar} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_n |x_n|^2 - \frac{UM\Omega}{\hbar} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} v_n y_n x_{-n} &= -\frac{U}{2\hbar} k_x x_0^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{U\gamma_n}{\hbar} \right) \left(x'_n + \frac{iM\Omega v_n y''_n}{\gamma_n} \right)^2 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{U\gamma_n}{\hbar} \right) \left(x''_n - \frac{iM\Omega v_n y'_n}{\gamma_n} \right)^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{UM^2\Omega^2}{\hbar} \right) \frac{v_n^2}{\gamma_n} |y_n|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

Substituting eq. (B.2) for the exponent of the integrand of eq. (4.24) and using eq. (4.26) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \oint Dx(\tau) \exp(-S_{x,1}^E[y, x]/\hbar) F^E[x] &= \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{M}{2\pi\hbar U}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{Uk_x}{2\hbar}\right) x_0^2\right] dx_0 \cdot \frac{MU}{\pi\hbar} \times \right. \\
 &\quad \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{U\gamma_n}{\hbar} \left(x'_n + \frac{iM\Omega v_n y''_n}{\gamma_n}\right)^2\right] dx'_n \right) \times \\
 &\quad \left. \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{U\gamma_n}{\hbar} \left(x''_n - \frac{iM\Omega v_n y'_n}{\gamma_n}\right)^2\right] dx''_n \right) \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{UM^2\Omega^2}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{v_n^2}{\gamma_n} |y_n|^2\right).
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

After evaluating the usual Gaussian integrals in eq. (B.3) and recalling the definition of γ_n in eq. (4.31), eq. (B.3) can be written as

$$\oint Dx(\tau) \exp(-S_{\text{X1}}^{\text{E}}[y, x]/\hbar) J^{\text{E}}[x] = \left[\frac{1}{U\omega_x} \prod_{n=1}^{\omega} \left(\frac{Mv_n^2}{Mv_n^2 + M\omega_x^2 + \xi_n} \right) \right] \times \exp\left(-\frac{UM^2\Omega^2}{\hbar} \sum_{n=1}^{\omega} \frac{v_n^2}{\gamma_n} |y_n|^2 \right) \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where $\omega_x^2 = k_x/M$.

APPENDIX C

EVALUATION OF THE SEMICLASSICAL EFFECTIVE ACTION

About $y = 0$, the potential $V(y)$ can be written, by Taylor series, as

$$V(y) = \frac{V''(0)}{2} y^2 + O(y^3) \quad (\text{since } V(0) = 0 = V'(0)). \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Similarly, about $y = y_b$, we have

$$V(y) = V_b + \frac{V''(y_b)}{2} (y - y_b)^2 + O((y - y_b)^3) \quad (\text{since } V'(y_b) = 0). \quad (\text{C.2})$$

Since we have to find the "semiclassical" effective action $S_{\text{cl}}^{(a)}$ and $S_{\text{cl}}^{(b)}$ through eq. (4.51), eqs. (C.1) and (C.2) can be approximately written as

$$V(y) = \frac{1}{2} M \omega_0^2 y^2 \quad (\text{since } V''(0) = M \omega_0^2), \quad (\text{C.3})$$

and

$$V(y) = V_b - \frac{1}{2} M \omega_b^2 (y - y_b)^2 \quad (\text{since } V''(y_b) = -M \omega_b^2), \quad (\text{C.4})$$

respectively. About $y = 0$, let us consider $\int_0^U V(y) d\tau$. From eq. (C.3), we have

$$\int_0^U V(y) d\tau = \frac{1}{2} M \omega_0^2 \int_0^U y^2(\tau) d\tau. \quad (\text{C.5})$$

Substituting eq (4.30) for $y(\tau)$ in eq (C.5), we obtain

$$\int_0^U V(y) d\tau = \frac{1}{2} M \omega_0^2 U \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |y_n|^2. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

To arrive this result, we have used the orthogonality relation $\int_0^U e^{i\nu_n \tau} e^{-i\nu_m \tau} d\tau = U \delta_{n,m}$.

