



REFERENCES

- Al-redhwan, S.A., Crittenden, B.D., Lababidi, H.M.S. (2005) Wastewater minimization under uncertain operational conditions. Computer & Chemical Engineering, 29(5), 1009-1021.
- Aseeri, A. and Bagajewicz, M.J. (2004) New measures and procedures to manage financial risk with applications to the planning of gas commercialization in Asia. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 28, 2791-2821.
- Barbaro, A. and Bagajewicz, M.J. (2004) Managing financial risk in planning under uncertainty. AIChE Journal, 50(5), 963-989.
- Birge, J.R. and Louveaux, F. (1997) Introduction to Stochastic Programming. New York: Springer.
- Bonfill, A., Cantón, J., Bagajewicz, M.J., Espuña, A., and Puigjaner, L. (2004) Risk management in scheduling of batch plants under uncertain market demand. Industrial Engineering Chemical Research, 43, 741-750.
- Chapra, S.C., and Canale R.P. (2003) Numerical Methods for Engineers: with Software and Programming Applications. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Cheng, L., Subrahmanian E., and Westerberg, A.W. (2003) Design and planning under uncertainty: Issues on problem formulation and solution. Computers and Chemical Engineering, 27(6), 781-801.
- Csáji, B. and Monostori, L. (2005) Stochastic Reactive Production Scheduling by Multi-Agent Based Asynchronous Approximate Dynamic Programming. In: Multi-Agent Systems and Applications IV (CEEMAS 2005). Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence, 3690. Springer, Germany, 388-397.
- Edgar, T.F., Himmelblau, D.M., and Lasdon, L.S. (2001) Optimization of Chemical Processes 2nd edition. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Floudas, C.A. (1995) Nonlinear and Mixed-Integer Optimization Fundamentals and Applications. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Göthe-Lundgren, M., Lundgren, J.T., and Person, J.A. (2002) An optimization model for refinery production scheduling. International Journal of Production Economics, 78, 255-270.

- Guillén, G., Mele, F.D., Bagajewicz, M.J., Espuña A., and Puigjaner, L. (2005) Multiobjective supply chain design under uncertainty. Chemical Engineering Science, 60, 1535-1553.
- Guldimann, T. (2000) The story of risk metrics. Risk, 13(1), 56-58.
- Gupta A. and Maranas C. (2003) Market-based pollution abatement strategies: Risk management using emission option contracts. Industrial Engineering Chemical Research, 42, 802–810.
- Herroelen, W. and Leus, R. (2005) Project scheduling under uncertainty: Survey and research potentials. European Journal of Operational Research, 165, 289–306.
- Ierapetritou, M.G. and Pistikopoulos, E.N. (1994) Simultaneous incorporation of flexibility and economic risk in operational planning under uncertainty. Computers and Chemical Engineering , 18(3),163–189.
- Jia, Z., Ierapetritou, M., and Kelly, J.D. (2003) Refinery short-term scheduling using continuous time formulation: crude-oil operations. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 42, 3085-3097.
- Joly, M., Moro, L.F.L., and Pinto, J.M. (2002) Planning and scheduling for petroleum refineries using mathematical programming. Brazilien Journal of Chemical Engineering, 19(2), 207-228.
- Jorion, P. (2000) Value At Risk. The New Benchmark For Managing Financial Risk. 2nd edition. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Karwan, M. H., andKebblis, M. F. (2007) Operations planning with real time pricing of a primary input. Computers & Operations Research, 34(3), 848-867.
- Kendall, G., Burke E.K., Petrovic, S., and Gendreau, M. (2005) Multidisciplinary Scheduling: Theory and Applications, 1st International Conference on Scheduling. New York: Springer Science+Business Media.
- Lababidi, H.M.S., Ahmed, M.A., Alatiqi, I.M. and Al-Enzi, A.F. (2004) Optimizing the supply chain of a petrochemical company under uncertain operating and economic conditions. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 43, 63-73.

