A MIXED INTEGER LINEAR PROGRAMMING (MILP) MODEL FOR HEAT EXCHANGER NETWORKS RETROFIT

Narumon Vipanurat

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of Master of Science

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University
in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,

Case Western Reserve University and Institut Français du Pétrole

2005
ISBN 974-9651-83-9

Thesis Title:

A Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP) Model for

Heat Exchanger Networks Retrofit

By:

Narumon Vipanurat

Program:

Petroleum Technology

Thesis Advisors:

Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond

Prof. Miguel Bagajewicz

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

Nantayn Yanuart · College Director

(Assoc. Prof. Nantaya Yanumet)

Thesis Committee:

(Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond)

Kitipat Siemanond

(Prog. Miguel Bagajewicz)

(Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon)

Thully

(Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit)

Ramoch R.

ABSTRACT

4673004063: Petroleum Technology Program

Narumon Vipanurat: A Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP)

Model for Heat Exchanger Networks Retrofit

Thesis Advisors: Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond, and

Prof. Miguel Bagajewicz, 193 pp. ISBN 974-9651-83-9

Keywords: Retrofit / Heat Exchanger Networks/ Mixed Integer Linear

Programming

Today all industries must deal with volatile crude oil prices and additional investments are required to meet more stringent environmental regulations. Therefore, optimization of energy usage through more efficient heat exchanger network retrofit and heat integration with the cost reduction are playing an extensive attraction. The grass-root and retrofit designs of heat exchanger network for process industries are addressed in this paper. A strategy is proposed as the MILP formulation based on the special transshipment structure concept. This methodology can generate networks where utility cost, heat exchanger areas and selection of matches are optimized simultaneously. In addition, the simplicity in model assumption, non-isothermal mixing, comes with handling constraints such as stream splitting and allowed/forbidden matches which bring the model structure more convenient to use.

The application examples presented here show that the automatic rigorous MILP model can be successfully applied to the complex hot and cold process streams which provides a profitable network for both grass-root design and retrofit, 24.06% total cost saving in the case study of crude distillation unit. This approach also gives a clear advantage over Hypertargets method (Briones, 1999) with more saving about 118 k\$/yr of total cost. Finally, in special scenario, relocation topology can be used for further reduction in total cost. The MILP also gives the highest annual cost saving for retrofit HEN by comparing with the approaches of Ciric et al. (1989) and Kin-Lung et al. (2000).

บทคัดย่อ

นฤมล วิภาณุรัตน์: แบบจำลอง MILP เพื่อการออกแบบและปรับปรุงเครือข่าย แลกเปลี่ยนความร้อน (A Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP) Model for Heat Exchanger Networks Retrofit) อ. ที่ปรึกษา: ผศ. คร. กิติพัฒน์ สีมานนท์ และ ศ. คร. มิเกล บา กาเฮวิช 193 หน้า ISBN 974-9651-83-9

