STOCHASTIC PROGRAMMING WITH PRICING DECISION FOR REFINERY PLANNING



Ms. Hansa Lakkhanawat

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements

for the Degree of Master of Science

The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University

in Academic Partnership with

The University of Michigan, The University of Oklahoma,

and Case Western Reserve University

2007

Thesis Title:

Stochastic Programming with Pricing Decision for Refinery

Planning

By:

Ms. Hansa Lakkhanawat

Program:

Petroleum Technology

Thesis Advisors:

Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond

Prof. Miguel J. Bagajewicz

Accepted by the Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science.

Nantaya Yanumit College Director

Wipat Siemanorof

(Assoc. Prof. Nantaya Yanumet)

Thesis Committee:

(Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond)

(Prof. Miguel J. Bagajewicz)

(Assoc. Prof. Thirasak Rirksomboon)

(Assoc. Prof. Pramoch Rangsunvigit)

Parnoch R

ABSTRACT

4873003063: PETROLEUM TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

Hansa Lakkhanawat: Stochastic Programming with Pricing Decision

for Refinery Planning

Thesis Advisors: Asst. Prof. Kitipat Siemanond, and Prof. Miguel J.

Bagajewicz 100 pp.

Keywords: Planning and scheduling/ Pricing Decision/ Uncertainty

Planning and scheduling of refinery involve the management of crude oil purchasing and products production schedule for reaching the maximum limit of economic margin. The development of modeling and optimization for refinery planning and scheduling to response the dynamic environment is underway. Another important aspect for planning in a highly dynamic environment is price-decision making. This study proposed a planning model with pricing decision for refinery operation under uncertainty based on two-stage stochastic approach. The starting point was the development of the planning model. The relationship between product prices and demand was modelled and forecasted. Then it was integrated into a mathematical planning model in order to simultaneously determine the prices and the optimal schedule. The objective function was based on optimizing the profit by maximizing sale and minimizing cost. The consideration of the uncertainty and financial risk management were introduced. Then the input/output interfaces of the model were created to make the model more user-friendly. The model was tested/run by applying the data from the Bangchak Petroleum Public Company Limited. The optimization results show that the planning model with pricing decision suggests a lower expected profit but it is more reliable when compared to the non-pricing one and the stochastic model results can predict a higher profit than that of the deterministic model.

บทคัดย่อ

หรรษา ลักขณาวัฏ : โปรแกรมกำหนคราคาแบบคำนึงถึงความไม่แน่นอนเพื่อการ วางแผนปฏิบัติงานสำหรับโรงกลั่น (Stochastic Programming with Pricing Decision for Refinery Planning) อ. ที่ปรึกษา: ผศ.ดร. กิติพัฒน์ สีมานนท์ และ ศ.คร. มิเกล เจ บากาเฮวิช 100 หน้า

การวางแผนปฏิบัติงานสำหรับโรงกลั่นเป็นงานที่เกี่ยวกับการจัดการเลือกซื้อน้ำมันดิบ และวางแผนตารางการผลิตเพื่อเพิ่มกำไรให้ได้มากที่สุด การพัฒนาแบบจำลองสำหรับการวางแผน การปฏิบัติงานของโรงกลั่นให้สามารถตอบสนองต่อสถานการณ์ที่ไม่แน่นอนยังอยู่ในระหว่างการ พัฒนา วิธีการกำหนดราคาเป็นอีกสิ่งหนึ่งที่สำคัญและจำเป็นต่อการวางแผนปฏิบัติงานในสภาวะที่ ไม่แน่นอน งานวิจัยนี้ศึกษาการสร้างแบบจำลองเพื่อการวางแผนปฏิบัติงานและการกำหนคราคา ผลิตภัณฑ์ของโรงกลั่น ในขั้นแรกแบบจำลองสมการการปฏิบัติงานของโรงกลั่นได้รับการพัฒนา ้ขึ้นก่อน จากนั้นชุดความสัมพันธ์ระหว่างราคากับความต้องการผลิตภัณฑ์ถูกสร้างขึ้น และเพิ่มเข้า ไปในแบบจำลองของแผนปฏิบัติงาน เพื่อให้แบบจำลองสามารถตัดสินใจเลือกราคาผลิตภัณฑ์ พร้อมๆ กับกำหนดแผนปฏิบัติงานที่เหมาะสม วัตถุประสงค์ของแบบจำลองนี้คือการเพิ่มกำไรให้ ได้มากที่สุด โดยการเพิ่มรายรับที่ได้และลดค่าใช้จ่ายต่างๆ ในกระบวนการผลิต . จำลองได้รับการปรับให้พิจารณาถึงความไม่แน่นอนที่อาจเกิดขึ้นกับข้อมูลรวมทั้งจัดการกับความ เสี่ยงทางการเงินเพื่อเปรียบเทียบกับแบบจำลองที่ไม่ได้พิจารณาถึงการกำหนดราคา ท้ายสุดตัวต่อ ประสาน (Interfaces) ระหว่างแบบจำลองและผู้ใช้ได้รับการพัฒนาขึ้นเพื่อทำให้สะควกต่อการใช้ งาน แบบจำลองนี้ได้ถูกทดสอบโดยใช้ข้อมูลจากโรงกลั่นของบริษัท บางจากปีโตรเลียม จำกัด (มหาชน) ผลที่ได้จากแบบจำลองแสดงให้เห็นว่า แบบจำลองที่มีการกำหนดราคาผลิตภัณฑ์ให้ ้กำไรเฉลี่ยน้อยกว่า แต่ให้ผลลัพธ์ที่ใกล้เคียงความเป็นจริงมากกว่าเมื่อเปรียบเทียบกับแบบจำลองที่ และแบบจำลองที่คำนึงถึงปัจจัยความไม่แน่นอนเสนอกำไรเฉลี่ยมากกว่า แบบจำลองที่ไม่คำนึงถึงความไม่แน่นอน