Similarly, about $y = y_b$, we have

$$\int_0^U V(y) d\tau = V_b U - \frac{1}{2} M \omega_b^2 \int_0^U (y(\tau) - y_b)^2 d\tau. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

Let $y(\tau) = y_b + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \zeta_n e^{i\nu_n \tau}$. It is clear from eq. (4.30) that

$$y_0 = y_b + \zeta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_n = \zeta_n \quad \text{for all } n \neq 0. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

Now, by using the orthogonality relation of $e^{i\nu_n\tau}$, eq. (C.7) becomes

$$\int_0^U V(\mathbf{y}) d\tau = V_b U - \frac{1}{2} M \omega_b^2 U \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\zeta_n|^2. \quad (\text{C.9})$$

Inserting eq. (C.6) into eq. (4.51), we get

$$S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{E(a)}}[\mathbf{y}] = \frac{1}{2} m U \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_n |y_n|^2 + \frac{1}{2} M \omega_0^2 U \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |y_n|^2.$$

By using the properties $y_n = y_n^*$ and $\lambda_n = \lambda_n^*$, the above equation can be written in the form

$$S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{E(a)}}[\mathbf{y}] = \frac{1}{2} M U \lambda_0^{(a)} y_0^2 + M U \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{(a)} |y_n|^2; \lambda_n^{(a)} = \nu_n^2 + \omega_0^2 + \nu_n \hat{\gamma}_M(\nu_n). \quad (\text{C.10})$$

Similarly, when inserting eq. (C.9) into eq. (4.51), we obtain

$$S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{E(b)}}[\mathbf{y}] = V_b U + \frac{1}{2} M U \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_n |y_n|^2 - \frac{1}{2} M \omega_b^2 U \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\zeta_n|^2. \quad (\text{C.11})$$

By using eq. (C.8) and the properties $\lambda_n = \lambda_n^*$, $y_n = y_n^*$ and $\zeta_n = \zeta_n^*$, eq. (C.11) becomes

$$S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{E(b)}}[\mathbf{y}] = V_b U + \frac{1}{2} M U \lambda_0^{(b)} (y_0 - y_b)^2 + M U \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{(b)} |y_n|^2; \lambda_n^{(b)} = \nu_n^2 - \omega_b^2 + \nu_n \hat{\gamma}_M(\nu_n) \quad (\text{C.12})$$

Since, in our problem, $S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{E(b)}}[\mathbf{y}]$ will be used to evaluate the reduced partition function $Z_{\text{d}}^{(b)}$ in eq. (4.48) only, it is clear from the function measure (4.26) (here, x_n must be replaced by y_n) that we can write $(y_0 - y_b)$ in the second term of eq. (C.12) as y_0 without affecting the reduced partition function $Z_{\text{d}}^{(b)}$. By this reason, eq. (C.12) can be written in the form

$$S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{E(b)}}[\mathbf{y}] = V_b U + \frac{1}{2} M U \lambda_0^{(b)} y_0^2 + m U \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{(b)} |y_n|^2; \lambda_n^{(b)} = \nu_n^2 - \omega_b^2 + \nu_n \hat{\gamma}_M(\nu_n). \quad (\text{C.13})$$

APPENDIX D

LINEARIZATION OF THE EQUATION OF MOTION

From eq. (4.44), we have the equation of motion

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -M\ddot{y}_c(\tau) + V'(y_c) + 2y_c(\tau) \int_0^U [g(\tau - \tau') - k(\tau - \tau')] d\tau' \\
 & - 2 \int_0^U [g(\tau - \tau') - k(\tau - \tau')] y_c(\tau') d\tau' = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{D.1}$$

Inserting eq. (4.68) into the third term of eq. (D.1) and using the orthogonality relation

$\int_0^U e^{i\nu_n\tau} e^{-i\nu_m\tau} d\tau = U\delta_{n,m}$ with the fact that $I_0^2 = 1$, eq. (D.1) can be written as

$$-M\ddot{y}_c(\tau) + V'(y_c) + M\Omega^2 y_c(\tau) - 2 \int_0^U [g(\tau - \tau') - k(\tau - \tau')] y_c(\tau') d\tau' = 0. \tag{D.2}$$

Slightly below T_0 , $y_c(\tau)$ can be replaced by $y_b(\tau)$ expressed in eq. (4.67) and U is now approximately replaced by $U_0 = 2\pi / \beta_0 \hbar = 2\pi k_B T_0 / \hbar$. After this replacement, eq. (D.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & M\varepsilon\omega_R^2 \cos(\omega_R\tau) + V'[y_b + \varepsilon \cos(\omega_R\tau)] + M\Omega^2 y_b + M\Omega^2 \varepsilon \cos(\omega_R\tau) \\
 & - 2y_b \int_0^{U_0} [g(\tau - \tau') - k(\tau - \tau')] d\tau' - 2\varepsilon \int_0^{U_0} [g(\tau - \tau') - k(\tau - \tau')] \cos(\omega_R\tau') d\tau' = 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{D.3}$$