- Liao, Z. and Rittscher, J. (2006) A multi-objective supplier selection model under stochastic demand conditions. International Journal of Production Economics, article in press.
- Linsmeier, T.J., and Pearson, N.D. (2000) Value at risk. Financial Analysts Journal, 56(2).
- Lowe, T.J., Wendell, R.E., and Hu, G. (2002) Screening location strategies to reduce exchange rate risk. European Journal of Operational Research, 136(3), 573-590.
- Méndez, C.A., Grossmann, I.E., Harjunkski, I., and Kaboré, P. (2006) A simultaneous optimization approach for off-line blending and scheduling of oil-refinery operations. Computer & Chemical Engineering, 30(4), 614-634.
- Moro, L.F.L., Zanin, A.C., and Pinto, J.M. (1998) A planning model for refinery diesel production. Computer & Chemical Engineering, 22, Suppl., S1039-1042.
- Mulvey, J.M., Rosenbaum, D.P., and Shetty, B. (1997) Strategic financial risk management and operations research. European Journal of Operational Research, 97(1), 1-16.
- Neiro, S.M.S. and Pinto, J.M. (2004) A general modeling framework for the operational planning of petroleum supply chains. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 28(6-7), 871-896.
- Persson, J.A. and Göthe-Lundgren, M. (2005) Shipment planning at oil refineries using column generation and valid inequalities. European Journal of Operational Research, 163(3), 631-652.
- Pindyck, R.S., and Rubinfeld, D.L. (1997) Microeconomics 3rd edition. China: Prentice-Hall Inc.
- Pinto, J.M., Joly, M., and Moro, L.F.L. (2000) Planning and scheduling models for refinery operations. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 24, 2259-2276.
- Pinto, J.M. and Moro, L.F.L. (2000) A planning model for petroleum refineries. Brazilian Journal of Chemical Engineering, 17(4-7), 575-586.
- Porterfield, J.T.S. (1995) Investment Decisions and Capital Costs. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.

- Rejowski, R. Jr. and Pinto J. M. (2003) Scheduling of a multiproduct pipeline system. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 27(8-9), 1229-1246.
- Reddy, P.C.P., Karimi, I.A., and Srinivasan, R. (2004) A new continuous-time formulation for scheduling crude oil operations. Chemical Engineering Science, 59(6), 1325-1341.
- Reklaitis, G.V., Ravindran, A., and Ragsdell, K.M. (1983) Engineering Optimization: Methods and Applications. Canada: John Wiley & Sons.
- Shah, N. (1996) Mathematical programming techniques for crude oil scheduling. Computers & Chemical Engineering, 20, Suppl., S1227-S1232.
- Shah, N. (1998) Single and multisite planning and scheduling: Current status and future challenges. FOCAPO AIChE symposium series, 94(320), 91-110.
- Shimizu Kiyotaka, Ishizuka Yo, and Bard, J.F. (1997) Nondifferentiable and Two-Level Mathematical Programming. Massachusetts: Kluwer Academic.
- Simons, R. (1997) MIMI brings OR tools together. MP in Action, December.
- Singh, A., Forbes, J.F., Vermeer, P.J., and Woo, S.S. (2000) Model-based real-time optimization of automotive gasoline blending operations. Journal of Process Control, 10(1), 43-58.
- Swift, A.O. (2000) Control and optimization. In A.G. Lucas (Ed.), Modern Petroleum Technology: Volume 2 Downstream. 6th ed. Chichester (UK): John Wiley & Sons.
- Varian, H. R. (1992) Microeconomic Analysis 3rd edition. New York: Norton.
- Voeth, M., and Herbst, U. (2006) Supply-chain pricing-A new perspective on pricing in industrial markets. Industrial Marketing Management, 35(1), 83-90
- Zhang, J., Zhu, X.X., and Towler, G.P. (2001) A level-by-level debottlenecking approach in refinery operation. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 40, 1528-1540.
- Zhang, B.J. and Hua, B. (2005) Effective MILP model for oil refinery-wide production planning and better energy utilization. Journal of Cleaner Production, article in press.

<http://stoprog.org/index.html?spintroduction.html>

<http://www.econport.org>

APPENDICES

Appendix A Nomenclature

Indices

| | |
|-----|--|
| t | Set of time periods |
| c | Set of all commodities |
| o | Set of crude oils |
| p | Set of products |
| i | Set of intermediates |
| u | Set of productive units |
| q | Set of properties |
| s | Set of scenarios |
| k | Set of discrete prices |
| Y | Set of demand from budget constraint |
| D | Set of demand from total demand constraint |