เนื่องจากภาวะราคาน้ำมันที่ผันผวนในสถานการณ์ปัจจุบันนี้ ทุกอุตสาหกรรมมักประสบ อีกทั้งยังมีค่าใช้จ่ายในการคิคตั้งอุปกรณ์เพื่อความ ปัญหาภาวะต้นทุนการผลิตที่เพิ่มสูงขึ้น ปลอคภัยทางสิ่งแวคล้อม คังนั้น การออกแบบและปรับปรุงกระบวนการที่เหมาะสมเพื่อก่อให้ ประสิทธิภาพในการใช้พลังงานสูงสุด และลคภาระค่าใช้จ่ายต่างๆภายในโรงงานอุตสาหกรรมจึง การออกแบบเครื่อข่ายแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อนซึ่งถือเป็น เป็นสิ่งสำคัญ โคยเฉพาะอย่างยิ่ง กระบวนการที่ใช้พลังงานปริมาณมาก กระบวนการหนึ่งภายในโรงงานอุตสาหกรรม วิทยานิพนธ์ นี้ นำเสนอวิธีการออกแบบและปรับปรุงเครือข่ายแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อนโดยอาศัยแบบจำลองทาง คณิตศาสตร์และคอมพิวเตอร์โปรแกรม โดยวิธีการที่ใช้ จะสามารถคำนวณค่าใช้จ่ายทั้งหมดใน เครือข่ายการแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อน ซึ่งประกอบคัวย ค่าใช้จ่ายของสาธารณูปโภค พื้นที่ และจำนวน ของเครื่องแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อน ในเวลาเดียวกัน แบบจำลองทางคณิตศาสตร์นี้ยังสามารถ ประยุกต์ใช้กับกระบวนการที่มีข้อจำกัดต่างๆ เช่น ข้อจำกัดในการจับคู่ของสายสตรีมร้อนและสาย สตรีมเย็น การแบ่งสายสตรีมเพื่อเพิ่มความสามารถในการแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อน เป็นต้น ใน วิทยานิพนธ์ฉบับนี้ ยกตัวอย่าง การปรับปรุงกระบวนการเครือข่ายการแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อน ของ หน่วยการกลั่นน้ำมัน ซึ่งเมื่อประยุกต์ใช้แบบจำลองนี้แล้วพบว่าสามารถประหยัคค่าใช้จ่ายได้ถึง 1.65 ล้านเหรียญสหรัฐต่อปี แบบจำลองนี้ยังสามารถปรับปรุงให้ลดค่าใช้จ่ายได้มากขึ้นอีก โดย อาศัยหลักการข้ายตำแหน่งของเครื่องแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อนจากคู่สายสตรีมเคิมไปอยู่ในตำแหน่ง คู่สายสตรีมใหม่ ซึ่งผลที่ได้พบว่าสามารถลดค่าใช้จ่ายได้เพิ่มขึ้น และเครือข่ายแลกเปลี่ยนความ ร้อนที่ออกแบบ โดยหลักการการข้ายตำแหน่งของเครื่องแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อนนี้ น้อยกว่าวิธีการออกแบบเครื่อข่ายแลกเปลี่ยนความร้อน ที่เสนอโคย Ciric et al., (1989) และ Kin-Lung et al., (2000)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been a very good experience. It would not have been successful without the assistance of the following individuals and organization.

This thesis work is partially funded by Postgraduate Education and Research Programs in Petroleum and Petrochemical Technology (PPT Consortium).

First, I gratefully acknowledge Prof. Miguel Bagajewicz, and Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond, my advisors, for several enlightens suggestions, discussions, and encouragement throughout the course of my work. This thesis would never have been completed without their consistent help.

I would also thank Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit, and Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon, my thesis committee, for their well-intentioned suggestions and comments is greatly acknowledged.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank all The Petroleum and Petrochemical College's staffs who supported me throughout my thesis works and finally forward my special thanks to my friends and all senior students for their friendly help, cheerfulness and suggestions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE
i
iii
iv
v
vi
ix
xii
xiii
1
4
4
4
4
5
7
8
9
9
12
15
22

CHAPTE	CR	PAGE
	2.4.5 Flow Rate Consistency Within	
	Heat Exchangers	25
	2.4.6 Temperature Difference Enforcing	30
	2.4.7 Heat Exchanger Area Calculation	33
	2.4.8 Number of Shells	34
	2.4.9 Objective Function	34
	2.5 Model for Retrofit Heat Exchanger Network	35
	2.5.1 Area Additions for Existing and	
	New Heat Exchanger Unit	35
	2.5.2 Objective Function	38
	2.6 Additional Topics in Heat Exchanger Networks	
	Retrofit	39
	2.6.1 Limitation of Repiping	39
	2.6.2 Relocation of Existing Heat Exchangers	39
III	PROCEDURE	42
	3.1 Grass-root Design for Heat Exchanger Network	42
	3.1.1 Study and Test The MILP Model	42
	3.1.2 Modify Non-Automatic MILP model	42
	3.1.3 Apply The Automatic MILP Model	44
	3.1.4 Analyze The Objective Value Stability	44
	3.2 Retrofit Design for Heat Exchanger Network	. 45
	3.1.5 Adjust Grass-root Design Model to be	
	Retrofit Configuration	45
	3.1.6 Comparison MILP Approach to any	
	Other Methods	45
IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	46
	4.1 Grass-roots Design for HEN	46