where $\omega_R = 2\pi / \beta_0 \hbar$. When inserting eq. (4.68) (U is now equal to U_0) in the fifth term of eq. (D.3) and using the orthogonality relation of $e^{i\nu_n\tau}$ (ν_n is now equal to $2n\pi / \beta_0 \hbar$), one can see that the fifth term will cancel with the third term. In the second term of eq. (D.3), expanding $V'[y_b + \varepsilon \cos(\omega_R\tau)]$ about y_b and using the fact that $O(\varepsilon^2) = 0$, we get $V'[y_b + \varepsilon \cos(\omega_R\tau)] = V''(y_b)\varepsilon \cos(\omega_R\tau)$ since $V'(y_b) = 0$. By these reasons, eq. (D.3) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 & M\varepsilon\omega_R^2 \cos(\omega_R\tau) + \varepsilon V''(y_b) \cos(\omega_R\tau) + M\Omega^2 \varepsilon \cos(\omega_R\tau) \\
 & - 2\varepsilon \int_0^{U_0} [g(\tau - \tau') - k(\tau - \tau')] \cos(\omega_R\tau') d\tau' = 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{D.4}$$

Now, let us consider

$$\int_0^{U_0} [g(\tau - \tau') - k(\tau - \tau')] \cos(\omega_R\tau') d\tau' = \text{Re} \left\{ \int_0^{U_0} [g(\tau - \tau') - k(\tau - \tau')] e^{i\omega_R\tau'} d\tau' \right\}. \tag{D.5}$$

By using eq. (4.68) and the orthogonality relation of $e^{i\nu\tau}$ with the fact that $\omega_R = 2\pi / \beta_0 \hbar = \nu_1$, one can show that

$$\int_0^{U_0} [g(\tau - \tau') - k(\tau - \tau')] e^{i\omega_R \tau'} d\tau' = \frac{M\Omega^2}{2} I_1 e^{i\omega_R \tau}. \quad (\text{D.6})$$

Inserting eq. (D.6) into eq. (D.5) and recalling the definition of I_n from eq. (4.68), we obtain

$$\int_0^{U_0} [g(\tau - \tau') - k(\tau - \tau')] \cos(\omega_R \tau') d\tau' = \frac{M\Omega^2}{2} \left[\frac{M\omega_x^2 + \xi_1}{M\omega_R^2 + M\omega_x^2 + \xi_1} - \frac{\xi_1}{M\Omega^2} \right] \cos(\omega_R \tau). \quad (\text{D.7})$$

Inserting eq. (D.7) into eq. (D.4) and using the relation in eq. (4.50) with the fact that $\nu_1 = \omega_R$, we obtain

$$\left\{ M\omega_R^2 + V''(y_b) + M\Omega^2 - M\Omega^2 \left[\frac{\omega_x^2 + \omega_R \hat{\gamma}(\omega_R)}{\omega_R^2 + \omega_x^2 + \omega_R \hat{\gamma}(\omega_R)} - \frac{\omega_R \hat{\gamma}(\omega_R)}{\Omega^2} \right] \right\} \mathcal{E} \cos(\omega_R \tau) = 0 \quad (\text{D.8})$$

APPENDIX E

PROOF OF $\eta_0 > \eta_c$

We have already known that η_c obeys the equation

$$\frac{\Omega^2}{1 + \frac{2\eta_c}{n\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{j(\omega)}{\omega^3} d\omega} = \omega_b^2 \quad (\text{E.1})$$

From eq. (4.74) (with $\omega_v = 0$) and the definition of $\hat{\gamma}_\eta$ in eq. (4.75), it is clear that η_0 obeys the equation

$$\frac{2\Omega^2}{1 + \frac{2\eta_0}{n\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{j(\omega)}{\omega(\omega^2 + \omega_R^2)} d\omega} = \omega_b^2 \quad (\text{E.2})$$

Equating the left hand side of eq.(E.1) with the left hand side of eq.(E.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2\eta_0}{n\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{j(\omega)}{\omega(\omega^2 + \omega_R^2)} d\omega &= 1 - \frac{4\eta_c}{n\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{j(\omega)}{\omega^3} d\omega \\ &> \frac{2\eta_c}{n\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{j(\omega)}{\omega^3} d\omega \\ &\geq \frac{2\eta_c}{n\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{j(\omega)}{\omega(\omega^2 + \omega_R^2)} d\omega \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E.3})$$

Now, it is clear from (E.3) that $\eta_0 > \eta_c$.

CURRICULUM VITAE

Mr. Supitch Khemmani was born on April 3, 1975 in Bangkok. He received his B.Sc. degree in Physics from Chulalongkorn University in 1995.

