METHANE REFORMING WITH CARBON DIOXIDE OVER Pt/ZrO₂ CATALYST PROMOTED WITH Ce AND Y



Ms. Maneenapang Bunnag

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University in Academic Partnership with The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma, and Case Western Reserve University 2000 ISBN 974-334-129-3

Thesis Title : Methane Reforming with Carbon Dioxide over Pt/ZrO2

Catalyst Promoted with Ce and Y

By : Ms. Maneenapang Bunnag

Program : Petrochemical Technology

Thesis Advisors: Prof. Daniel E. Resasco

Assoc. Prof. Kunchana Bunyakiat

Dr. Pramoch Rangsunvigit

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

College Director

(Prof. Somchai Osuwan)

Thesis Committee:

(Prof. Daniel E. Resasco)

(Assoc. Prof. Kunchana Bunyakiat)

K. Bunyahiat.

(Dr. Pramoch Rangsunvigit)

(Asst. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon)

บทคัดย่อ

มณีนภางค์ บุนนาค: การรีฟอร์มก๊าซคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์ด้วยก๊าซมีเทนโดยใช้แพลทติ นัม-เซอร์ โคเนียซึ่งมีซีเรียมและอิทเตรียมเป็นโปรโมเตอร์เป็นสารเร่งปฏิกิริยา (Methane reforming with carbon dioxide over Pt/ZrO_2 catalyst promoted with Ce and Y) อาจารย์ที่ปรึกษา: ศ. แคเนียล อี รีซัสโค รศ. กัญจนา บุณยเกียรติ และ คร. ปราโมช รังสรรค์วิจิตร 50หน้า ISBN 974-334-129-3

งานวิจัยนี้ศึกษาการรีฟอร์มก๊าซคาร์บอนไดออกไซด์ด้วยก๊าซมีเทนที่อุณหภูมิ 800°C โดยใช้แพลทตินัม-เซอร์โคเนีย (Pt/ZrO2) เป็นสารเร่งปฏิกิริยา การเสื่อมสภาพของสารเร่งปฏิกิริยาทำโดยศึกษาปฏิกิริยาของก๊าซผสม CH4:CO2 2:1 และค่า GHSV 477500 h⁻¹ ผล กระทบของโปรโมเตอร์ 2 ชนิด คือซีเรียม (Ce) และอิทเตรียม (Y) ต่อพฤติกรรม (activity) และเสถียรภาพ (stability) ของสารเร่งปฏิกิริยาพบว่า การเติมโปรโมเตอร์ที่มีความเข้มข้นที่ เหมาะสมที่สุด 5% นั้น ไม่เพียงแต่เพิ่มพื้นที่ผิวของสารเร่งปฏิกิริยาโดยการรักษาเสถียรภาพของ รูปแบบเตตระโกนอล (tetragonal) ของเซอร์โคเนีย (zirconia) เท่านั้น แต่ยังช่วยเพิ่มเสถียรภาพ (stability) ของสารเร่งปฏิกิริยาด้วย การศึกษาพฤติกรรมในการเร่งปฏิกิริยาของโปรโมเตอร์ ผสมโดยใช้การเตรียมต่างกัน 2 วิธีคือ อิมเพรกเนชั่น (impregnation) และการตกตะกอนร่วม (co-precipitation) พบว่าที่อุณหภูมิที่ใช้ในการแคลไซน์ (calcination temperature) 800°C นั้น การเตรียมแบบอิมเพรกเนชั่นให้พื้นที่ผิวและเสถียรภาพสูงกว่าการเตรียมแบบตก ตะกอนร่วม พื้นที่ผิว พฤติกรรม และเสถียรภาพของสารเร่งปฏิกิริยาที่เตรียมโดยวิธีการตกตะกอน ร่วมดีขึ้นเมื่อลดอุณหภูมิที่ใช้ในการแคลใชน์เป็น 600°C

ABSTRACT

4171015063: PETROCHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

KEYWORD: Methane reforming/ Platinum/ Zirconia/ Cerium/ Yttrium

Maneenapang Bunnag: Methane Reforming with Carbon

Dioxide over Pt/ZrO₂ Catalyst Promoted with Ce and Y.

Thesis Advisors: Prof. Daniel E. Resasco, Assoc. Prof.