CHAPTEI	R	PAGE
	4.1.1 Problem 4.1 (Problem 4S1, Barbaro's work)	46
	4.1.2 Problem 4.2 (Problem 7S4, Barbaro's work)	56
	4.1.3 Problem 4.3 (Problem 10SP1, Barbaro's work)	67
	4.1.4 Problem 4.4 (Problem EX1, Barbaro's work)	71
	4.2 Retrofit for Heat Exchanger Networks	78
	4.2.1 Problem 4.5	81
	4.2.2 Problem 4.6	84
	4.2.3 Problem 4.7	88
	4.3 Additional Topics for HEN Retrofits	92
	4.3.1 Parallel/Series Position for The	
	New Exchanger Installation	92
	4.3.2 Allowed and Forbidden Matches of Hot	
	and Cold Streams	96
	4.3.3 Relocation of Existing Heat Exchangers	98
V	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	103
	REFERENCES	105
	APPENDICES	
	Appendix A Programming Model for Grass-Roots Design	107
•	Appendix B Programming Model for Heat Exchanger Network Retrofit	153
	CURRICULUM VITAE	193

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
2.1	Values of $Y_{ijm}^{z,H}$, $K_{ijm}^{z,H}$ and $\hat{K}_{ijm}^{z,H}$ variables when $(i,j) \notin B$	17
2.2	Values of $Y_{ijm}^{z,H}$, $K_{ijm}^{z,H}$ and $\hat{K}_{ijm}^{z,H}$ variables when $(i,j) \in B$	18
2.3	Values of variables $K_{ijm}^{z,H}$, $\hat{K}_{ijm}^{z,H}$, $Y_{ijm}^{z,H}$ and $\tilde{q}_{ijm}^{z,H}$ when $(i,j) \in B$	21
4.1	Properties of stream for Problem 4.1	46
4.2	Cost data for Problem 4.1	46
4.3	Model statistics for Problem 4.1 at 26 intervals	47
4.4	Result of increasing number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.1 (Two heat transfer zones)	48
4.5	Model statistics for Problem 4.1 (Two heat transfer zones)	49
4.6	Result of increasing number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.1 (One heat transfer zone)	52
4.7	Model statistics for Problem 4.1 (One heat transfer zone)	53
4.8	Properties of stream for Problem 4.2	57
4.9	Result of increasing number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.2 (Two heat transfer zones)	58
4.10	Model statistics for Problem 4.2 (Two heat transfer zones)	58
4.11	Result of increasing number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.2 (One heat transfer zone)	62
4.12	Model statistics for Problem 4.2 (One heat transfer zones)	62
4.13	Properties of stream for Problem 4.3	67
4.14	Result of increasing number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.3 (One heat transfer zone)	67
4.15	Model statistics for Problem 4.3	67
4.16	Properties of stream for Problem 4.4	71
4.17	Result of increasing number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.4 (Two heat transfer zones)	71

TABL	E	PAGE
4.17	Result of increasing number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.4 (Two heat transfer zones)	71
4.18	Model statistics for Problem 4.4 (Two heat transfer zones)	72
4.19	Result of increasing number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.4 (One heat transfer zone)	75
4.20	Model statistics for Problem 4.4 (One heat transfer zone)	75
4.21	Properties of stream for Problem 4.5	82
4.22	Cost data for Problem 4.5	82
4.23	Model Statistics for Problem 4.5	82
4.24	Resulting of retrofit heat exchanger for Problem 4.5	83
4.25	Annual cost comparison between original and retrofit	
	network for Problem 4.5	83
4.26	Stream properties for Problem 4.6	85
4.27	Cost data for Problem 4.6	85
4.28	Model Statistics for Problem 4.6	86
4.29	Resulting of retrofit heat exchanger for Problem 4.6	86
4.30	Annual cost comparison between original and	
	retrofit network for Problem 4.6	87
4.31	Stream and cost data for Problem 4.7	89
4.32	Model statistics for Problem 4.7	90
4.33	Resulting of retrofit heat exchanger network	
	for Problem 4.7	91
4.34	Annual cost comparison between Hypertargets	
	and MILP algorithm	91
4.35	Model statistics for Problem 4.6 with non-splitting	
	cold stream	93
4.36	Model statistics for Problem 4.6 with splitting	
	cold stream	94
4.37	Resulting of retrofit HEN for non-splitting cold stream	95