Parameter

| | | |
|---------------|---|--------------|
| $pro_{u,c,q}$ | Property q of commodity c from unit u | |
| $px_{p,q}$ | Maximum property q of product p | |
| $pn_{p,q}$ | Minimum property q of product p | |
| $yield_{o,c}$ | Percent of component c in crude oil o | (%) |
| $yield_{u,c}$ | Percent yield of commodity c from unit u | (%) |
| $dem_{p,t}$ | Demand of product p in time period t | (m^3) |
| ux_u | Maximum capacity of unit u | (m^3) |
| un_u | Minimum capacity of unit u | (m^3) |
| ox_o | Maximum monthly purchase of crude oil o | (m^3) |
| on_o | Minimum monthly purchase of crude oil o | (m^3) |
| $stox_p$ | Maximum storage capacity of product p | (m^3) |
| $cp_{p,t}$ | Unit sale price of product p in time period t | (\$/ m^3) |
| $co_{o,t}$ | Unit sale price of crude oil o in time period t | (\$/ m^3) |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----------------------|
| $ci_{i,t}$ | Unit purchase price of intermediate I in time period t | (\$/m ³) |
| $cl_{p,t}$ | Unit cost of lost demand penalty for product p in time period t | (\$/m ³) |
| $density_u$ | Density of feed to unit u | (ton/m ³) |
| $fuel_u$ | Percent energy consumption for unit u based on tFOE | (%) |
| $disc$ | Percent discount from normal price | (%) |
| u | Consumer utility function | |
| Y | Consumer budget | (\$) |
| D | Total product demand | (m ³) |
| ρ | Price-Demand relation parameter | |
| α | Product inferiority and superiority parameter | |
| β | Product inferiority and superiority parameter | |

Variables

| | | |
|----------------|--|-------------------|
| $PO_{u,c,q,t}$ | Property q of commodity c from unit u in time period t | |
| $AF_{u,t}$ | Amount of feed to unit u in time period t | (m ³) |
| $AO_{u,c,t}$ | Amount of outlet commodity c from unit u in time period t | (m ³) |
| $A_{u,t,u',t}$ | Amount of commodity c flow between unit u and unit u' in time period t | (m ³) |
| $MANU_{p,t}$ | Amount of product p produced in time period t | (m ³) |
| $AC_{o,t}$ | Amount of crude oil o refined in time period t | (m ³) |
| $AI_{i,t}$ | Amount of intermediate I added in time period t | (m ³) |
| $AS_{p,t}$ | Amount of product p stored in time period t | (m ³) |
| $AL_{p,t}$ | Amount of lost demand for product p in time period t | (m ³) |
| $AD_{p,t}$ | Amount of discount product sold in time period t | (m ³) |
| $Burnt_{p,t}$ | Amount of product p burnt in time period t | (m ³) |
| $Used_t$ | Amount of fuel used in time period t | (tFOE) |
| $TP_{p,t}$ | Income from selling product p in time period t | (\$) |
| $TO_{o,t}$ | Expense from purchasing crude oil o in time period t | (\$) |
| $TI_{i,t}$ | Expense from purchasing intermediate in time period t | (\$) |
| $TS_{p,t}$ | Expense from storage product p in time period t | (\$) |

| | | |
|---------------|---|--------------|
| $TL_{p,t}$ | Expense from lost demand of product p in time period t | (\$) |
| $TD_{p,t}$ | Expense from discount sales of product p in time period t | (\$) |
| $Sales_{p,t}$ | Sales of product p in time period t | (m^3) |
| P_1 | Price of product 1 | (\$/ m^3) |
| P_2 | Price of competition product 2 | (\$/ m^3) |
| d_1 | Demand of product 1 | (m^3) |
| d_2 | Demand of competition product 2 | (m^3) |

Appendix B Data of commodities and productive units**Table B1** Fuel used in processing unit (expressed in fuel oil equivalence)

(Favenec, 2001)

| Units | Fuel used (wt%) |
|--------------|------------------------|
| CDU2 | 1.8 |
| CDU3 | 1.8 |
| NPU2 | 2 |
| NPU3 | 2 |
| ISOU | 4 |
| CRU2 | 2.5 |
| CRU3 | 2.5 |
| KTU | 2 |
| GO-HDS | 2 |
| DGO-HDS | 2 |