Kunchana Bunyakiat and Dr. Pramoch Rangsunvigit 50 pp,

ISBN 974-334-129-3

The reforming of CH₄ with CO₂ was studied at 800°C over Pt/ZrO₂ catalysts. The CH₄:CO₂ concentration ratio of 2:1 and GHSV of 477500 h⁻¹ were used to observe catalyst deactivation. The effects of two promoters, Ce and Y, on the catalyst activity and stability were investigated. The results showed that the addition of the promoters, at the optimum concentration of 5%, not only increased the catalyst surface area by stabilizing the tetragonal form of ZrO₂ but also improved the catalyst stability. The mixed-promoter catalytic behavior was also studied. The mixed-promoter catalysts were prepared by two different techniques, impregnation and co-precipitation. Comparison of the results indicated that at the calcination temperature of 800°C the impregnation technique yielded higher surface area, activity and stability than the co-precipitation technique. The surface area, activity and stability of the catalysts prepared by the co-precipitation technique were improved when the calcination temperature was decreased to 600°C.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work cannot be successfully completed without the participation of the following individuals and organizations.

First of all, I would like to express my graceful thanks to Professor Daniel E. Resasco, my advisor, for his knowledge, endless guidance, fellowship, encouragement, and every other valuable thing.

I wish to express my deep gratitude to Associate Professor Kunchana Bunyakiat and Dr. Pramoch Rangsunvigit, who acted as my thesis Thai advisors, for their valuable suggestion, sincere attention, strong encouragement and time spent discussing throughout this research work.

I would also like to acknowledge Assistant Professor Thirasak Rirksomboon for his brain storming to solve GC problem and also for serving on my thesis committee.

I am most obliged to the Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang for the use for chemisorption instrument.

I am deeply indebted the College for the financial support and providing the opportunity to study in this institution. Thanks are also extended to PPC staff for their helpful assistance and warm support.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to all of my friends and Ph.D. students for their assistance and useful discussions, funny recreations, and taking care of me thoughout two years of my education.

Finally, I would like to express my whole-hearted gratitude for the greatest love, and support I received all of my life from my family. Their respect in my decision and understanding and trust in whatever I do contributed so deeply in my work.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	Title Page	i
	Abstract (in English)	iii
	Abstract (in Thai)	iv
	Acknowledgement	V
	Table of Contents	vi
	List of Tables	ix
	List of Figures	X
CHAPTER		
I	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Research Objectives	3
II	BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE SURVEY	4
	2.1 Methane Reforming with Carbon Dioxide Reaction	4
	2.2 Catalyst Development	8
	2.2.1 Active Metals	8
	2.2.2 Supports	9
	2.2.3 Promoters	11
Ш	EXPERIMENTAL SECTION	13
	3.1 Experimental Setup	13
	3.2 Experimental Procedures	15
	3.2.1 Catalyst Preparation	15
	3.2.1.1 Impregnation Technique	16
	3.2.1.2 Co-precipitation Technique	17

CHAPTER		PAGE
	3.2.2 Catalyst Characterization	18
	3.2.2.1 BET Surface Area Measurement	18
	3.2.2.2 X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis	18
	3.2.2.3 Hydrogen Chemisorption Measuremen	t 19
	3.2.3 Methane Reforming with Carbon Dioxide	19
	3.2.3.1 Effects of CH ₄ /CO ₂ Ratio	20
	3.2.3.2 Effects of Gas Hour Space Velocity	
	(GHSV)	20
	3.2.3.3 Effects of Promoters	21
	3.2.3.4 Effects of Mixed-promoter	21
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	22
	4.1 Reaction Conditions	22
	4.1.1 Effects of CH ₄ /CO ₂ Ratio	22
	4.1.2 Effects of GHSV	25
	4.2 Catalyst Activity and Stability	26
	4.2.1 Effects of Ce	26
	4.2.2 Effects of Y	31
	4.2.3 Effects of Mixed-promoter	37
	4.2.3.1 Impregnation Catalyst	37
	4.2.3.2 Co-precipitation Catalyst	40
V	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	45
	5.1 Conclusions	45
	5.2 Recommendations	46
	DEEEDENCES	47