TABLE		PAGE
4.38	Resulting of retrofit HEN for splitting cold stream	95
4.39	Cost comparison between parallel and series placement	
	of the new exchanger	96
4.40	Model statistics for retrofit with forbidden match (I1,J2)	97
4.41	Resulting of retrofit with forbidden match (I1,J2)	97
4.42	Annual cost comparison between original retrofit	
	and retrofit with forbidden match (I1,J2)	97
4.43	Model statistics for Problem 4.5 with relocation	99
4.44	Resulting of retrofit heat exchanger with relocation	
	for Problem 4.5	100
4.45	Resulting of Retrofit HEN by Ciric and Floudas (1989)	101
4.46	Resulting of Retrofit HEN by Kin-Lung Ma et al.(2002)	102
4.47	Cost comparison for retrofit relocation algorithm	102

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGU	RE	PAGE
1.1	GAMS Diagram	2
2.1	Basic scheme of the transportation/transshipment model	10
2.2	A case where more than one heat exchanger unit	
	is required for a match (i,j)	12
2.3	Non-isothermal split mixing	14
2.4	Heat exchanger definition when $(i,j) \notin B$	18
2.5	Heat exchanger definition when $(i,j) \in B$	19
2.6	Heat transfer consistency example when $(i,j) \in B$	22
2.7	Integer cut for heat exchanger end when $(i,j) \in B$	26
2.8	Flow rate consistency equations	27
2.9	Temperature difference assurance when splits	
	are not allowed	31
2.10	Temperature difference assurance when splits are allowed	32
2.11	Temperature difference assurance at the hot end of	
	an exchanger - $i \in S^H$, $j \in S^C$, $(i,j) \notin B$	33
2.12	Area computation when $(i,j) \in B$	37
4.1	Heat exchanger network for Problem 4.1	
	at 26 temperature intervals	47
4.2	Trend of varying the number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.1 (Two heat transfer zones)	48
4.3	Heat exchanger network for Problem 4.1 with two zones	
	of heat transfer at different number of intervals	50
4.4	Trend of varying the number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.1 (One heat transfer zone)	52
4.5	Heat exchanger network for Problem 4.1 with one	
	zone of heat transfer at different number of intervals	53
4.6	Trend of varying the number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.2 (Two heat transfer zones)	58

FIGURE		PAGE
4.7	Heat exchanger network for Problem 4.2 with two	
	zones of heat transfer at different number of intervals	59
4.8	Trend of varying the number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.2 (One heat transfer zone)	62
4.9	Heat exchanger network for Problem 4.2 with one zone	
	of heat transfer at different number of intervals	63
4.10	Trend of varying the number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.3	68
4.11	Heat exchanger network for Problem 4.3	68
4.12	Trend of varying the number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.4 (Two heat transfer zone)	71
4.13	Heat exchanger network for Problem 4.4 with two	
	zones of heat transfer at different number of intervals	72
4.14	Trend of varying the number of temperature intervals	
	for Problem 4.4 (One heat transfer zone)	75
4.15	Heat exchanger network for Problem 4.4 with one zone	
	of heat transfer at different number of intervals	76
4.16	HEN design for Problem 4.1 at 112 intervals	
	(The best optimum design)	80
4.17	Original HEN for testing the retrofit model	80
4.18	Retrofit result of HEN after testing the retrofit model	81
4.19	Original HEN for Problem 4.5	83
4.20	Retrofit HEN for Problem 4.5	84
4.21	Original HEN for Problem 4.6	87
4.22	Retrofit result of HEN for Problem 4.6	88
4.23	Original HEN for Problem 4.7	89
4.24	Retrofit HEN for Problem 4.7	90
4.25	Hypertargets retrofit designs B1	91
4.26	Hypertargets retrofit designs B2	92