Table B2 Oman crude specification

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|------|-----------------|------|------|
| API Gravity | 34.80 | | % Sulfur | 1.16 | |
| Methane | Vol% | 0.00 | Iso-butane | Vol% | 0.30 |
| Ethane | Vol% | 0.02 | N-butane | Vol% | 0.92 |
| Propane | Vol% | 0.33 | | | |

| Description | | Component Fraction (%vol) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | FG | LPG | LN | MN | HN | IK | DO+GO | FO |
| Vol. yield on crude | lv% | 0.02 | 1.55 | 5.33 | 2.70 | 6.30 | 13.80 | 22.40 | 46.30 |
| Aromatics content | lv% | - | - | 1.20 | 4.25 | 8.24 | 11.94 | 20.94 | - |
| Cetane index | | - | - | - | - | 30.10 | 46.40 | 54.10 | - |
| Freeze point | °C | - | - | - | -85.50 | -74.60 | -53.50 | -8.80 | - |
| RONC | | - | - | 69.50 | 49.20 | 40.60 | 27.60 | - | - |
| RVP | kg/cm ² | - | - | 0.70 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | - | - |
| Specific gravity | | - | - | 0.6517 | 0.7119 | 0.7385 | 0.7844 | 0.8447 | 0.9367 |
| Sulfur | wt% | - | - | 0.012 | 0.027 | 0.03 | 0.108 | 0.687 | 1.938 |
| Viscosity @ 50°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.41 | 0.54 | 1.01 | 3.64 | 609.00 |
| Viscosity @ 100°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.34 | 0.40 | 0.62 | 1.66 | 52.22 |
| Pour point | °C | - | - | - | - | - | -77.90 | - | 7.00 |

Table B3 Tapis crude specification

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|------|-----------------|-------|------|
| API Gravity | 44.50 | | % Sulfur | 0.025 | |
| Methane | Vol% | 0.00 | Iso-butane | Vol% | 0.82 |
| Ethane | Vol% | 0.54 | N-butane | Vol% | 1.21 |
| Propane | Vol% | 0.66 | | | |

| Description | | Component Fraction (%vol) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | FG | LPG | LN | MN | HN | IK | DO+GO | FO |
| Vol. yield on crude | lv% | 0.54 | 2.69 | 3.27 | 5.70 | 10.70 | 21.90 | 30.40 | 21.50 |
| Aromatics content | lv% | - | - | 1.78 | 5.11 | 13.09 | 16.82 | 17.41 | - |
| Cetane index | | - | - | - | - | 20.90 | 45.10 | 59.30 | 33.30 |
| Freeze point | °C | - | - | - | - | -83.50 | -51.10 | 6.00 | - |
| RONC | | - | - | 81.70 | 76.00 | 68.20 | 60.30 | - | - |
| RVP | kg/cm ² | - | - | 0.66 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.00 | - | - |
| Specific gravity | | - | - | 0.6713 | 0.7247 | 0.7557 | 0.7857 | 0.8271 | 0.9175 |
| Sulfur | wt% | - | - | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.034 | 0.056 |
| Viscosity @ 50°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.43 | 0.55 | 0.96 | 2.88 | 15.26 |
| Viscosity @ 100°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.31 | 0.37 | 0.58 | 1.37 | 4.59 |
| Pour point | °C | - | - | - | - | - | -63.40 | - | 58.40 |

Table B4 Labuan crude specification

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|------|-----------------|-------|------|
| API Gravity | 31.80 | | % Sulfur | 0.080 | |
| Methane | Vol% | 0.00 | Iso-butane | Vol% | 0.18 |
| Ethane | Vol% | 0.02 | N-butane | Vol% | 0.36 |
| Propane | Vol% | 0.22 | | | |

| Description | | Component Fraction (%vol) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| | | FG | LPG | LN | MN | HN | IK | DO+GO | FO |
| Vol. yield on crude | lv% | 0.02 | 0.76 | 2.42 | 3.20 | 9.00 | 20.30 | 42.70 | 20.70 |
| Aromatics content | lv% | - | - | 7.05 | 0.64 | 16.13 | 26.36 | 41.67 | - |
| Cetane index | | - | - | - | - | 11.30 | 30.20 | 39.20 | - |
| Freeze point | °C | - | - | - | - | - | -67.80 | -8.10 | - |
| RONC | | - | - | 83.20 | 76.40 | 73.60 | 50.20 | - | - |
| RVP | kg/cm ² | - | - | 0.64 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | - | - |
| Specific gravity | | - | - | 0.6898 | 0.7402 | 0.7759 | 0.8280 | 0.8911 | 0.9530 |
| Sulfur | wt% | - | - | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.017 | 0.083 | 0.175 |
| Viscosity @ 50°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.53 | 0.62 | 1.04 | 3.11 | 132.07 |
| Viscosity @ 100°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.35 | 0.41 | 0.63 | 1.46 | 14.50 |
| Pour point | °C | - | - | - | - | - | -86.50 | - | 45.10 |