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work would not have been possible without the assistance of the following individuals.

First of all, I greatly appreciate Assistant Professor Kitipat Siemanond and Professor Miguel J. Bagajewicz, my thesis advisors, for providing invaluable recommendations, creative comments, and kind support throughout the course of this research work.

I would like to thank Associate Professor Pramoch Rangsunvigit and Associate Professor Thirasak Rirksomboon for being my thesis committee. Their suggestions and comments are very valuable for me and this work.

I am thankful too, for the scholarship and full funding for the thesis work provided by the Postgraduate Education and Research Programs in The National Excellence Center for Petroleum, Petrochemical, and Advanced Materials, Thailand. I would like to thank PTT Public Company Limited also for the research funds for this work.

My two-year study at The Petroleum and Petrochemical College, Chulalongkorn University, is very meaningful to me. The PPC staff and my friends who support, encourage, and welcome me all the time will be stuck in my heart forever.

Lastly, I am deeply indebted to my family for their enduring and unconditional love, understanding, and encouragement and support for me all the time.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | | | PAGE |
|-----|--|---------------|--|------|
| | Title I | Page | | i |
| | Abstra | act (in Engli | sh) | iii |
| | Abstra | act (in Thai) | | iv |
| | Title Page Abstract (in English) Abstract (in Thai) Acknowledgements Table of Contents List of Tables List of Figures INTRODUCTION II BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Mathematical and Optimization Models 2.1.1 Optimization Models 2.1.2 Structure of Optimization Models 2.1.3 Modeling Procedures 2.2 Mathematical Programming 2.3 Refinery Operations Planning and Scheduling with Mathematics | | v | |
| | Abstract (in English) Abstract (in Thai) Acknowledgements Table of Contents List of Tables List of Figures INTRODUCTION II BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Mathematical and Optimization Models 2.1.1 Optimization Models 2.1.2 Structure of Optimization Models 2.1.3 Modeling Procedures 2.2 Mathematical Programming 2.3 Refinery Operations Planning and Scheduling | vi | | |
| | | | | ix |
| | List o | f Figures | | xi |
| CH. | APTER | <u>.</u> | | |
| | I | INTROD | UCTION | 1 |
| | II | BACKGF | ROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW | 3 |
| | | 2.1 Mathe | ematical and Optimization Models | 3 |
| | | 2.1.1 | Optimization Models | 3 |
| | | 2.1.2 | Structure of Optimization Models | 4 |
| | | 2.1.3 | Modeling Procedures | 5 |
| | | 2.2 Mathe | ematical Programming | 6 |
| | | | 7 | |
| | | 2.3.1 | Refinery Planning and Scheduling with Mathematical | l |
| | | | Programming Application | 9 |
| | | 2.3.2 | General Algebraic Modeling System (GAMS) and | |
| | | | GAMS Data Exchange (GDX) facilities | 10 |
| | | 2.4 Pricin | g Theory | 10 |
| | | 2.4.1 | Consumer Behavior | 11 |
| | | 2.4.2 | Utility Function | 11 |
| | | 2.4.3 | Constant Elasticity of Substitution (CES) Utility | 12 |
| | | | | |

| CHAPTER | | | PAGE | |
|---------|-----|--------|--|----|
| | 2.5 | Uncer | tainty and Risk | 13 |
| | | 2.5.1 | Uncertainty in Refinery Planning | 13 |
| | | 2.5.2 | Two-stages Stochastic Programming | 13 |
| | | 2.5.3 | Financial Risk | 15 |
| | 2.6 | Financ | cial Risk Management | 15 |
| | | 2.6.1 | Value at Risk and Upside Potential | 15 |
| | | 2.6.2 | Use of Sampling Algorithm to Obtain Optimal | |
| | | | Solution | 16 |
| | | 2.6.3 | Upper and Lower Risk Curve Bounds | 16 |
| | 2.7 | Litera | ture Survey | 17 |
| | | 2.7.1 | Refinery Operation Planning and Scheduling | 17 |
| | | 2.7.2 | Pricing Decisions in Planning and Scheduling Model | 20 |
| | | 2.7.3 | Planning and Scheduling under Uncertainty | 20 |
| | | 2.7.4 | Financial Risk Management | 22 |
| Ш | MA | THEN | MATICAL MODEL FORMULATION | 26 |
| | 3.1 | Proble | em Definition | 26 |
| | 3.2 | Gener | ral Mathematical Formulation | 26 |
| | | 3.2.1 | Assumption | 26 |
| | | 3.2.2 | General Mathematical Model | 27 |
| | 3.3 | Objec | tive Function | 41 |
| | 3.4 | Pricin | g Decision | 43 |
| | 3.5 | Stock | nastic Formulation | 52 |
| | 3.6 | Mode | el Interfaces | 52 |
| | 3.7 | Case | Study | 53 |
| | 3.8 | Mode | el Testing | 58 |