CHAPTER	PAGE
CURRICULUM VITAE	50

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1		PAGE	
3.1	Notation for the unpromoted catalysts	16	
3.2	Notation for the promoted catalysts	16	
3.3	Notation for the mixed-promoter catalysts	16	
3.4	Reactant compositions	20	
3.5	GHSV applied in this work	21	
4.1	BET surface area, pore volume, and %metal dispersion of		
	Ce-promoted catalysts compared with unpromoted catalyst		
	at CH_4/CO_2 ratio of 2/1 and GSHV of 477500 h^{-1}	28	
4.2	BET surface area and pore volume of Y-promoted catalysts		
	compared with unpromoted catalyst at CH ₄ /CO ₂ ratio of		
	2/1 and GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹	31	
4.3	BET surface area and pore volume of mixed-promoter catalysts		
	prepared by impregnation technique compared with		
	unpromoted catalyst at CH ₄ /CO ₂ ratio of 2/1 and GHSV of		
	477500 h ⁻¹	37	
4.4	BET surface area and pore volume of mixed-promoter catalysts		
	prepared by co-precipitation technique at CH ₄ /CO ₂ ratio of		
	2/1 and GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹	40	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGUR	FIGURE	
2.1	Cleaning mechanism scheme	7
3.1	Schematic of the experimental apparatus	14
4.1	CH ₄ conversion as a function of time for Pt/5CeZ at a GHSV	
	of 477500 h ⁻¹ at various CH ₄ /CO ₂ feed ratios	23
4.2	CO ₂ conversion as a function of time for Pt/5CeZ at a GHSV	
	of 477500 h ⁻¹ at various CH ₄ /CO ₂ feed ratios	23
4.3	H ₂ /CO product ratio as a function of time for Pt/5CeZ at a	
	GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹ at various CH ₄ /CO ₂ feed ratios	25
4.4	CH ₄ conversion as a function of time for Pt/5CeZ at CH ₄ /CO ₂	
	ratio of 2/1 at various GHSV	27
4.5	CO ₂ conversion as a function of time for Pt/5CeZ at CH ₄ /CO ₂	
	ratio of 2/1 at various GHSV	27
4.6	XRD patterns for Ce-promoted catalysts compared with	
	unpromoted catalyst; tetragonal form (★), monoclinic form (●)	29
4.7	CH ₄ conversion as a function of time for Ce-promoted catalysts	
	compared with unpromoted catalyst at CH ₄ /CO ₂ ratio of 2/1	
	and GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹	30
4.8	XRD patterns for Y-promoted catalysts compared with	
	unpromoted catalyst; tetragonal form (★), monoclinic form (●)	32
4.9	CH ₄ conversion as a function of time for Y-promoted catalysts	
	compared with unpromoted catalysts at CH ₄ /CO ₂ ratio of 2/1	
	and GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹	33
4.10	CH ₄ conversion as a function of time for Ce-promoted catalysts	
	compared with Y-promoted catalysts at CH ₄ /CO ₂ ratio of 2/1	
	and GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹	35

FIGURE		PAGE
4.11	H ₂ /CO product ratio as a function of time for Ce-promoted	
	catalysts compared with Y-promoted catalysts at CH ₄ /CO ₂	
	ratio of 2/1 and GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹	36
4.12	CH ₄ conversion as a function of time for mixed-promoter	
	catalysts prepared by impregnation technique at CH ₄ /CO ₂	
	ratio of 2/1 and GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹	38
4.13	CH ₄ conversion as a function of time for mixed-promoter	
	catalysts prepared by impregnation technique compared	
	with Pt/Z, Pt/5CeZ, and Pt/5YZ catalysts at CH ₄ /CO ₂ ratio	
	of 2/1 and GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹	39
4.14	XRD patterns for ZrO ₂ prepared by co-precipitation technique	
	calcined at 600°C and 800°C; tetragonal form (★), monoclinic	
	form (●)	42
4.15	CH ₄ conversion as a function of time for mixed-promoter	
	catalysts prepared by co-precipitation technique at CH ₄ /CO ₂	
	ratio of 2/1 and GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹	43
4.16	CH ₄ conversion as a function of time for mixed-promoter	
	catalysts prepared by impregnation technique compared with	
	co-precipitation technique at CH ₄ /CO ₂ ratio of 2/1 and GHSV	
	of 477500 h ⁻¹	43
4.17	CH ₄ conversion as a function of time for mixed-promoter	
	catalysts compared with Pt/Z, Pt/5CeZ, and Pt/5YZ catalysts	
	at CH ₄ /CO ₂ ratio of 2/1 and GHSV of 477500 h ⁻¹	44