Table B5 Seria light crude specification

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|------|-----------------|-------|------|
| API Gravity | 35.80 | | % Sulfur | 0.068 | |
| Methane | Vol% | 0.00 | Iso-butane | Vol% | 0.26 |
| Ethane | Vol% | 0.00 | N-butane | Vol% | 0.62 |
| Propane | Vol% | 0.25 | | | |

| Description | | Component Fraction (%vol) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | FG | LPG | LN | MN | HN | IK | DO+GO | FO |
| Vol. yield on crude | lv% | 0.00 | 1.33 | 4.77 | 4.00 | 11.30 | 23.10 | 35.00 | 19.50 |
| Aromatics content | lv% | - | - | 2.65 | 8.19 | 15.88 | 24.28 | 53.56 | - |
| Cetane index | | - | - | - | - | 12.80 | 31.60 | 43.00 | - |
| Freeze point | °C | - | - | - | - | - | -59.60 | -6.40 | - |
| RONC | | - | - | 79.50 | 68.00 | 60.70 | 49.60 | - | - |
| RVP | kg/cm ² | - | - | 0.69 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | - | - |
| Specific gravity | | - | - | 0.6798 | 0.7415 | 0.7696 | 0.8200 | 0.8781 | 0.9506 |
| Sulfur | wt% | - | - | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.020 | 0.080 | 0.155 |
| Viscosity @ 50°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 132.96 |
| Viscosity @ 100°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 16.87 |
| Pour point | °C | - | - | - | - | - | -65.80 | - | 35.90 |

Table B6 Phet crude specification

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|------|-----------------|-------|------|
| API Gravity | 40.70 | | % Sulfur | 0.050 | |
| Methane | Vol% | 0.00 | Iso-butane | Vol% | 0.37 |
| Ethane | Vol% | 0.07 | N-butane | Vol% | 1.04 |
| Propane | Vol% | 0.37 | | | |

| Description | | Component Fraction (%vol) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | FG | LPG | LN | MN | HN | IK | DO+GO | FO |
| Vol. yield on crude | lv% | 0.07 | 1.78 | 3.05 | 3.70 | 8.50 | 15.00 | 28.10 | 38.00 |
| Aromatics content | lv% | - | - | 1.05 | 5.93 | 12.15 | 14.42 | 14.58 | - |
| Cetane index | | - | - | - | - | 22.60 | 45.60 | 61.40 | - |
| Freeze point | °C | - | - | - | - | -88.80 | -48.90 | 13.40 | - |
| RONC | | - | - | 70.00 | 61.40 | 53.50 | 41.60 | - | - |
| RVP | kg/cm ² | - | - | 0.71 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | - | - |
| Specific gravity | | - | - | 0.6662 | 0.7200 | 0.7502 | 0.7840 | 0.8236 | 0.8941 |
| Sulfur | wt% | - | - | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 0.047 | 0.087 |
| Viscosity @ 50°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.94 | 3.12 | 39.72 |
| Viscosity @ 100°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.52 | 1.50 | 10.59 |
| Pour point | °C | - | - | - | - | - | -51.50 | - | 55.90 |

Table B7 Murban crude specification

| | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|------|-----------------|-------|------|
| API Gravity | 40.80 | | % Sulfur | 0.867 | |
| Methane | Vol% | 0.00 | Iso-butane | Vol% | 0.45 |
| Ethane | Vol% | 0.07 | N-butane | Vol% | 1.32 |
| Propane | Vol% | 0.52 | | | |