FIGURE		PAGE
4.27	Retrofit HEN for Problem 4.6 with	
	non-splitting cold stream	93
4.28	Retrofit HEN for Problem 4.6 with	
	splitting cold stream	94
4.29	Retrofit HEN with forbidden match (11,J2)	
	for Problem 4.5	98
4.30	Retrofit HEN with relocation for Problem 4.5	99
4.31	Retrofit HEN by Ciric and Floudas (1989)	100
4.32	Retrofit HEN by Kin-Lung Ma et al. (2000)	101

ABBREVIATIONS

Sets

В	= $\{(i,j) \mid \text{more than one heat exchanger unit is permitted between hot} \}$
	stream i and cold stream j }
C^{z}	= $\{j \mid j \text{ is a cold stream present in zone } z \}$
C_n^z	= $\{j \mid j \text{ is a cold stream present in temperature interval } n \text{ in zone } z \}$
CU^{z}	= $\{j \mid j \text{ is a heating utility present in zone z }\}$ $(CU^z \subset C^z)$
H^z	= $\{i \mid i \text{ is a hot stream present in zone } z\}$
H_m^z	= $\{i \mid i \text{ is a hot stream present in temperature interval } m \text{ in zone } z \}$
HU^z	= $\{i \mid i \text{ is a heating utility present in zone } z\}$ $(HU^z \subset H^z)$
M^z	= $\{ m \mid m \text{ is a temperature interval in zone z } \}$
M_i^z	= $\{ m \mid m \text{ is a temperature interval belonging to zone } z, \text{ in which hot } \}$
	stream <i>i</i> is presented }
m_i^0	= $\{ m \mid m \text{ is the starting temperature interval for hot stream } i \}$
m_i^f	= $\{ m \mid m \text{ is the final temperature interval for hot stream } i \}$
N_j^z	= $\{ n \mid n \text{ is a temperature interval belonging to zone } z, \text{ in which cold } \}$
	stream j is presented }
NI ^H	= $\{i \mid \text{non-isothermal mixing is permitted for hot stream } i \}$
NI^{C}	= $\{j \mid \text{non-isothermal inixing is permitted for cold stream } j \}$
n_j^0	= $\{ n \mid n \text{ is the starting temperature interval for cold stream } j \}$
n_i^f	= $\{ n \mid n \text{ is the final temperature interval for cold stream } j \}$
P	= $\{(i,j) \mid \text{heat exchange match between hot stream } i \text{ and cold stream } j$
	is permitted }
P_{im}^{H}	= $\{j \mid \text{heat transfer from hot stream } i \text{ at interval } m \text{ to cold stream } j \text{ is}$
	permitted }

P_{pm}^{C}	= $\{i \mid \text{heat transfer from hot stream } i \text{ to cold stream } j \text{ at interval } n \text{ is} \}$
	permitted }
S''	= $\{i \mid \text{splits are allowed for hot stream } i\}$
$S^{\epsilon'}$	= $\{j \mid \text{splits are allowed for cold stream } j \}$
Z	$= \{z \mid z \text{ is a heat transfer zone}\}$