| CHAPTER | PAGE | |
|---------|---|-----|
| IV | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 60 |
| | 4.1 The General Planning Model | 60 |
| | 4.1.1 Input Data | 60 |
| | 4.1.2 The General Deterministic Model Results | 61 |
| | 4.1.3 The General Stochastic Model Results | 63 |
| | 4.2 The Planning Model with Pricing | 64 |
| | 4.2.1 Effects of Price-Demand Relation Factors | 64 |
| | 4.2.2 Input Data | 65 |
| | 4.2.3 The Pricing Deterministic Model Results | 72 |
| | 4.2.4 The Pricing Stochastic Model Results | 73 |
| | 4.3 Financial risk management | 76 |
| V | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 81 |
| | REFERENCES | 83 |
| | APPENDICES | 87 |
| | Appendix A Nomenclature | 87 |
| | Appendix B Data of commodities and productive units | 90 |
| | Appendix C Members of sets | 99 |
| | CURRICULUM VITAE | 100 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABL | PAGE | |
|------|--|----|
| 3.1 | Summary of feeds and products for each unit | 56 |
| 3.2 | Intermediate streams for product blending in each pool | 57 |
| 4.1 | Crude oil cost and available quantity | 60 |
| 4.2 | Product demand and price | 61 |
| 4.3 | Standard deviation of demand and price | 61 |
| 4.4 | Volume and percentage of petroleum purchased for each | |
| | period from the general deterministic model | 62 |
| 4.5 | Percentage of crude fed to each CDU | 62 |
| 4.6 | Volume and percentage of petroleum purchased for each | |
| | period from the general stochastic model | 63 |
| 4.7 | The competition product price and the total demand of | |
| | product | 69 |
| 4.8 | Consumer Budget in time period t | 70 |
| 4.9 | Standard deviation of total demand and consumer budget | 71 |
| 4.10 | Product demand and price for each time period from the | |
| | deterministic pricing model | 72 |
| 4.11 | Volume and percentage of petroleum purchased for each | |
| | period from the deterministic pricing model | 73 |
| 4.12 | Product demand and price for each time period from the | |
| | stochastic pricing model | 74 |
| 4.13 | Volume and percentage of petroleum purchased for each | |
| | period from the stochastic pricing model | 74 |
| 4.14 | Volume and percentage of petroleum purchased for each | |
| | period from the alternative solution with lower risk | 77 |

LIST OF TABLES (cont.)

| ГABL | PAGE | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.15 | Value at risk and opportunity value for the alternative | 77 |
| | solution with lower risk | 77 |
| 4.16 | Volume and percentage of petroleum purchased for each | |
| | period from the alternative solution with opportunity of | |
| | higher profit | 78 |
| 4.17 | Value at risk and opportunity value for the alternative | |
| | solution with higher opportunity of profit | 79 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | | |
|--------|---|----|
| 2.1 | Major activities in model building prior to application | 6 |
| 2.2 | Planning and scheduling cascade in a refinery | 8 |
| 2.3 | Overview picture of the oil refinery operations | 9 |
| 2.4 | Upper bound risk curve, or envelope | 17 |
| 3.1 | Balancing of a typical unit | 27 |
| 3.2 | Data flow diagram of the planning and scheduling mode | 53 |
| 3.3 | Simplified scheme of Bangchak Petroleum Public Company | |
| | Limited | 55 |
| 4.1 | Risk curves of the deterministic and stochastic model | |
| | solutions | 64 |
| 4.2 | The demand ratio of product 1 compared to competition | |
| | product obtained from different values of alpha | 66 |
| 4.3 | The demand ratio of product 1 compared to competition | |
| | product obtained from different values of beta | 67 |
| 4.4 | The demand ratio of product 1 compared to competition | |
| | product obtained from different values of rho | 68 |
| 4.5 | Risk curves of the deterministic and stochastic pricing model | |
| | solutions | 75 |
| 4.6 | Risk curves of the stochastic pricing solution and the | |
| | alternative solution with lower Value at Risk (VaR) | 76 |
| 4.7 | Risk curves of the stochastic pricing solution and the | |
| | alternative solution with higher Opportunity Value (OV) | 78 |
| 4.8 | Upper bond risk curve for the stochastic and alternative | |
| | solution | 80 |