| Description | | Component Fraction (%vol) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| | | FG | LPG | LN | MN | HN | IK | DO+GO | FO |
| Vol. yield on crude | lv% | 0.07 | 2.29 | 5.94 | 3.30 | 10.10 | 20.40 | 25.90 | 29.70 |
| Aromatics content | lv% | - | - | 1.76 | 0.41 | 12.41 | 20.48 | 25.48 | - |
| Cetane index | | - | - | - | - | 27.90 | 43.50 | 53.20 | - |
| Freeze point | °C | - | - | - | - | -90.20 | -56.10 | -2.60 | - |
| RONC | | - | - | 76.00 | 72.20 | 70.10 | 56.30 | - | - |
| RVP | kg/cm ² | - | - | 0.75 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.00 | - | - |
| Specific gravity | | - | - | 0.6609 | 0.7145 | 0.7438 | 0.7883 | 0.8455 | 0.9268 |
| Sulfur | wt% | - | - | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.107 | 1.051 | 1.688 |
| Viscosity @ 50°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.39 | 0.52 | 0.93 | 3.09 | 88.41 |
| Viscosity @ 100°C | cSt | - | - | - | 0.28 | 0.35 | 0.57 | 1.42 | 13.84 |
| Pour point | °C | - | - | - | - | - | -73.00 | - | 33.40 |

Table B8 Product specifications (x = maximum, n = minimum)

| Description | | LPG | SUPG | ISOG | JP-1 | HSD | FO #1 | FO #2 | FOVS |
|-------------------|------|-----|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| RON | | | 91n | 95n | | | | | |
| RVP @ 37.8 °C | kPa | | 62x | 62x | | | | | |
| Aromatic Content | vol% | | 35x | 35x | 25x | | | | |
| Freezing point | °C | | | | -47x | | | | |
| Cetane Index | | | | | | 47n | | | |
| Viscosity @ 50°C | cSt | | | | | | 7-80 | 7-180 | |
| Viscosity @ 100°C | cSt | | | | | | | | 3-30 |
| Sulfur Content | wt% | | | | | 0.05x | 2x | 2x | 0.5x |
| Pour point | °C | | | | | | 24x | 24x | 57x |

Product specifications are based on rules from Ministry of Commerce (MOC.).

Table B9 Product storage data

| Description | | LPG | SUPG | ISOG | JP-1 | HSD | FO #1 | FO #2 | FOVS |
|---------------------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Product stored at initial | m ³ | 1,500 | 14,100 | 8,400 | 15,400 | 54,000 | - | - | - |
| Storage capacity | m ³ | 5,000 | 16,000 | 14,000 | 28,000 | 80,000 | 5,000 | 15,000 | 35,000 |

Appendix C Members of sets

| | | |
|------------------|---|--|
| aref | ∈ | {RON, ARO, RVP} |
| AV _q | ∈ | {YD, RON, RVP, ARO, CI, SG} |
| AW _q | ∈ | {FP, S, V50, V100} |
| C _{CDU} | ∈ | {FG, LPG, LN, MN, HN, IK, DO, FO} |
| C _{CRU} | ∈ | {FG, LPG, REF} |
| CDU | ∈ | {CDU2, CDU3} |
| C _{ia} | ∈ | {MTBE, DCC} |
| C _o | ∈ | {OM, TP, LB, SLEB, PHET, MB} |
| C _p | ∈ | {LPG, SUPG, ISOG, JP-1, HSD, FO1, FO2, FOVS} |
| CRU | ∈ | {CRU2, CRU3} |
| ctank | ∈ | {OMT, TPT, LBT, SLEBT, PHETT, MBT} |
| GSP | ∈ | {GSP91, GSP95} |
| HDS | ∈ | {GO-HDS, DGO-HDS} |
| in | ∈ | {MTBE, DCC, REF, ISO, LN, HN} |
| int | ∈ | {MTBET, DCCT, REFT, ISOT, LNT, HNT} |
| itank | ∈ | {MTBET, DCCT} |
| nap | ∈ | {LN, MN, HN} |
| ptank | ∈ | {LPGT, GSP91, GSP95, JPT, DSP, FO1P, FO2P, FOVSP} |
| UC _u | ∈ | {(OM, OMT), (TP, TPT), (LB, LBT), (SLEB, SLEBT), (PHET, PHETT), (MB< MBT)} |
| UI _u | ∈ | {(MTBE, MTBET), (DCC, DCCT)} |
| UP _u | ∈ | {(LPG, LPGT), (SUPG, GSP91), (ISOG, GSP95), (JP-1, JPT), (HSD, DSP), (FO1, FO1P), (FO2, FO2P), (FOVS, FOVSP)} |

CURRICULUM VITAE

Name: Ms. Hansa Lakkhanawat

Date of Birth: November 4, 1982

Nationality: Thai

University Education:

2001-2005 Bachelor Degree of Engineering in Chemical Engineering,
Faculty of Engineering, King Mongkut's Institute of Technology' Ladkrabang.