Parameters

$A_{ii}^{a^{0}}$	Area of an existing exchanger between streams i and j in zone z prior
	to retrofit
A_{ij}^{z,k^0}	Area of the k -th existing exchanger between streams i and j in zone z
	prior to retrofit
$A_{ij\mathrm{max}}^{z}$	Maximum shell area for an exchanger matching hot stream i and cold
	stream j in zone z
$A_{ii\mathrm{max}}^{z^N}$	Maximum area for a new heat exchanger matching hot stream i and
	cold stream j in zone z
$\Delta A_{ij\; {\sf max}}^{z^0}$	Maximum area addition for an existing heat exchanger matching hot
	stream i and cold stream j in zone z
$\Delta A_{ij\mathrm{max}}^{z,k^0}$	Maximum area addition for the k -th existing heat exchanger matching
	hot stream i and cold stream j in zone z
\hat{C}_{im}	Heat capacity of hot stream i at temperature interval m
\hat{C}_{jn}	Heat capacity of cold stream j at temperature interval n
c_i^H	Cost of heating utility i
c_j^c	Cost of cooling utility <i>j</i>
c_{ij}^F	Fixed charge cost for a heat exchanger matching hot stream i and cold
	stream j

$c_{ij}^{A^{V}}$	Variable cost for a new heat exchanger matching hot stream i and cold
	stream j
$c_{ij}^{A^0}$	Area addition cost for an existing heat exchanger matching hot stream
	i and cold stream j
$CHEAD_{im,jn}$	Temperature difference between interval m of hot stream i and
	interval n of cold stream j at cold end
F_r	Flow rate of hot process stream i
F_{j}	Flow rate of cold process stream j
F_i^U	Upper bound for the flow rate of heating utility i
F_j^U	Upper bound for the flow rate of cooling utility j
h _{im}	Film heat transfer coefficient for hot stream i in interval m
h_{jn}	Film heat transfer coefficient for cold stream j in interval n
$\Delta H_{im}^{z,H}$	Enthalpy change for hot stream i at interval m of zone z
$\Delta H_{jn}^{z,C}$	Enthalpy change for cold stream j at interval n of zone z
$HHEAD_{m,jn}$	Temperature difference between interval m of hot stream i and
	interval n of cold stream j at hot end
k_{\max}	Maximum number of heat exchangers allowed between hot stream i
	and cold stream j in zone z when $(i,j) \in B$
k_e	Number of existing heat exchangers between hot stream i and cold
	stream j in zone z when $(i,j) \in B$
k'_{ϵ}	Number of existing heat exchangers in the original network
q_{ijm}^L	Lower bound for heat transfer from hot stream i at interval m to cold
	stream j
q_{ijn}^{L}	Lower bound for heat transfer from hot stream i to cold stream j at
	interval n

T_{m}^{U}	Upper temperature of interval m
T_{m}^{L}	Lower temperature of interval m
$T_n^{\ell\ell}$	Upper temperature of interval n
T_n^L	Lower temperature of interval n
ΔT_{i}	Temperature range of stream i
$\Delta T_{,}$	Temperature range of stream j
ΔT_{mn}^{Ml}	Mean logarithmic temperature difference between intervals m and n
$U_{ij}^{z^0}$	Number of existing heat exchangers between hot stream i and cold
	stream j in zone z
$U_y^{z^{\kappa}}$	Maximum number of new heat exchangers allowed for the retrofit
	design

Variables

A_{ij}^z	Total required area for a match between hot stream i and cold stream j
	in zone z
A_{ij}^{z,k^0}	Area of the k -th existing heat exchanger between hot stream i and cold
	stream j in zone z after retrofit
$A_{ij}^{z^N}$	Area of a new heat exchanger between hot stream i and cold stream j
	in zone z
$\Delta A_{ij}^{z^0}$	Area addition for an existing heat exchanger between hot stream i and
	cold stream j in zone z
$\Delta A_{ij}^{z,k^{0}}$	Area addition for the k-th existing heat exchanger between hot stream
	i and cold stream j in zone z
$K_{iim}^{z,H}$	Determines the beginning of a heat exchanger at interval m of zone z
	for hot stream I with cold stream j. Defined as binary when $(i,j) \in B$
	and as continuous when $(i,j) \notin B$.

$K_{ijn}^{z,C}$	Determines the beginning of a heat exchanger at interval n of zone z
	for cold stream j with hot stream i. Defined as binary when $(i,j) \in B$
	and as continuous when $(i,j) \notin B$.
$\hat{K}^{z,H}_{ijm}$	Determines the end of a heat exchanger at interval m of zone z for hot
	stream i with cold stream j. Defined as binary when $(i,j) \in B$ and as
	continuous when $(i,j) \notin B$.
$\hat{K}_{ijn}^{z,C}$	Determines the end of a heat exchanger at interval n of zone z for cold
	stream j with hot stream i. Defined as binary when $(i,j) \in B$ and as
	continuous when $(i,j) \notin B$.
k_v	Number of existing heat exchangers between hot stream i and cold
	stream j in zone z when $(i,j) \in B$
$q_{im,jn}^z$	Heat transfer from hot stream i at interval m to cold stream j at
	interval n in zone z
$\overline{q}_{inm}^{z,H}$	Non-isothermal mixing heat transfer for hot stream <i>i</i> between intervals
	m and n in zone z
$\overline{q}_{jmn}^{z,C}$	Non-isothermal mixing heat transfer for hot stream <i>i</i> between intervals
	m and n in zone z
$\hat{q}_{ijm}^{z,H}$	Heat transfer from hot stream i at interval m to cold stream j in zone z
$\hat{q}_{ijn}^{z,C}$	Heat transfer to cold stream j at interval n from hot stream j in zone z
$\widetilde{q}_{ijm}^{z,H}$	Auxiliary continuous variable utilized to compute the hot side heat
	load of each heat exchanger when several exchangers exist between
	hot stream i and cold stream j in zone z
$\widetilde{q}_{ijn}^{z,C}$	Auxiliary continuous variable utilized to compute the cold side heat
	load of each heat exchanger when several exchangers exist between
	hot stream i and cold stream j in zone z
$\widetilde{q}_{im,jn}^{z,H}$	Auxiliary continuous variable utilized to compute the area of
	individual heat exchangers between hot stream i with cold stream j in
	zone z when $(i,j) \in B$.

U_{ij}^{ε}	Number of heat exchangers between hot stream i and cold stream j in
	zone z
$X_{im,jn}^{z}$	Auxiliary continuous variable equals to zero when an exchanger ends
	at interval m for hot stream i and at interval n for cold stream j . A
	value of one corresponds to all other cases.
$\hat{X}_{ijm}^{z,k}$	Auxiliary binary variable that determines whether the k -th between
	hot stream i with cold stream j in zone z exists at interval m of when $(i,j) \in B$.
$Y_{ijm}^{z,H}$	Determines whether heat is being transferred from hot stream i at
	interval m to cold stream j . Defined as binary when $(i,j) \notin B$ and as continuous when $(i,j) \in B$.
$Y_{ijn}^{z,C}$	Determines whether heat is being transferred from hot stream i to cold
	stream j at interval n . Defined as binary when $(i,j) \notin B$ and as continuous when $(i,j) \in B$.
$\delta_{ij}^{z,k}$	Auxiliary binary variable used for heat exchanger relocation.
	Auxiliary binary variable that determines whether the k-th original
	heat exchanger of zone z has is serving the match between hot stream
	i and cold stream j, when $(i,j) \notin B$.
$\delta_{ij}^{z,hk}$	Auxiliary binary variable used for heat exchanger relocation. This
	variable determines whether the k -th original heat exchanger of zone z has is serving the h-th exchanger streams i and j , when $(i,j) \in B$.
$\alpha_{ijm}^{z,H}$	Auxiliary continuous variable equal to one when heat transfer from
	interval m of hot stream i to cold stream j occurs in zone z and it does
	not correspond to the beginning nor the ending of a heat exchanger. A
	value of zero corresponds to all other cases.
$\alpha_{ijn}^{z,C}$	Auxiliary continuous variable equal to one when heat transfer from
	hot stream i to interval n of cold stream j occurs in zone z and it does not correspond to the beginning nor the ending of a heat exchanger. A

value of zero corresponds to all other cases.